

**MASTER PLAN & REGULATORY ZONE
AMENDMENTS & A TENTATIVE MAP
FOR
LEARNER LEMMON RESIDENTIAL
SUBDIVISION**



**PHOTO OF SITE LOOKING NORTH FROM
PAN AMERICAN DRIVE NEAR THE SW PROPERTY CORNER**

**TO BE SUBMITTED TO WASHOE COUNTY PLANNING
MAY 8, 2023**

Application for a Master Plan & Regulatory Zone Amendment plus a Tentative Map

For

Learner Lemmon

Prepared For:
LC Learner, LLC
325 Harbour Cove Dr. Suite 219
Sparks, NV 89434

Prepared By:
KRATER CONSULTING Group, PC
A Nevada professional corporation
1165 Mount Rose Street
Reno, Nevada 89509
(775) 815-9561

May 8, 2023

Table of Contents

- I. Washoe County Development Application Form
- II. Property Owner Affidavit
- III. MPA – Supplemental Information
- IV. MPA – Findings
- V. MPA – Application Submittal Requirements
- VI. Compliance with the North Valley’s Area Plan
 - A. Plan Maintenance Section
- VII. RZA – Supplemental Information
- VIII. Project of Regional Significance Information
- IX. RZA – Findings
- X. RZA – Application Submittal Requirements
- XI. SITE PLAN
- XII. Tentative Map – Supplemental Information
- XIII. Tentative Map – Findings
- XIV. Tentative Map – Application Submittal Requirements
- XV. Opportunities & Constraints Analysis in Support of WC Code 110.408.30
- XVI. Summary of Neighborhood Meeting
- XVII. Stamped/Sealed Legal Descriptions
 - A. Property Deed for Learner
- XVIII. Assessor’s Parcel Map (080-461-08)
- XIX. Proof of Property Tax Payments
- XX. Title Report (Original Application Packet Only)
- XXI. “Acknowledgment of Water Service” letter
- XXII. Preliminary Sewer Study
- XXIII. Preliminary Drainage Report
- XXIV. Traffic Impact Study
- XXV. Geotechnical Report
- XXVI. Map Pocket
 - A. Tentative Map, 24X36
 - B. Preliminary Landscape Plan
 - C. Opportunities & Constraints Map

Washoe County Development Application

Your entire application is a public record. If you have a concern about releasing personal information, please contact Planning and Building staff at 775.328.6100.

Project Information		Staff Assigned Case No.: _____	
Project Name:			
Project Description:			
Project Address:			
Project Area (acres or square feet):			
Project Location (with point of reference to major cross streets AND area locator):			
Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:	Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:
Indicate any previous Washoe County approvals associated with this application: Case No.(s).			
Applicant Information (attach additional sheets if necessary)			
Property Owner:		Professional Consultant:	
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Zip:		Zip:	
Phone: Fax:		Phone: Fax:	
Email:		Email:	
Cell: Other:		Cell: Other:	
Contact Person:		Contact Person:	
Applicant/Developer:		Other Persons to be Contacted:	
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Zip:		Zip:	
Phone: Fax:		Phone: Fax:	
Email:		Email:	
Cell: Other:		Cell: Other:	
Contact Person:		Contact Person:	
For Office Use Only			
Date Received: Initial:		Planning Area:	
County Commission District:		Master Plan Designation(s):	
CAB(s):		Regulatory Zoning(s):	

III. Master Plan Amendment Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

The Washoe County Master Plan describes how the physical character of the County exists today and is planned for the future. The plan is adopted by the community and contains information, policies and a series of land use maps. The Master Plan provides the essential framework for creating a healthy community system and helps guide decisions about growth and development in the County. The following are general types of requests the County receives to amend the Master Plan. Please identify which type of amendment you are requesting:

<input type="checkbox"/> A request to change a master plan designation(s) from the adopted master plan and/or area plan maps
<input type="checkbox"/> A request to add, amend, modify or delete any of the adopted policies found in the elements of the Master Plan
<input type="checkbox"/> A request to add, amend, modify or delete any of the adopted policies in the area plans and/or specific language found in the area plans
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify):

Please complete this questionnaire to ensure consistent review of your request to amend the Washoe County Master Plan. Staff will review the application to determine if the amendment request is in conformance with the policies and language within the elements and area plans of the Master Plan or if the information provided supports a change to the plan. Please provide an explanation to all questions; attach additional sheets if necessary.

1. What is the Master Plan amendment being requested at this time?

Master Plan and Regulatory amendments are requested to account for the fact that no development constrained areas exist in this area, Tier 2 land per the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency adjoins the property to the north and west, public services are available for the area, and public utilities adjoin the site appropriate for the planned project and densities

2. What conditions have changed and/or new studies have occurred since the adoption of the Washoe County Master Plan that supports the need for the amendment request?

A Conditional Letter of Map Revision including a technical amendment are under way that will clarify the fact that the entire property is outside the 100 year flood plain. Detailed geotechnical testing that included perk tests show that the intended project is suitable for development.
--

3. Please provide the following specific information:

a. What is the location (address or distance and direction from the nearest intersection of the subject property)? Attach, for map amendments, a legal description. For all other amendments, what is the area subject to the request?

0 Pan American Drive, SE corner of Pan American Drive and the future Lear Boulevard. See the attached legal description from the Deed.
--

b. Please list the following proposed changes (attach additional sheet if necessary):

Assessor's Parcel Number	Master Plan Designation	Existing Acres	Proposed Master Plan Designation	Proposed Acres

c. What are the adopted land use designations of adjacent parcels?

North	
South	
East	
West	

4. Describe the existing conditions and uses located at the site or in the vicinity (i.e. vacant land, roadways, buildings, etc.).

5. Describe the natural resources associated with the site under consideration. Your description should include resource characteristics such as water bodies, vegetation, topography, minerals, soils and wildlife habitat.

6. Describe whether any of the following natural resources or systems are related to the proposed amendment:

a. Is property located in the 100-year floodplain? (If yes, attach documentation of the extent of the floodplain and any proposed floodplain map revisions in compliance with Washoe County Development Code, Article 416, Flood Hazards, and consultation with the Washoe County Engineering & Capital Projects Division.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

b. Does property contain wetlands? (If yes, attach a preliminary delineation map and describe the impact the proposal will have on the wetlands. Impacts to the wetlands may require a permit issued from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

- c. Does the property contain slopes or hillsides in excess of 15 percent and/or significant ridgelines? (If yes, submit the slope analysis requirements as contained in Article 424, Hillside Development of the Washoe County Development Code.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

- d. Does the property contain geologic hazards such as active faults, hillside, or mountainous areas? Is it subject to avalanches, landslides, or flash floods? Near a stream or riparian area such as the Truckee River, and/or an area of groundwater recharge? If the answer is yes to any of the above, check yes and provide an explanation.

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

- e. Does the property contain prime farmland, within a wildfire hazard area, geothermal or mining area, and/or wildlife mitigation route? If the answer is yes to any of the above, check yes and provide an explanation.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
---	-----------------------------

Explanation:

7. Are any archaeological, historic, cultural, or scenic resources in the vicinity or associated with the proposed amendment? If the answer is yes to any of the above, check yes and provide an explanation.

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

8. Do you own sufficient water rights to accommodate the proposed amendment? (Amendment requests in some groundwater hydrographic basins [e.g. Cold Springs, Warm Springs, etc.] require proof of water rights be submitted with applications. Provide copies of all water rights documents, including chain of title to the original water right holder.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (Available for Purchase from Vidler/TMWA)
------------------------------	--

If yes, please identify the following quantities and documentation numbers relative to the water rights. Please attach a copy(s) of the water rights title (as filed with the State Engineer in the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources).

a. Permit #		acre-feet per year	
b. Certificate #		acre-feet per year	
c. Surface Claim #		acre-feet per year	
d. Other #		acre-feet per year	

- a. If the proposed amendment involves an intensification of land use, please identify how sufficient water rights will be available to serve the additional development.

9. Please describe the source and timing of the water facilities necessary to serve the amendment.

- a. System Type:

<input type="checkbox"/> Individual wells		
<input type="checkbox"/> Private water	Provider:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Public water	Provider:	

- b. Available:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Now	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-3 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-5 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 5+ years
---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

- c. If a public facility is proposed and is currently not available, please describe the funding mechanism for ensuring availability of water service.

10. What is the nature and timing of sewer services necessary to accommodate the proposed amendment?

- a. System Type:

<input type="checkbox"/> Individual septic		
<input type="checkbox"/> Public system	Provider:	

- b. Available:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Now	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-3 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-5 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 5+ years
---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

- c. If a public facility is proposed and is currently not available, please describe the funding mechanism for ensuring availability of sewer service. If a private system is proposed, please describe the system and the recommended location(s) for the proposed facility.

11. Please identify the street names and highways near the proposed amendment that will carry traffic to the regional freeway system.

12. Will the proposed amendment impact existing or planned transportation systems? (If yes, a traffic report will be required.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (Minimal Impact, see traffic study)	<input type="checkbox"/> No
---	-----------------------------

13. Community Services (provided and nearest facility):

a. Fire Station	
b. Health Care Facility	
c. Elementary School	
d. Middle School	
e. High School	
f. Parks	
g. Library	
h. Citifare Bus Stop	

14. Describe how the proposed amendment fosters, promotes, or complies with the policies of the adopted area plans and elements of the Washoe County Master Plan.

a. Population Element:

The project fits with Goal Three: Plan for a balanced development pattern that includes employment and housing opportunities, public services and open spaces.
--

b. Conservation Element:

Negligible impacts to the County's cultural and scenic, land, water, air and related resources.

c. Housing Element:

Provides much needed housing that area citizens can afford with close proximity to services & employment. Will promote homeownership opportunities.

d. Land Use and Transportation Element:

Takes advantage of existing transportation infrastructure with close proximity to services & employment.
--

e. Public Services and Facilities Element:

Takes advantage of existing services and facilities in close proximity.

f. Adopted area plan(s):


--

15. If the area plan includes a Plan Maintenance component, address all policies and attach all studies and analysis required by the Plan Maintenance criteria.

--

Applicant Comments

This page can be used by the applicant to support the master plan amendment request and should address, at a minimum, how one or more of the findings for an amendment is satisfied. (Please refer to Article 820 of the Washoe County Development Code for the list of Findings.)



IV. MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT FINDINGS

- (1) Consistency with Master Plan. *The proposed amendment is in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan. – Response: The site is characterized with minimal topographical relief (Slopes < 5%) and upland vegetation such as sagebrush, rabbitbrush and other native shrubs and grasses. The current Rural Land Use Designation being 8.568 acres has no development constraints as indicated by both the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan and North Valleys Area Plan. SEE FIGURES 1 AND 2.*





FIGURE 1: TRUCKEE MEADOWS REGIONAL PLAN – DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS



NORTH VALLEYS DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY

- | | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| RIDGE LINES TO BE PROTECTED | POTENTIAL WETLANDS |
| SLOPES GREATER THAN 15% | BOTH POTENTIAL FLOOD HAZARD AND POTENTIAL WETLANDS |
| SLOPES GREATER THAN 30% | PUBLIC LAND |
| FLOODWAY AREA | MOST SUITABLE |
| 100-YEAR FLOOD HAZARD | WATER BODIES |
| | DITCHES |

NOTE: THE SCALE AND CONFIGURATION OF ALL INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY AND ARE NOT INTENDED AS A GUIDE FOR DESIGN OR SURVEY WORK. REPRODUCTION IS NOT PERMITTED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE WASHOE COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

@lancidat@planners@wyo.gov
@washoe-county-nv@100.1116.mxd

0 2000 4000 6000 Feet



**FIGURE 2: NORTH VALLEYS AREA PLAN – DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY
(SHOWN AS MOST SUITABLE)**

A few years ago, a major drainage improvement was completed west of the site to channel storm waters into a major drainage channel as flood waters flow to Lemmon (Swan) Lake. A letter of map revision (LOMR) was completed upon city and county acceptance of the improvements. Prior to the LOMR being completed, the far northeast corner of the site was depicted as being in a Zone A flood zone. (FIGURE 3, FEMA FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM)). Upon completion of the drainage channel improvements and FEMA approval of the LOMR, a significant portion of the Lemmon Valley area was removed from the flood zone area and hence, homeowners can now choose whether to purchase flood insurance. (FIGURE 4)

With the recent flooding in 2017-2019, we understand Washoe County is working with other agencies to complete a new Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and ultimately a LOMR to raise the flood elevation level 0.7 feet as indicated by a comprehensive hydrology study completed since the flood years. With this study, it was found that the contours used in the prior FEMA mapping were in error and an administrative amendment is being completed simultaneously to implement accurate

topographical mapping. As a result, the flood zone upon FEMA approval of the applications will result in the flood zone being several hundred feet north of the site. In summary, the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan Development Constraints Map and North Valleys Area Plan Development Suitability Map are both correct in terms of showing no site related development constraints in regard to flooding. FIGURE 5 shows current Washoe County contours. The current flood elevation level of 4,924' is highlighted. We understand the proposed new flood elevation level will be 4,924.7'.




FIGURE 3: FEMA FIRM MAP PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY IMPROVEMENTS.

Finally, the geotechnical report shows that the site is entirely suitable for the proposed development. Detailed percolation tests and groundwater studies were completed to ensure that the planned infiltration basin will perform as intended to fully prevent Lemmon Lake from increasing in height during flood events as a result of development.

As described in the Section of the Application entitled, “Compliance with the North Valleys Area Plan”, the project is fully in compliance with the Plan and hence, the

Proposed Amendment will be in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan.




FIGURE 4 – CURRENT FEMA FIRM MAP




FIGURE 4 – CURRENT WASHOE COUNTY CONTOURS

- (2) Compatible Land Uses. *The proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare. – Response: The existing single family homes located east and south of the site (SEE FIGURE 6) also have a land use designation of Suburban Residential with a zoning designation of Medium Density Suburban. The proposed zoning includes a mix of Medium Density Suburban, High Density Suburban, and Open Space that will allow a maximum density of 4.5 dwelling units per acre via the three zoning designations, negating the need for a development agreement. City of Reno land lies to the west with a zoning designation of SF8 or a maximum density of 8 dwelling units per acre.*

The site is bordered to the east and south by 10,000 sq. ft. minimum size lots with an overall project density of 3.37 dwelling units per acre. To compensate for the smaller lots and slightly higher density of just under 4.5 dwelling units per acre, a 30' to 35' wide buffer area is provided that will encompass a trail connecting to Lear Boulevard and Pan American Drive. Trees will be planted strategically in this area to minimize view-visual impacts to adjoining neighbors but to help screen the new homes. In summary, the proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare.



FIGURE 6 – AERIAL PHOTO (SITE IS HIGHLIGHTED)

(3) Response to Changed Conditions; more desirable use. *The proposed amendment responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land. Response: As discussed in this application, no development constraints exist on this parcel and recent action by FEMA and the county now clearly demonstrate that no portion of the property lies within a flood zone. In fact, the actual Zone A Flood Zone lies several hundred feet north of the property via a current administrative amendment being filed with FEMA to provide correct contour information. Overall, the proposed amendments will allow for a more desirable utilization of the land by further promoting housing opportunities and compatibility with surrounding land uses.*

(4) Availability of Facilities. *There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed Master Plan designation. – Response: As discussed in the application, all needed services are in close proximity to this project that falls within the Lemmon Valley Suburban Character Management Area including public safety facilities. The property lies in a Moderate Fire Rating category.*

(5) Desired Pattern of Growth. *The proposed amendment will promote the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County and guides development of the County based on the projected population growth with the least amount of natural resource impairment and the efficient expenditure of funds for public services. – Response: The proposed amendment will promote a desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County with a better allocation of the land for single family residential home development that takes into account open space and outdoor recreational opportunities, access and traffic concerns, desire for public trails, and respect for the scenic and rural heritage of the area.*

(6) Effect on a Military Installation When a Military Installation is Required to be Noticed. *The proposed amendment will not affect the location, purpose and mission of the military installation. – Response: There is no military installation in the vicinity of the project.*

Notes on Truckee Meadows Regional Plan Conformance:

The project lies within the Truckee Meadows Service Area and has a Tier 3 Land Designation within the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan:

Tier 3 Land

Area that is generally on the periphery of the TMSA and contains low density development, is undeveloped, or contains significant development constraints. Development in this area is lowest on the priority hierarchy for lands within the TMSA. Public facility or service provision is generally not available or planned for.

This property lies fully within the TMSA (Truckee Meadows Service Area), has no development constraints, and has full access to public and private utilities and public services including an elementary school within walking distance. Soils are fully compatible with the proposed development and percolation rates and groundwater levels are fully supportive of the proposed infiltration basin. This Tier 3 area that extends to Lemmon Drive is no longer contained within a Zone A Flood Zone as discussed in this application. Note that the land lying to the north and west lies within a Tier 2 Land Designation:

Tier 2 Land

Area within the TMSA where there is generally less dense development occurring at suburban levels, with some higher density nodes, and third in the priority hierarchy for development. A variety of residential and non-residential uses exist in this area. Public facility and service provision is currently in place or is planned. There is a desire to have connectivity to Tier 1 Lands and Mixed Use Core area through multi-modal means.

Table 3.1 – Regional Land Designation Requirements

Regional Land Designation	Minimum Density (du/acre)	Maximum Density (du/acre)	Nonresidential Standards
Tier 2 Land	No minimum	30 du/ac	None

We envision 87 lots for the development to be entitled with the Tentative Map. For the 19.926 acre parcel, this would equate to a density of 4.37 dwelling units per acre. These densities fit well within the Tier 2 Regional Land Designation Requirements. Thus, we feel that the proposed amendment fully complies with the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan.

Per Page 90 of the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan:

Alternative densities or alternative nonresidential standards may be utilized in certain circumstances, where the requirements are determined to not be appropriate. TMRPA will track and review the usage of these alternatives to ensure that they are not impacting desired Regional Form.

A Tier 3 designation is clearly not appropriate for the site. Tier 2 is the appropriate Land Designation. We reached out to the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency on this issue and learned that the Tier 2 designation can be applied to the site at the time of the Master Plan Conformance Review process.

Master Plan Amendment

Washoe County Code (WCC) Chapter 110, Article 820, Amendment of the Master Plan, provides the method for amending the Master Plan, including requests to change a master plan designation affecting a parcel of land or a portion of a parcel. A Master Plan Amendment may be initiated by the Board of County Commissioners, by the Director of Planning and Building, or an owner of real property or the property owner's authorized agent may initiate an amendment by submitting an application. See WCC 110.820, for further information.

Development Application Submittal Requirements

Applications are accepted on the 8th of January, May, and September. If the 8th falls on a non-business day, applications will be accepted on the next business day.

If you are submitting your application online, you may do so at [OneNV.us](https://www.onenv.us)

-
- XX 1. **Fees:** See Master Fee Schedule. **Most payments can be made directly through the OneNV.us portal.** If you would like to pay by check, please make the check payable to Washoe County and bring your application and payment to the Community Services Department (CSD).
 - XX 2. **Development Application:** A completed Washoe County Development Application form.
 - XX 3. **Owner Affidavit:** The Owner Affidavit must be signed and notarized by all owners of the property subject to the application request.
 - XX 4. **Proof of Property Tax Payment:** The applicant must provide a written statement from the Washoe County Treasurer's Office indicating all property taxes for the current quarter of the fiscal year on the land have been paid.
 - XX 5. **Neighborhood Meeting:** This project may require a Neighborhood Meeting to be held prior to application submittal. Please contact Washoe County Planning at Planning@washoecounty.gov or by phone at 775-328-6100 to discuss requirements.
 - XX 6. **Application Materials:** The completed Master Plan Amendment Application materials.
 - XX 7. **Traffic Impact Report:** Traffic impact reports are required whenever the proposed amendment will create the potential to generate 80 or more weekday peak hour trips as determined using the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation rates or other such sources, as may be acceptable by Washoe County Engineering. Projects with less than 200 peak hour trips may not need to perform an impact analysis for future years. Traffic consultants are encouraged to contact Engineering staff prior to preparing a traffic impact report.
 - XX 8. **Application Map Specifications:** If this request involves a change to a map within the Master Plan, provide a map to be drawn using standard engineering scales (e.g. scale 1" = 100', 1" = 200', or 1" = 500') clearly depicting the area subject to the request, in relationship to the exterior property lines. All dimensions and area values shall be clearly labeled and appropriate symbols and/or line types shall be included in the map legend to depict the map intent.
 - XX 9. **Compliance with Planning Area Special Requirements:** Several planning areas (e.g. North Valleys, South Valleys, etc.) have additional submittal requirements, especially related to proof of sufficient water rights to serve the proposed master plan amendment. Please consult the Master Plan and the Washoe County Development Code, Division Two, for the planning area(s) to be impacted prior to submitting a Master Plan Amendment Application.
 - XX 10. **Digital File:** If this request involves a change to a map within the Master Plan, the applicant must provide an electronic file representing the scale, location, and size (in acres) of the proposed Master Plan changes. The file shall match any exhibits and/or acreage information contained within the original paper application. Preferred file format will be compatible with ESRI Geographic Information System (GIS) software technology (AutoCAD files are acceptable but should only include the polygon

layer information necessary to determine the location and size of the proposed land use change request). The data provided will be used by staff to create a “side-by-side” comparison map of existing and proposed land use and will also be the basis for official changes to the Washoe County land use database should the request be approved. The data may also be used for three dimensional (3D) modeling of the request during the permit review and public hearing process.

- XX 11. **Submission Packets:** One (1) packet and a flash drive. Any digital documents need to have a resolution of 300 dpi. If materials are unreadable, you will be asked to provide a higher quality copy. The packet shall include one (1) 8.5” x 11” reduction of any applicable site plan, development plan, and/or application map. Labeling on these reproductions should be no smaller than 8 point on the 8.5” x 11” display. Large format sheets should be included in a slide pocket(s). Any specialized reports identified above shall be included as attachments or appendices and be annotated as such.

Notes:

- XX. (i) Application and map submittals must comply with all specific criteria as established in the Washoe County Development Code and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- XX. (ii) Appropriate map engineering and building architectural scales are subject to the approval of the Planning and Building and/or Engineering and Capital Projects.
- XX. (iii) All oversized maps and plans must be folded to a 9” x 12” size.
- XX. (iv) Based on the specific nature of the development request, Washoe County reserves the right to specify additional submittal packets, additional information and/or specialized studies that clarify the potential impacts and potential conditions of development in order to minimize or mitigate impacts resulting from the project. No application shall be processed until the information necessary to review and evaluate the proposed project is deemed complete by the Director of Planning and Building.
- N/A (v) **Labels:** If the assigned planner determines the abandonment will affect the access to a mobile home park, the applicant will be required to submit a list of mailing addresses for every tenant residing in the mobile home park.
- N/A (vi) **Master Plan Amendments that propose a change to the Vision or Character Statement or any of their associated goals and/or policies of an Area Plan may require a series of community visioning workshops with the applicable Citizen Advisory Board(s). Please see the Plan Maintenance section of the subject Area Plan for more information.**

Compliance with the North Valleys Area Plan

Learner Lemmon, a 19.926 acre site has been designed with 87 lots at a density of less than 4.5 dwelling unit per acre to fully comply with the goals and policies of the North Valleys Area Plan and Plan Maintenance sections. The significant amount of open space (>25%) both within the site and along the street frontages of Pan American and Lear, a looped trail system, pocket park, and larger canopy trees throughout the site ensure that the scenic and rural heritage of the North Valleys area is preserved. Upon approval and development, this well thought out project will provide much needed market rate housing, provide additional open space and recreational opportunities, be in scale and in character with the surrounding community, and will take advantage of available roadway capacity, public and private utilities, and nearby public services. In summary, the project complies with the vision and character statements of the North Valleys Area Plan and in particular, the Lemmon Valley Community.

Common development standards are achieved with use of rolled curb and gutter, use of native vegetation within common areas including revegetation to control noxious weeds (to include ongoing maintenance by a homeowner's association) and provision of buffers and trail access to benefit adjoining neighbors. Streets were designed to calm traffic without the need for speed bumps or other physical devices with short tangent lengths and two points of access to Pan American Drive. No homes front on Pan American Drive and a 10 foot wide landscape strip is provided to allow for planting of Class 4 canopy trees. We will work with Washoe County during final design to limit the use of streetlights, only placing lights where needed for safety and to be in compliance with dark sky and shielding requirements.

A traffic study was completed in accordance with county guidelines and in consultation with county staff to ensure approval of the study scope. The traffic study shows that impacts from the development will be minimal and not overly burden existing residents while maintaining level of service standards. New electrical service will be placed underground. The site is level and hillside development standards do not apply. The larger 50' wide lots will allow for single story homes in locations desired by the builder. The common area landscape buffer and infiltration basin ensure compliance with policies addressing separation from adjoining single family homes.

Flooding is a large concern in the North Valleys Community and Lemmon Valley in particular after the significant flooding issues with Lemmon Lake in 2017 to 2019. Thus, we performed a substantial amount of both percolation tests and groundwater elevation studies to make sure that our proposed infiltration site was properly placed and will provide decades of service.

We also worked with engineering staff to develop the best possible sewer service for the project. Knowing that the county plant will be decommissioned, we came up with a plan to sewer the site to the Reno-Stead Wastewater Treatment facility that is undergoing significant expansion. We were also able to gravity flow the site to the plant and avoid a costly lift station and eliminate the need for ongoing maintenance.

Plan Maintenance

The only modification required to the North Valley Area Plan is to change the land use designation of the northern 43% of the site from Rural to Suburban Residential, consistent with existing development patterns in the neighborhood for land with no development constraints. Furthermore, as described above, the project was designed to be compatible with the vision and character statements of the plan and not conflict with the public's health, safety or welfare. Use of native landscaping including revegetation along with required low flow plumbing fixtures will minimize water usage and ensure compliance with the Regional Water Management Plan.

Coupled with the extensive sewer, storm drain, and traffic studies and the discovery underway with the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, this project will comply with the Plan Maintenance sections of the North Valleys Area Plan. Again, the traffic study clearly demonstrates that adopted roadway levels of service will be maintained along with Washoe County traffic requirements for local streets.

The provision of the pocket park, open space, trails system, nearby elementary school within walking distance and availability of all public services and utilities for this infill parcel ensures compliance with the Plan Maintenance sections of the North Valleys Area Plan.

VII. Regulatory Zone Amendment Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

Please complete the following supplemental information to ensure consistent review of your request to amend the Washoe County Zoning Map. Please provide a brief explanation to all questions answered in the affirmative.

1. List the Following information regarding the property subject to the Regulatory Zone Amendment.
 - a. What is the location (address, distance and direction from nearest intersection)?

0 Pan American Drive, SE corner of Pan American Drive and the future Lear Boulevard. See the attached legal description from the Deed.

- b. Please list the following proposed changes (attach additional sheet if necessary).

APN of Parcel	Master Plan Designation	Current Zoning	Existing Acres	Proposed Zoning	Proposed Acres

- c. What are the regulatory zone designations of adjacent parcels?

	Zoning	Use (residential, vacant, commercial, etc.)
North		
South		
East		
West		

3. Describe the existing conditions and uses located on the site (i.e. vacant land, roadways, easements, buildings, etc.).

4. Describe the natural resources associated with the site under consideration. Your description should include resource characteristics such as water bodies, vegetation, topography, minerals, soils, and wildlife habitat.

Upland with Sagebrush, Rabbitbrush and similar Native Vegetation

5. Does the property contain development constraints such as floodplain or floodways, wetlands, slopes, or hillsides in excess of 15%, geologic hazards such as active faults, significant hydrologic resources, or major drainages or prime farmland?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, provide map identifying locations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
---	--

6. Is the site located in an area where there is potentially an archeological, historic, or scenic resource?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

Explanation:

--

7. Are there sufficient water rights to accommodate the proposed amendment? Please provide copies of all water rights documents, including chain of title to the original water right holder.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (To be purchased from Vidler/TMWA)	<input type="checkbox"/> No
--	-----------------------------

If yes, please identify the following quantities and documentation numbers relative to the water rights:

a. Permit #		acre-feet per year	
b. Certificate #		acre-feet per year	
c. Surface Claim #		acre-feet per year	
d. Other #		acre-feet per year	

- a. Title of those rights (as filed with the State Engineer in the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources):

--

- b. If the proposed amendment involves an intensification of land use, please identify how sufficient water rights will be available to serve the additional development.

--

8. Please describe the source and timing of the water facilities necessary to serve the amendment.

- a. System Type:

<input type="checkbox"/> Individual wells		
<input type="checkbox"/> Private water	Provider:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public water	Provider:	

- b. Available:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Now	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-3 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-5 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 5+ years
---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

- c. Is this part of a Washoe County Capital Improvements Program project? N/A

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	--

- d. If a public facility is proposed and is currently not listed in the Washoe County Capital Improvements Program or not available, please describe the funding mechanism for ensuring availability of water service.

--

9. What is the nature and timing of sewer services necessary to accommodate the proposed amendment?

- a. System Type:

<input type="checkbox"/> Individual septic		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public system	Provider:	

- b. Available:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Now	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-3 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-5 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 5+ years
---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

- c. Is this part of a Washoe County Capital Improvements Program project? N/A

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

- d. If a public facility is proposed and is currently not listed in the Washoe County Capital Improvements Program or not available, please describe the funding mechanism for ensuring availability of sewer service. If a private system is proposed, please describe the system and the recommended location(s) for the proposed facility.

--

10. Please identify the street names and highways near the proposed amendment that will carry traffic to the regional freeway system.

Pan American Drive to Fleetwood Drive to Lemmon Drive

11. Will the proposed amendment impact existing or planned transportation systems? (If yes, a traffic report is required.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (Minimal Impact, see traffic study)	<input type="checkbox"/> No
---	-----------------------------

12. Community Services (provided name, address and distance to nearest facility).

a. Fire Station	
b. Health Care Facility	
c. Elementary School	
d. Middle School	
e. High School	
f. Parks	
g. Library	
h. Citifare Bus Stop	

Projects of Regional Significance Information For Regulatory Zone Amendments

Nevada Revised Statutes 278.026 defines “Projects of Regional Significance”. Regulatory Zone amendment requests for properties within the jurisdiction of the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Commission (TMRPC) must respond to the following questions. A “Yes” answer to any of the following questions may result in the application being referred first to the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency (TMRPA) for submission as a project of regional significance. Applicants should consult with County or Regional Planning staff if uncertain about the meaning or applicability of these questions.

1. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase employment by not less than 938 employees?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

2. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase housing by 625 or more units?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

3. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase hotel accommodations by 625 or more rooms?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

4. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase sewage by 187,500 gallons or more per day?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

5. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase water usage by 625 acre-feet or more per year?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

6. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase traffic by 6,250 or more average daily trips?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

7. Will the full development potential of the Regulatory Zone amendment increase the student population from kindergarten to 12th grade by 325 students or more?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------

IX. REGULATORY ZONE AMENDMENT FINDINGS

((1) Consistency with Master Plan. The proposed amendment is in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan. – **Response: See Master Plan Amendment Finding No. 1. The proposed changes are Consistent with the Master Plan.**

(2) Compatible Land Uses. The proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare. – **Response: See Master Plan Amendment Finding No. 2. The proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare.**

(3) Response to Change Conditions; more desirable use. The proposed amendment responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land. – **Response: See Master Plan Amendment Finding No. 3. The proposed amendment responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land.**

(4) Availability of Facilities. There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed amendment. – **Response: See Master Plan Amendment Finding No. 4. There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility, school, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed amendment.**

(5) No Adverse Effects. The proposed amendment will not adversely affect the implementation of the policies and action programs of the Washoe County Master Plan. – **Response: The proposed amendment will positively affect the implementation of the policies and action programs of the Washoe County Master Plan. Pertinent goals and Policies are Achieved with the Proposed Amendments.**

(6) Desired Pattern of Growth. The proposed amendment will promote the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County and guides development of the County based on the projected population growth with the least amount of natural resource impairment and the efficient expenditure of funds for public services. – **Response: See Master Plan Amendment Finding No. 5. The proposed amendment will promote the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County with a better allocation of the land for development vs. undeveloped land that takes into account topography, access, desire for public trails, and sensitivity to traffic issues in the area.**

(7) Effect on a Military Installation When a Military Installation is Required to be Noticed. The proposed amendment will not affect the location, purpose and mission of a military installation. – **Response: There is no military installation in the vicinity of the project.**

Regulatory Zone Amendment

Washoe County Code (WCC) Chapter 110, Article 821, Amendment of Regulatory Zone, provides for the method for amending the Regulatory Zone map, including requests to change a Regulatory Zone affecting a parcel of land or a portion of a parcel. A Regulatory Zone Amendment may be initiated by the Board of County Commissioners, by the Director of Planning and Building, or an owner of real property or the property owner's authorized agent may initiate an amendment by submitting an application. See WCC 110.821 for further information.

Development Application Submittal Requirements

Applications are accepted on the 8th of each month. If the 8th falls on a non-business day, applications will be accepted on the next business day.

If you are submitting your application online, you may do so at [OneNV.us](https://www.onenv.us)

-
- XX 1. **Fees:** See Master Fee Schedule. **Most payments can be made directly through the OneNV.us portal.** If you would like to pay by check, please make the check payable to Washoe County and bring your application and payment to the Community Services Department (CSD).
 - XX 2. **Development Application:** A completed Washoe County Development Application form.
 - XX 3. **Owner Affidavit:** The Owner Affidavit must be signed and notarized by all owners of the property subject to the application request.
 - XX 4. **Proof of Property Tax Payment:** The applicant must provide a written statement from the Washoe County Treasurer's Office indicating all property taxes for the current quarter of the fiscal year on the land have been paid.
 - XX 5. **Neighborhood Meeting:** This project may require a Neighborhood Meeting to be held prior to application submittal. Please contact Washoe County Planning at Planning@washoecounty.gov or by phone at 775-328-6100 to discuss requirements.
 - XX 6. **Application Materials:** The completed Regulatory Zone Amendment Application materials.
 - XX 7. **Application Map Specifications:** Map to be drawn using standard engineering scales (e.g. scale 1" = 100', 1" = 200', or 1" = 500') clearly depicting the area subject to the request, in relationship to the exterior property lines. All dimensions and area values shall be clearly labeled, and appropriate symbols and/or line types shall be included in the map legend to depict the map intent.
 - XX 8. **Compliance with Planning Area Special Requirements:** Several planning areas (e.g. North Valleys, South Valleys, etc.) have additional submittal requirements, especially related to proof of sufficient water rights to serve the proposed land use. Please consult the Master Plan and the Washoe County Development Code, Division Two, for the planning area(s) to be impacted prior to submitting a Regulatory Zone Amendment Application.
 - XX 9. **Submission Packets:** One (1) packet and a flash drive. Any digital documents need to have a resolution of 300 dpi. If materials are unreadable, you will be asked to provide a higher quality copy. The packet shall include one (1) 8.5" x 11" reduction of any applicable site plan, development plan, and/or application map. Labeling on these reproductions should be no smaller than 8 point on the 8.5" x 11" display. Large format sheets should be included in a slide pocket(s). Any specialized reports identified above shall be included as attachments or appendices and be annotated as such.

Notes:

- XX (i) Application and map submittals must comply with all specific criteria as established in the Washoe County Development Code and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes.


- XX (ii) Appropriate map engineering and building architectural scales are subject to the approval of the Planning and Building and/or Engineering and Capital Projects.
- XX (iii) All oversized maps and plans must be folded to a 9" x 12" size.
- XX (iv) Based on the specific nature of the development request, Washoe County reserves the right to specify additional submittal packets, additional information and/or specialized studies that clarify the potential impacts and potential conditions of development in order to minimize or mitigate impacts resulting from the project. No application shall be processed until the information necessary to review and evaluate the proposed project is deemed complete by the Director of Planning and Building.
- N/A (v) **Labels:** If the assigned planner determines the abandonment will affect the access to a mobile home park, the applicant will be required to submit a list of mailing addresses for every tenant residing in the mobile home park.

LEARNER - LEMMON PROPERTY
 PROPOSED ZONING EXHIBIT
 WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA

revisions	

drawn:	AJH
checked:	RTS
date:	APRIL 2023
scale:	1"=50'
project no:	22030


Z-1



PROPOSED ZONING SUMMARY:
 OPEN SPACE = 5.27 Acres
 MDS: (3 d.u./Ac) = 3.54 Acres (10.62 d.u.)
 HDS: (7 d.u./Ac) = 11.11 Acres (77.77 d.u.)
 MAXIMUM UNITS = 88 d.u. (4.42 d.u./ac.)
 MDS/HDS INCLUDE RIGHT OF WAY

NORTH VALLEY'S INVESTMENT GROUP LLC
 APN:568-041-05


LOTZ
 APN:568-041-13



PROPOSED ZONING EXHIBIT

S:\Axion Engineering\Learner\Lemmon\Drawings\LAYOUT BASE.GRG 03.27.23.dwg

FLEETWOOD DRIVE




PROPOSED ZONING SUMMARY:

OPEN SPACE = 5.27 Acres
MDS: (3 d.u./Ac) = 3.54 Acres (10.62 d.u.)
HDS: (7 d.u./Ac) = 11.11 Acres (77.77 d.u.)
MAXIMUM UNITS = 88 d.u. (4.42 d.u./ac.)
MDS/HDS INCLUDE RIGHT OF WAY

NOTICE OF PREPARATION
 NORTH VALLEY MANAGEMENT
 GROUP LLC
 APR588-041-05

NOTICE OF PREPARATION
 NORTH VALLEY MANAGEMENT
 GROUP LLC
 APR588-041-13



SCALE: 1" = 50'

PROPOSED ZONING EXHIBIT



683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

LEARNER - LEMMON PROPERTY
PROPOSED ZONING EXHIBIT
WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA

drawn:	AJH
checked:	RTS
date:	APRIL 2023
scale:	1"=50'
project no:	22030

revisions

Z-1

d. Proposed yard setbacks if different from standard:

e. Justification for setback reduction or increase, if requested:

f. Identify all proposed non-residential uses:

g. Improvements proposed for the common open space:

h. Describe or show on the tentative map any public or private trail systems within common open space of the development:

i. Describe the connectivity of the proposed trail system with existing trails or open space adjacent to or near the property:

j. If there are ridgelines on the property, how are they protected from development?

k. Will fencing be allowed on lot lines or restricted? If so, how?

l. Identify the party responsible for maintenance of the common open space:

6. Is the project adjacent to public lands or impacted by "Presumed Public Roads" as shown on the adopted April 27, 1999 Presumed Public Roads (see Washoe County Engineering website at <http://www.washoecounty.us/pubworks/engineering.htm>). If so, how is access to those features provided?

7. Is the parcel within the Truckee Meadows Service Area?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (Washoe County)	<input type="checkbox"/> No
---	-----------------------------

8. Is the parcel within the Cooperative Planning Area as defined by the Regional Plan?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, within what city?
------------------------------	--	---------------------------

9. Has an archeological survey been reviewed and approved by SHPO on the property? If yes, what were the findings?

--

10. Indicate the type and quantity of water rights the application has or proposes to have available:

a. Permit #		acre-feet per year	
b. Certificate #		acre-feet per year	
c. Surface Claim #		acre-feet per year	
d. Other #		acre-feet per year	

a. Title of those rights (as filed with the State Engineer in the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources):

--

11. Describe the aspects of the tentative subdivision that contribute to energy conservation:

--

12. Is the subject property in an area identified by Planning and Building as potentially containing rare or endangered plants and/or animals, critical breeding habitat, migration routes or winter range? If so, please list the species and describe what mitigation measures will be taken to prevent adverse impacts to the species:

--

13. If private roads are proposed, will the community be gated? If so, is a public trail system easement provided through the subdivision?

--

14. Are there any applicable policies of the adopted area plan in which the project is located that require compliance? If so, which policies and how does the project comply?

Water, sewer, storm water, and noxious weeds are all addressed in this application
--

15. Are there any applicable area plan modifiers in the Development Code in which the project is located that require compliance? If so, which modifiers and how does the project comply?

Section 110.404.25 Common Open Space Development allows for modification to include a reduction in minimum lot sizes and lot standards as long as the overall density is not increased beyond that permitted in a specific regulatory zone. See the section on opportunities & constraints.

16. Will the project be completed in one phase or is phasing planned? If so, please provide that phasing plan:

--

17. Is the project subject to Article 424, Hillside Development? If yes, please address all requirements of the Hillside Ordinance in a separate set of attachments and maps.

Yes No If yes, include a separate set of attachments and maps.

18. Is the project subject to Article 418, Significant Hydrologic Resources? If yes, please address Special Review Considerations within Section 110.418.30 in a separate attachment.

Yes No If yes, include separate attachments.

Grading

Please complete the following additional questions if the project anticipates grading that involves: (1) Disturbed area exceeding twenty-five thousand (25,000) square feet not covered by streets, buildings and landscaping; (2) More than one thousand (1,000) cubic yards of earth to be imported and placed as fill in a special flood hazard area; (3) More than five thousand (5,000) cubic yards of earth to be imported and placed as fill; (4) More than one thousand (1,000) cubic yards to be excavated, whether or not the earth will be exported from the property; or (5) If a permanent earthen structure will be established over four and one-half (4.5) feet high:

19. How many cubic yards of material are you proposing to excavate on site?

[Empty text box for question 19]

20. How many cubic yards of material are you exporting or importing? If exporting of material is anticipated, where will the material be sent? If the disposal site is within unincorporated Washoe County, what measures will be taken for erosion control and revegetation at the site? If none, how are you balancing the work on-site?

Estimated Import of 26,500 cu. yds. Per neighborhood input, we plan to pursue the potential to bring fill in from Lear Boulevard via Military Road via a temporary crossing over the major drainage way. We believe that the import number can be reduced below 20,000 cu. yds. with final design.

21. Can the disturbed area be seen from off-site? If yes, from which directions, and which properties or roadways? What measures will be taken to mitigate their impacts?

[Empty text box for question 21]

22. What is the slope (Horizontal/Vertical) of the cut and fill areas proposed to be? What methods will be used to prevent erosion until the revegetation is established?

[Empty text box for question 22]

23. Are you planning any berms and, if so, how tall is the berm at its highest? How will it be stabilized and/or revegetated?

[Empty text box for question 23]

24. Are retaining walls going to be required? If so, how high will the walls be, will there be multiple walls with intervening terracing, and what is the wall construction (i.e. rockery, concrete, timber, manufactured block)? How will the visual impacts be mitigated?

[Empty text box for question 24]

25. Will the grading proposed require removal of any trees? If so, what species, how many, and of what size?

No trees exist on site

26. What type of revegetation seed mix are you planning to use and how many pounds per acre do you intend to broadcast? Will you use mulch and, if so, what type?

See the preliminary landscape plans for details on revegetation and the seed mix planned for the site.

27. How are you providing temporary irrigation to the disturbed area?

No temporary irrigation is planned to avoid erosion issues. A proper seed mix and planting techniques will be used along with fall planting to take advantage of winter moisture to facilitate seed germination and rooting.

28. Have you reviewed the revegetation plan with the Washoe Storey Conservation District? If yes, have you incorporated their suggestions?

TENTATIVE MAP FINDINGS

Section 110.608.25 Findings. Prior to approving an application for a tentative map, the Planning Commission shall find that all of the following are true:

(a) Plan Consistency. That the proposed map is consistent with the Master Plan and any specific plan;

RESPONSE – This Tentative Map is consistent with the supplemental information, findings, and compliance information contained within the attached Master Plan Amendment Application and thus consistent with the Master Plan including the North Valleys Area Plan.

(b) Design or Improvement. That the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with the Master Plan and any specific plan;

RESPONSE – This Tentative Map and the subdivision design are consistent with the supplemental information, findings, and compliance information contained within the attached Master Plan Amendment Application and consistent with the Washoe County Master Plan including the North Valleys Area Plan.

(c) Type of Development. That the site is physically suited for the type of development proposed;

RESPONSE – This project is ideally situated on the property with significant buffer areas adjoining the existing single family residential homes to the east and south, a pocket park, trail system, and significant open space to help preserve the character of the area. Planned access to Pan American Drive will limit traffic on Fleetwood Drive between Lear and Budger and traffic volumes on the residential portion of Fleetwood will remain below 2,000 ADT with development of the project. Lemmon Valley Elementary School and Lemmon Valley Park are within walking distance for the children and families that are expected to live in the new homes.

(d) Availability of Services. That the subdivision will meet the requirements of Article 702, Adequate Public Facilities Management System;

RESPONSE – Per the following table, this project has sufficient and adequate access to the Public Facilities Management System.

a. Fire Station	TRUCKEE MEADOWS FIRE STATION 44, 5.4 miles (+/-3 miles upon completion of Lear Blvd)
b. Health Care Facility	Numerous Health Care/Urgent Care Facilities near Lemmon Dr. & US 395
c. Elementary School	Lemmon Valley Elementary School, 0.6 Miles
d. Middle School	O'Brien Middle School, 4.5 Miles
e. High School	North Valley's High School, 4.2 Miles
f. Parks	Lemmon Valley Park (0.5 Miles) & North Valley's Regional Park (3.5 Miles)
g. Library	North Valley's Library, 3.5 Miles
h. Citifare Bus Stop	Adjoins RTC Flex Ride Service area (See attached)

(e) Fish or Wildlife. That neither the design of the subdivision nor any proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage, or substantial and avoidable injury to any endangered plant, wildlife or their habitat;

RESPONSE – No endangered plant, wildlife, or associated habitats exists on this site. 5.27 acres of open space will be preserved, and native vegetation will be used where practical. A trail system will traverse said open space to the benefit the public and wildlife.

(f) Public Health. That the design of the subdivision or type of improvement is not likely to cause significant public health problems;

RESPONSE – There are no Public Health Issues associated with this project. Public sewer and water lines will serve the project, adequate traffic facilities exist to accommodate the project and adopted levels of service will be maintained, and all necessary public facilities are within close proximity to the project.

(g) Easements. That the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will not conflict with easements acquired by the public at large for access through, or use of property within, the proposed subdivision;

RESPONSE – There are no easements affected by this project. Planned trails and pocket parks will benefit the public.

(h) Access. That the design of the subdivision provides any necessary access to surrounding, adjacent lands and provides appropriate secondary access for emergency vehicles;

RESPONSE – Per the attached traffic study, the two proposed three leg intersections on Pan American Drive will provide safe and adequate primary and emergency access to the project. The traffic study shows that the project will not unduly burden area roadways, further supports this finding.

(i) Dedications. That any land or improvements to be dedicated to the County is consistent with the Master Plan; and

RESPONSE – The local streets that are proposed to be dedicated to Washoe County as Public Roadways will comply with all applicable county standards and be in compliance with the Master Plan

(j) Energy. That the design of the subdivision provides, to the extent feasible, for future passive or natural heating or cooling opportunities in the subdivision.

RESPONSE – The level topography and layout of the site with southern and western orientation of the homes and large setbacks from existing homes will allow for significant natural solar heating of the vast majority of homes on the site.

Tentative Subdivision Map

Washoe County Code (WCC) Chapter 110, Article 608, Tentative Subdivision Map, prescribes the rules and procedures for the regulation and approval of tentative subdivision maps. The Planning Commission shall approve, conditionally approve, or deny the tentative parcel map within sixty (60) days of the date that the application is determined to be complete. See WCC 110.608, for further information.

Development Application Submittal Requirements

Applications are accepted on the 8th of each month. If the 8th falls on a non-business day, applications will be accepted on the next business day.

If you are submitting your application online, you may do so at [OneNV.us](https://www.onenv.us)

-
- XX 1. **Fees:** See Master Fee Schedule. **Most payments can be made directly through the OneNV.us portal.** If you would like to pay by check, please make the check payable to Washoe County and bring your application and payment to the Community Services Department (CSD). The following fees will also need to be paid:
- A fee to the Engineering Department for Technical Plan Check.
 - A separate check made payable to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (\$100 base fee plus \$1 per lot) is required upon submittal.
 - A separate check made payable to the Nevada Division of Water Resources (\$150 base fee plus \$1 per lot) is required upon submittal.
- XX 2. **Development Application:** A completed Washoe County Development Application form.
- XX 3. **Owner Affidavit:** The Owner Affidavit must be signed and notarized by all owners of the property subject to the application request.
- XX 4. **Proof of Property Tax Payment:** The applicant must provide a written statement from the Washoe County Treasurer's Office indicating all property taxes for the current quarter of the fiscal year on the land have been paid.
- XX 5. **Neighborhood Meeting:** This project may require a Neighborhood Meeting to be held prior to application submittal. Please contact Washoe County Planning at Planning@washoecounty.gov or by phone at 775-328-6100 to discuss requirements.
- XX 6. **Application Materials:** The completed Tentative Subdivision Map Application materials.
- XX 7. **Title Report:** A preliminary title report, with an effective date of no more than one hundred twenty (120) days of the submittal date, by a title company which provides the following information:
- Name and address of property owners.
 - Legal description of property.
 - Description of all easements and/or deed restrictions.
 - Description of all liens against property.
 - Any covenants, conditions and restrictions (CC&Rs) that apply.
- XX 8. **Traffic Impact Report:** Traffic impact reports are required whenever the proposed development will create the potential to generate 80 or more weekday peak hour trips as determined using the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation rates or other such sources as may be accepted by Washoe County Engineering. Projects with less than 200 peak hour trips may not need to perform an impact analysis for future years. Traffic consultants are encouraged to contact Washoe County Engineering staff prior to preparing a traffic impact report.
- XX 9. **Development Plan Specifications:**
- a. Vicinity map showing the proposed development in relation to the surrounding area with distance to primary and secondary access/egress and in relationship to Interstate 80, Highway 395, I-580, or other major arterials.

- b. Date, north arrow, standard engineering scale (e.g. scale 1" = 100', 1" = 200', or 1" = 500') and index with number of each sheet in relation to the total number of sheets.
- c. Name of subdivision, applicant, property owner and engineer.
- d. General notes as required.
- e. Land use data (number of lots, total area, common area, gross density, average lot size, largest and smallest lot at a minimum).
- f. Engineer's statement with wet stamp including a note by the project engineer or design professional indicating compliance with all applicable provisions of the Washoe County Development Code.

XX 10. **Map Series (the following at a minimum must be shown):**

- a. Lot size with dimensions showing all streets and ingress/egress to the property.
- b. Property boundary lines, distances and bearings.
- c. Show the location of all existing buildings that will remain (with distances from the property lines and from each other), all existing buildings that will be removed, and site improvements on a base map with existing and proposed topography expressed in intervals of no more than five (5) feet.
- d. Show the location and configuration of all existing and proposed wells, septic systems and leach fields, overhead utilities, and water and sewer lines.
- e. Show locations of parking, landscaping, signage and lighting (if applicable).
- f. Contours (labeled) at five (5) foot intervals or two (2) foot intervals where, in the opinion of the County Engineer, topography is a major factor in the development.
- g. Indication of prominent landmarks, areas of unique natural beauty, rock outcroppings, vistas and natural foliage which will be deciding considerations in the design of the development.
- h. The cross sections of all right-of-ways, streets, alleys or private access ways within the proposed development, proposed name and approximate grade of each, and approximate radius of all curves and diameter of each cul-de-sac. Plans to mitigate visual impacts of all cuts and fills over five (5) feet in height.
- i. The width and approximate location of all existing or proposed easements, whether public or private, for roads, drainage, sewers, irrigation, or public utility purposes.
- j. Location and size of any land to be reserved or dedicated for parks, recreation areas, common open space areas, schools, or other public uses.
- k. If any portion of the land within the boundary of the development is subject to inundation or storm water overflow, as shown on the adopted Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps, that fact and the land so affected shall be clearly shown on the map by a prominent note on each sheet, as well as width and direction of flow of each water course within the boundaries of the development.
- l. Existing roads, trails, or rights-of-way within the development shall be designated on the map. Topography and existing developments within three hundred (300) feet must also be shown on the map.
- m. Location of snow storage areas sufficient to handle snow removed from public and private streets, if applicable.
- n. All known areas of potential hazard including, but not limited to, earth slide areas, avalanche areas, or otherwise hazardous slopes, shall be clearly designated on the map. Additionally, active fault lines (post-Holocene) shall be delineated on the map together with lines delineating required building setbacks.
- o. Boundary of any wetland areas and the location of any springs within the project site.
- p. Emergency access roadway.
- q. Building envelopes if a hillside development is proposed and areas that may be fenced and type of fencing to be allowed.

- r. Significant Hydrologic Resources. Indicate the critical and sensitive buffer zones according to Article 418 of the Washoe County Development Code.
 - s. Preliminary landscape plan for all cuts and fill slopes, utility trenches not contained within roadways, entrances, buffer zones and all arterial roadway treatment.
 - t. Easements over trail systems, if required.
 - u. Traffic Impact Report (if needed) : Traffic impact reports are required whenever the proposed development project will generate 80 or more weekday peak hour trips as determined using the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation rates or other such sources as may be accepted by Washoe County Engineering and Capital Projects. Projects with less than 200 peak hour trips may not need to perform an impact analysis for future years. Traffic consultants are encouraged to contact Engineering and Capital Projects staff prior to preparing a traffic impact report.
- XX 11. **Grading Plan (in addition to requirements above, if needed):**
- a. Location and limits of all work to be done.
 - b. Existing contours and proposed contours.
 - c. Existing drainage (natural and man-made) and proposed drainage patterns.
 - d. Quantities of excavation, fill, and disturbed surface area shall be calculated and shown on the site plan.
 - e. Quantities of material proposed to be removed from the site must be shown. The proposed disposal area and the disposition of fill must be noted on the site plan.
 - f. Limiting dimensions of cut and fill.
 - g. Proposed BMP's (Best Management Practices) for controlling water and wind erosion if a disturbed area is left undeveloped for over thirty (30) days.
 - h. Walls and terraces with proposed height.
 - i. A minimum of two (2) cross sections of the project site depicting the major grading as proposed and the relationship of the project site to existing development within two hundred (200) feet.
- N/A 12. **Hillside Ordinance:** Applications on properties containing slopes in excess of fifteen (15) percent or greater on twenty (20) percent or more of the site must submit all requirements of Article 424, Hillside Development. The Site Analysis Map, Developable Area Map, Constraint and Mitigation Analysis, and Detailed Contour Analysis are required. Building envelopes, disturbed areas, and areas to remain undisturbed for each created lot shall be shown on the tentative and final map.
- XX 13. **Street Names:** A completed "Request to Reserve New Street Name" form (included in application packet). Please print all street names on the tentative map. Note whether they are existing or proposed.
- XX 14. **Washoe County Assessor's Office Map:** A site map (labeled Assessor's Site Map) utilizing the Assessor's parcel page(s) as a base, must be submitted showing the development to scale. (The Assessor's pages may be combined and the scale utilized by the Assessor may be altered to show the development in the most graphic method. If so, please note the scale and label accordingly on the submitted site plan.)
- XX 15. **Washoe County Health District:** An "Acknowledgment of Water Service" letter from the water purveyor shall be submitted with the tentative subdivision map application. Washoe County Health District will consider the application incomplete without compliance with NAC 445A.666.
- XX 16. **Submission Packets:** Three (3) packets and a flash drive. One (1) packet must be labeled "Original" and contain a signed and notarized Owner Affidavit. Any digital documents need to have a resolution of 300 dpi. If materials are unreadable, you will be asked to provide a higher quality copy. The packet shall include one (1) 8.5" x 11" reduction of any applicable site plan, development plan, and/or application map. Labeling on these reproductions should be no smaller than 8 point on the 8.5" x 11" display. Large format sheets should be included in a slide pocket(s). Any specialized reports identified above shall be included as attachments or appendices and be annotated as such.
- XX 17. **Special Packets:** In addition to the three (3) packets, the following information in the number specified shall be included with the project submittal:

- XX a. **Geotechnical Report:** Three (3) copies of a preliminary geotechnical report prepared by a Nevada registered civil engineer, including soils characteristics sufficient for use in tentative structural design (i.e. street sections, building pads, etc.) and potential geologic hazards.
- XX b. **Preliminary Grading, Drainage and Erosion Control Plan:** Three (3) copies of a preliminary grading, drainage, and erosion control plan for the entire project, prepared by a Nevada registered civil engineer, showing existing contours at maximum five (5) foot intervals, approximate street grades, proposed surface drainage, approximate extent of cut and fill slopes, approximate building envelopes and all pad elevations sufficient to convey the impact of grading.
- XX c. **Hydrological Report:** Three (3) copies of a hydrological report including such conditions as ground water or seepage conditions, and location of wells and springs, to be prepared by a qualified civil engineer registered with the State of Nevada.
- N/A d. **Tree Preservation and Protection Plan:** Three (3) copies of a tree preservation and protection plan, where applicable, shall be made a part of the tentative plat with indication thereon of those trees proposed to be removed, those to remain, and where new trees are to be planted.
- XX e. **Preliminary Landscape Plan:** If the subject property is adjacent to an arterial roadway, submit three (3) copies of a preliminary landscape plan for the area along the roadway. The plans shall comply with the provisions of Article 412 of the Development Code. **(Not required but included)**

Notes:

- (i) Application and map submittals must comply with all specific criteria as established in the Washoe County Development Code and/or the Nevada Revised Statutes.
- (ii) Appropriate map engineering and building architectural scales are subject to the approval of the Planning and Building and/or Engineering and Capital Projects.
- (iii) All oversized maps and plans must be folded to a 9" x 12" size.
- (iv) Based on the specific nature of the development request, Washoe County reserves the right to specify additional submittal packets, additional information and/or specialized studies that clarify the potential impacts and potential conditions of development in order to minimize or mitigate impacts resulting from the project. No application shall be processed until the information necessary to review and evaluate the proposed project is deemed complete by the Director of Planning and Building.
- (v) The Title Report should only be included in the one (1) original packet.
- (vi) **Labels:** The applicant is required to submit a list of mailing addresses for every tenant residing in a mobile home park that is within five hundred (500) feet of the proposed project (or within seven hundred fifty (750) feet of the proposed project if the proposed project is a project of regional significance).

XV. Opportunities and Constraints Analysis

Section 110.408.30 Site Analysis to Determine Common Open Space and Lot Size Variations. A site analysis showing development opportunities and constraints shall be prepared as a key consideration, along with the project design objectives, to determine the total area covered by lots and roads, lot areas, and the total area to be designated as common open space. The site analysis shall include information and maps, including a site opportunities and constraints map, describing all significant physical and contextual features or factors which may affect the development of the property. The elements of the site analysis shall include, as a minimum, the following information:


(a) Location Map. A general location map providing the context of location and vicinity of the site.

RESPONSE – See the Highlighted Area Below. The site lies within a built up area.




LOCATION MAP

(b) Land Use. Current and planned land use on the site and adjacent current, planned and approved, but unbuilt land uses. **RESPONSE – The following exhibits show the current land use designations for the site. The only requested land use change is to amend the North Valleys Area Plan to change the rural designation on the northern 8.568 acres of the site to Suburban Residential, consistent with adjoining land use designations and the fact that no development constraints exist on the site.**



SITE & SURROUNDING LAND USE



NORTH VALLEYS DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RIDGE LINES TO BE PROTECTED SLOPES GREATER THAN 15% SLOPES GREATER THAN 30% FLOODWAY AREA 100-YEAR FLOOD HAZARD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> POTENTIAL WETLANDS BOTH POTENTIAL FLOOD HAZARD AND POTENTIAL WETLANDS PUBLIC LAND MOST SUITABLE WATER BODIES DITCHES
---	---

NOTE: THE SCALE AND CONFIGURATION OF ALL INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY AND ARE NOT INTENDED AS A GUIDE FOR DESIGN OR SURVEY WORK. REPRODUCTION IS NOT PERMITTED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE WASHOE COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

planning@washoe.gov
communitydevelopment@washoe.gov

**Department of
Community Development**

**WASHOE COUNTY
NEVADA**

DEVELOPMENT SUITABILITY EXHIBIT FROM THE NORTH VALLEYS AREA PLAN

(c) Existing Structures. A description of the location, physical characteristics, condition and proposed use of any existing structures. **RESPONSE – There are no structures on the site.**

(d) Existing Vegetation. A description of existing vegetation, including limits of coverage, and major tree sizes and types. In the instance of heavily wooded sites, typical tree sizes, types and limits of tree coverage may be substituted. **RESPONSE – The site is characterized as Chaparral Shrubland with no Trees.**

(e) Prevailing Winds. An analysis of prevailing winds. **RESPONSE – Prevailing winds are from the West. The site will be buffered from West Winds with the addition of a 12’ landscape strip along Pan American Drive to allow Class 4 Large Canopy Trees to be Planted 50’ on center.**

(f) Topography. An analysis of slopes on the site using a contour interval of five (5) feet, or at a contour interval appropriate for the site and agreed to by the Director of Community Development. **RESPONSE –**

See the Tentative Map, Grading Plan, with one foot contour intervals. This is a level site with only 10' of fall across the site draining from south to north for an approximately 1% grade.

(g) Soil. An analysis of the soil characteristics of the site using Soil Conservation Service (SCS) information. **RESPONSE – Per the Geotechnical Report, the site is mapped in an area of Alluvium of Military Road (Qm). This geologic unit is described as poorly sorted sand to muddy sand derived from the alluvial fan deposits of Peavine Mountain. The soils units encountered in our explorations typically consisted of poorly sorted and interbedded layers and zones of silty sand and silty, clayey sand overlying low to medium plasticity clayey sand and sandy lean clay.**

(h) Natural Drainageways. Identification of natural drainageways on and adjacent to the site. **RESPONSE – No natural drainage ways or man-made drainage ways exist on the site. A major drainage channel does lie $\pm 800'$ west of the site. Lemmon Lake (Swan Lake) lies $\pm 1,500$ feet north of the site.**

(i) Wetlands and Water Bodies. Identification of existing or potential wetlands and water bodies on the site. **RESPONSE – Lemmon Lake (Swan Lake) lies $\pm 1,500$ feet north of the site.**

(j) Flood Hazards. Identification of existing and potential flood hazards using Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) information. **RESPONSE – N/A, the site lies in an unshaded Zone X, outside the 100 year and 500-year FEMA flood zones.**

(k) Seismic Hazards. Identification of seismic hazards on or near the site, including location of any Holocene faults. **RESPONSE – Per the Geotechnical Report, the property lies within an area where faulting can occur, but the nearest fault is sufficiently distant that offsets or additional considerations have not been recommended. Surface rupture is considered unlikely.**

(l) Avalanche Hazards. An analysis of avalanche and other landslide hazards. **RESPONSE – N/A**

(m) Sensitive Habitat and Migration Routes. An analysis of sensitive habitat areas and migration routes. **RESPONSE – N/A**

(n) Significant Views. A description and analysis of all on and off-site significant views. **RESPONSE – There are no significant view associated with this level site but at least one neighbor has commented that they can see the hills and mountains that lie several miles to the west of the site.**

(o) Easements. A description of the type and location of any easements on the site. **RESPONSE – Minimal easements/no significant easements exist on the site. See the attached title report.**

(p) Utilities. A description of existing or available utilities, and an analysis of appropriate locations for water, power, sanitary sewer and storm water sewer facilities. **RESPONSE – Overhead power and communication lines lie on the southeast portion of the site that serve the adjoining homes. Electric lines exist where Fleetwood terminates into Lear Boulevard. Gas line exist where Fleetwood terminates into Lear Boulevard and where Budger Way terminates into Pan American Drive. Public water lines exist where Fleetwood terminates into Lear Boulevard and where Budger Way terminates into Pan American Drive.**

A public sewer line exists in Lear Boulevard just west of the site within the major drainageway. We plan to tie into this manhole. Extensive geotechnical testing including percolation testing and groundwater elevation identification was completed and as a result, an infiltration basin will be

located within the southeast portion of the site. Storm water will meter out of the basin and drain through the site to an outlet located at Pan American Drive and Lear Boulevard, back into a natural drainageway.

(q) Appropriate Access Points. An analysis of appropriate access points based upon existing and proposed streets and highways and site opportunities and constraints. ***RESPONSE – See the attached traffic study. The two “T” intersections on Pan American will direct traffic to the south and provide safe and adequate vehicle and pedestrian access to the site.***

(r) Other Information. All other information deemed appropriate and necessary by the Director of Community Development. ***RESPONSE – The project as proposed complies with all aspects of the Washoe County Master Plan, North Valleys Area Plan and Washoe county Development Code. See the attached Opportunities and Constraints Map.***

XVI.

Community Outreach Meeting Summary

Meeting Location: 255 Patrician Dr. Reno NV 89506

Meeting Date/Time: February 22nd 2023, 6:30pm PST

Meeting lead by: Kenneth Krater

Number of attendees: Nine (See attached Sign in sheet)

The meeting started with an introduction of the project and the required notification to the adjacent property owners. Attendees brought up traffic concerns about the new interchange at the freeway at Lemmon Drive and the Freeway. It was noted that timing adjustments may be needed at the interchange.

Next discussed was the property location and location of the the FEMA 100 year flood contour line. The next topic of discussion led into potential building footprints, common area buffers to the east and south adjoining existing homes on Fleetwood and Budger, and associated setbacks from these adjacent properties. The attendees mentioned concern of existing gates in their backyards and access. The retention basin was explained to the attendees and how it is required by code.

A few additional topics that come up after the formal presentation was sewer relocation, traffic in their neighborhoods/school, public use of parks within development and new fence along east and south adjacent properties.

An audio tape of the meeting is included as an attachment in the Neighborhood Meeting portal. Note that due to issues downloading files, there are a total of eight audio files in the portal to capture the entire meeting.

XVII.



4.25.2023


EXHIBIT 'A'

All that certain parcel of land lying solely within the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B. & M., being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the West Quarter corner of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B. & M., said point being further described as the Northwest corner of Valley Village Subdivision No. 1 and 2, as shown on the official plat thereof recorded under Document No. 385697, Official Records of Washoe County; thence along the Northerly boundary of said Valley Village Subdivision South $89^{\circ} 22' 00''$ East 658.30 feet; thence North $00^{\circ} 38' 38''$ East 369.98 feet to an angle point on the Northerly boundary of said Subdivision; thence leaving said Subdivision and continuing North $00^{\circ} 38' 38''$ East 949.71 feet; thence North $89^{\circ} 10' 30''$ West 656.00 feet to a point on the Westerly line of said Section 34; thence Southerly along said Westerly Section line South $00^{\circ} 44' 37''$ West 1,321.89 feet to the point of beginning.

The above legal description was taken from prior Document No. 4874776.

APN: 080-461-08



LEGAL DESCRIPTION PREPARED BY:
Guillermo Enrique Carey, PLS 17758
MAPCA SURVEYS, INC.
580 Mount Rose Street
Reno, NV 89509

RPTT: 0

APN: 080-461-08

After recording, mail Deed and
all future tax statements to:

Bryan A. Learner
1540 Roma Court
Reno, NV 89523



GRANT, BARGAIN, AND SALE DEED

Gerald J. Echevarria and Donald L. Muckel, as Co-Trustees of The Brett H. Learner Trust created under The Joseph J. Morrey Grandchildren's Trust Agreement dated December 31, 1996 and The Bryan A. Learner Trust created under The Joseph J. Morrey Grandchildren's Trust Agreement dated December 31, 1996, hereby grant, bargain, and sell an undivided one-half interest (1/2) to Brett H. Learner, a married man, as his sole and separate property, and an undivided one-half interest (1/2) to Bryan A. Learner, a married man, as his sole and separate property, as tenants in common, all that real property located at 0 Pan American Way, Lemmon Valley, Washoe County, Nevada, described as follows:

All that certain parcel of land lying solely within the West Half of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B.&M., being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the West Quarter corner of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B.&M., said point being further described as the Northwest corner of Valley Village Subdivision No. 1 and No. 2, as shown on the official plat thereof recorded under Document No. 385967, Official Records of Washoe County; thence along the Northerly boundary of said Valley Village Subdivision South 89°22'00" East 658.30 feet; thence North 00°38'38" East 369.98 feet to an angle point on the Northerly boundary of said Subdivision; thence leaving said Subdivision and continuing North 00°38'38" East 949.71 feet; thence North 89°10'30" West 656.00 feet to a point on the Westerly line of said Section 34; thence Southerly along said Westerly Section line South 00°44'37" West 1321.89 feet to the point of beginning.

NOTE: THE ABOVE METES AND BOUNDS DESCRIPTION APPEARED PREVIOUSLY IN THAT CERTAIN INSTRUMENT, RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA ON MAY 13, 1999 AS DOCUMENT NO. 2339106 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

This conveyance is subject to the following liens and encumbrances:

1. All monetary liens and encumbrances of record, if any.
2. General, special, and any supplemental county taxes and assessments not delinquent.
3. Covenants, conditions, restrictions, reservations, easements, and rights-of-way of record, if any.

Together with all tenements, hereditaments, appurtenances, and water rights, if any, thereunto belonging or appertaining, and any reversions, remainders, rents, issues or profits thereof.

Dated this 10th of December, 2018.

The Brett H. Learner Trust
The Bryan A. Learner Trust


By: Gerald J. Echevarria
Gerald J. Echevarria, Co-Trustee

By: Donald L. Muckel
Donald L. Muckel, Co-Trustee

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF WASHOE

This Grant, Bargain, and Sale Deed was acknowledged before me on December 10, 2018, by Gerald J. Echevarria in his capacity as a Co-Trustee.


Cheryl A. O'Brien
Notary Public



STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF ELKO

This Grant, Bargain, and Sale Deed was acknowledged before me on December 10, 2018, by Donald L. Muckel in his capacity as a Co-Trustee.

Stephanie Kentopp
Notary Public



XVIII.

PORTION OF THE N 1/2 SECTION 34
T21N - R19E


**DIVISION OF
LAND MAP #79**

Assessor's Map Number



080-46

STATE OF NEVADA
WASHOE COUNTY
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
Michael E. Clark, Assessor

1001 East Ninth Street
Building D
Reno, Nevada 89512
(775) 328-2231



1 inch = 500 feet




created by: EMG 10/09/2014

last updated: KSB 4/15/16 JMO 10/19/16

area previously shown on map(s)

NOTE: This map was prepared for the use of the Washoe County Assessor for assessment and illustrative purposes only. It does not represent a survey of the premises. No liability is assumed as to the sufficiency or accuracy of the data delineated hereon.



568-04

SITE

461

080-73

080-61

080-75

080-45

080-54

080-52

080-42


080-43

080-48

080-44

PORTION OF THE N 1/2 SECTION 34
T21N - R19E

**DIVISION OF
LAND MAP #79**






Assessor's Map Number

080-46

STATE OF NEVADA
WASHOE COUNTY
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE
Michael E. Clark, Assessor

1001 East Ninth Street
Building D
Reno, Nevada 89512
(775) 328-2231



created by: **EMG 10/09/2014**
last updated: **KSB 4/15/16 JMO 10/19/16**

area previously shown on map(s)

NOTE: This map was prepared for the use of the Washoe County Assessor for assessment and illustrative purposes only. It does not represent a survey of the premises. No liability is assumed as to the sufficiency or accuracy of the data delineated hereon.

XXI.



May 2, 2023

Brett H. Learner et al
1540 Roma Ct
Reno, NV 89523

RE: **Lerner Lemmon**
Acknowledgement of Water Service
TMWA Work Order 23-9140

I have reviewed the preliminary plans for the above referenced development (Project) as submitted to the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA) and have determined that the Project is within TMWA's retail water service area. This letter constitutes an Acknowledgment of Water Service pursuant to NAC 445A.6666, and TMWA hereby acknowledges that TMWA is agreeable to supplying water service to the Project, subject to applicant satisfying certain conditions precedent, including, without limitation, the dedication of water resources, approval of the water supply plan by the local health authority, the execution of a Water Service Agreement, payment of fees, and the construction and dedication of infrastructure in accordance with TMWA's rules and tariffs. This Acknowledgement does not constitute a legal obligation by TMWA to supply water service to the Project and is made subject to all applicable TMWA Rules.

Review of conceptual site plans or tentative maps by TMWA does not constitute an application for service, nor implies a commitment by TMWA for planning, design or construction of the water facilities necessary for service. The extent of required off-site and on-site water infrastructure improvements will be determined upon TMWA receiving a specific development proposal or complete application for service and upon review and approval of a water facilities plan. After submittal of a complete Application for Service, the required facilities, the cost of these facilities, which could be significant, and associated fees will be estimated and will be included as part of the Water Service Agreement for the project. All applicable fees must be paid to TMWA prior to water being delivered to the project.

Sincerely,
Truckee Meadows Water Authority

Timothy Simpson, P.E.
Sr Planning Engineer

Preliminary Sewer Study


For

Learner – Lemmon Property

Prepared for:

LC Learner, LLC
27132 B Paseo Espanda, Suite 1226
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Prepared by:



May, 2023

Introduction:

This report presents the preliminary sanitary sewer plan for the Learner – Lemmon Property. It includes expected flow analysis, proposed sewer facilities to serve the development and existing sewer facilities surrounding the project site.

The Learner project site (APN: 080-461-08) is located along Pan American Way and is situated within the West half of the Southwest quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, Mount Diablo Meridian. Reference the attached Vicinity Map.

The proposed project is a Tentative map for 87 Single Family residential lots with public street and utility improvements. Reference the attached site plan.

PROPOSED PROJECT/ONSITE SEWER SYSTEM

Reference the attached sewer display for the proposed sewer system that will serve the development.

The Learner – Lemmon project will create 87 Single family residential lots in Lemmon Valley, within Washoe County. The proposed 87 lots will be supported by roadway and public utility improvements.

Proposed lots within the Learner – Lemmon subdivision will be served by an 8” public sewer main system. The on-site system will convey waste to a proposed 8” off-site system within Lear Blvd. Ultimately, the waste will be conveyed through the 8” offsite system west to an existing sanitary sewer lift station located along Lear Blvd near Foxhurst Drive.

The proposed offsite gravity sewer main may be temporary, existing sewer improvements provide for gravity sewer from the lift station location eastward within Lear Boulevard to some future connection point. This project may, at some time in the future connect to this gravity sewer flowing east within Lear Boulevard.

The expected sewer peak flow contribution (per the Washoe County CSD Gravity Sewer Collection Design Standards) is as follows:

Flow Determination: **270 gals/day/lot**

Lot Count: **87 Lots**

Peaking Factor: **3**

Expected peak flow: (270 gal/day/lot) x (87 Lots) x (3) = **70,470 gal/day**

Please reference the table below for the preliminary pipe analysis.

Preliminary Sanitary Sewer Pipe Calculations					
Pipe Segment	Slope (%)	Peak Flow (GPD)	Depth (Ft)	Expected Velocity (fps)	Half Full Velocity (fps)
MH #1 - MH #2	0.70	25,110	0.10	1.18	2.72
MH #2 - MH #6	0.70	31,590	0.11	1.29	2.72
MH #1 - MH #3	0.50	14,580	0.08	0.93	2.30
MH #3 - MH #6	0.50	38,880	0.13	1.24	2.30
MH #4 - MH #3	1.00	1,620	0.03	0.36	3.25
MH #5 - MH #2	1.00	4,860	0.04	0.81	3.25
MH #6 - MH #7	0.70	70,470	0.16	1.67	2.72
MH #7 - MH #8	0.30	70,470	0.20	1.23	1.78

EXISTING SEWER SYSTEM

There are no existing sewer improvements within or around the project site. The nearest sewer facility to the site is a sanitary sewer lift station located approximately 1,400 feet to the west of the project.

Proposed with the Learner – Lemmon project is a connection to the existing sanitary sewer lift station with an 8" main conveying waste from the project site. Off-site improvements will be required to connect the site to the existing lift station.

The existing sanitary sewer lift station currently serves 146 single family residential lots from the Stonefield Subdivision and has a capacity of 300,300 gal/day. The existing peak sewer flow (per the Washoe County CSD Gravity Sewer Collection Design Standards) is as follows:

Flow Determination: **270 gals/day/lot**

Lot Count: **146 Lots**

Peaking Factor: **3**


Existing peak flow: $(270 \text{ gal/day/lot}) \times (146 \text{ Lots}) \times (3) = \mathbf{118,260 \text{ gal/day}}$

It is expected that the existing lift station will have adequate capacity to handle the proposed development. In the event that the lift station will not handle the proposed development as it is, upgrades to the system will be implemented to handle the additional flow.

Conclusion

This report identifies the preliminary finding for the Learner – Lemmon project. The proposed preliminary analysis has been performed in conformance with the City of Reno and Washoe County design standards and the findings show that the sewer will operate within the design standards of the City of Reno and Washoe County.

Vicinity Map



VICINITY MAP

Sewer Display



EXISTING SANITARY SEWER LIFT STATION:

EXISTING CAPACITY = 300,300GPD
 EXISTING LOTS SERVED = 146 LOTS
 EXISTING PEAK FLOW = (270 GAL/DAY/LOT) x (146 LOTS) x (3 PEAK FACTOR) = 118,260 GAL/DAY
 PROPOSED LOTS SERVED = 87 LOTS
 PROPOSED PEAK FLOW = (270 GAL/DAY/LOT) x (87 LOTS) x (3 PEAK FACTOR) = 70,470 GAL/DAY
 EXPECTED PEAK FLOW @ LIFT STATION = (118,260 GAL/DAY) + (70,470 GAL/DAY) = 188,730 GAL/DAY

Preliminary Sanitary Sewer Pipe Calculations					
Pipe Segment	Slope (%)	Peak Flow (GPD)	Depth (Ft)	Expected Velocity (fps)	Half Full Velocity (fps)
MH #1 - MH #2	0.70	25,110	0.10	1.18	2.72
MH #2 - MH #6	0.70	31,590	0.11	1.29	2.72
MH #1 - MH #3	0.50	14,580	0.08	0.93	2.30
MH #3 - MH #6	0.50	38,880	0.13	1.24	2.30
MH #4 - MH #3	1.00	1,620	0.03	0.36	3.25
MH #5 - MH #2	1.00	4,860	0.04	0.81	3.25
MH #6 - MH #7	0.70	70,470	0.16	1.67	2.72
MH #7 - MH #8	0.30	70,470	0.20	1.23	1.78

NOTE: PAN AMERICAN WAY, LEAR BOULEVARD, AND INTERNAL STREETS TO BE OFFERED FOR DEDICATION AS PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY

LANSING-ARCUS LLC
 APN: 080-461-27



Axion ENGINEERING
 Civil Engineering • Land Development
 683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY

SEWER DISPLAY C-6


Preliminary Drainage Report

For

Learner – Lemmon Property


Prepared for:

LC Learner, LLC
27132 B Paseo Espada, Suite 1226
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675



Prepared by:

05-04-23



May, 2023

Introduction:

This report shall serve as the preliminary drainage study for the Learner – Lemmon property. The Learner project site (APN: 080-461-08) is located along Pan American Way and is situated within the West half of the Southwest quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 34, Township 21 North, Range 19 East, Mount Diablo Meridian. Reference the attached Vicinity Map.

The proposed project is a Tentative map for 87 Single Family residential lots with public street and utility improvements. Reference the attached site plan.

The site lies within FEMA FIRM Panel 32031C2838G effective 3/16/2009. The site is located within FEMA Flood Zone "X" (unshaded), an area of minimal flood hazard outside the 0.2% (500-year) annual chance floodplain.

Previous Studies:

No previous studies have been prepared for the project site.

Existing Conditions:

The project site is undeveloped with native vegetation (grasses and sagebrush) covering much of the site with some undeveloped dirt roads crossing the site. Existing grade generally slopes towards the northern end of the site.

To the North of the project site is currently undeveloped land. With the completion of this project the Northern side will be bordered by partially completed Lear Blvd. On the East and South Sides of the project are existing Single-Family homes and to the West is currently undeveloped City of Reno land. The proposed project will extend Pan American Way on the Western side of the project.

Methodology:

The onsite runoff was determined using the Rational Method ($Q=CiA$). The time of concentration used in all areas for rainfall intensities was $T_c=10$ minutes, the minimum time of concentration used in the TMRDM. Rational C coefficients were chosen from the TMRDM based on the site conditions. Please Reference the attached table showing runoff calculations.

On-site retention volumes were calculated using the TR-55 method. Existing and proposed site runoffs were analyzed and compared to determine the increase in runoff volume. The on-site retention basin was sized using the increase in volume from the post developed site. Per the Swan Lake Terminal Basin policy, the retention basin volume used is 1.3 times the calculated volume for a factor of safety.

Existing Hydrology:

There is currently no storm drain infrastructure within the project site. The existing storm run off is conveyed across the site generally by sheet flow with some small alluvial-type drainage ways being present. The existing site grade is sloped from the southern end to the northern end with slopes less than 5%.

Proposed Hydrology:

The post developed hydrology has been analyzed by subdividing the project site into 22 sub-basins based on proposed site grading and catch basin locations. Catch basin and underground storm drain infrastructure is designed to capture the entire 5-year storm event with no runoff exceeding half of the adjacent travel lane per City of Reno Design Manual. 100-year flows are not expected to reach the allowable street flow capacity at the right of way line at any point.

Runoff captured in catch basins will be conveyed through the site in an underground storm drain system. The underground storm drain system is designed to handle the entire 5-year storm event with the hydraulic grade line of the 100-year storm not exceeding 1 foot below final grade per the Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual. Finally, the captured runoff will be released either to the proposed retention basin (South portion) or released to the north (North portion).


Retention:

The proposed retention basin has been designed by using the TR-55 method, by analyzing the existing and proposed 100-year, 10-day storm runoff volumes. Reference the attached TR-55 calculations within this report. The volume of the pre-developed 100-year, 10day storm was found to be 7.85 Ac-ft and 14.37 Ac-ft in the post-developed storm. Taking the difference of the proposed and existing storms multiplied by a factor of 1.3 determined the size of the proposed retention basin. Although only half of the proposed site will be drained to the retention basin, the entire 19.92 Ac site was accounted for when calculating the volumes ensuring the retention basin is adequately sized. Site grading will establish the conveyance of the post-developed flows, ensuring only the southern portion of the proposed site will be drained to the basin. The location and elevation of the basin have been based on percolation testing and the Truckee Meadows Regional Design Manual. Per the Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual, the bottom of the basin must be 5' above the seasonal ground water elevation. Based on these parameters, the Eastern portion of the site has been chosen as the appropriate location for the basin. Reference the attached percolation testing report.

Conclusion:


Overall, the 5-year and 100-year peak flow leaving the site will be reduced or remain at the existing flow rates. The Retention basin will retain both the 5-year and 100-year flow increases. Therefore, the effects of the development on all adjacent and downstream properties and drainageways will be reduced. The project and associated drainage improvements will be in compliance with the current edition of the Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual.

Vicinity Map



VICINITY MAP

Site Plan



SITE

N.T.S.

NOAA Rainfall Data



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5
Location name: Reno, Nevada, USA*
Latitude: 39.6446°, Longitude: -119.8458°
Elevation: 4930.59 ft**
 * source: ESRI Maps
 ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF tabular](#) | [PF graphical](#) | [Maps & aerials](#)

PF tabular


PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches/hour)¹										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	1.25 (0.104-1.44)	1.55 (1.30-1.81)	2.08 (1.74-2.45)	2.58 (2.17-3.06)	3.42 (2.83-4.13)	4.22 (3.41-5.17)	5.20 (4.07-6.47)	6.37 (4.81-8.11)	8.32 (5.96-10.9)	10.1 (6.97-13.6)
10-min	0.948 (0.798-1.09)	1.18 (0.990-1.37)	1.58 (1.33-1.86)	1.96 (1.65-2.33)	2.61 (2.15-3.14)	3.22 (2.59-3.94)	3.95 (3.10-4.92)	4.85 (3.67-6.17)	6.34 (4.54-8.33)	7.72 (5.30-10.4)
15-min	0.784 (0.660-0.904)	0.976 (0.816-1.14)	1.30 (1.10-1.54)	1.62 (1.37-1.92)	2.15 (1.78-2.60)	2.66 (2.14-3.26)	3.26 (2.56-4.07)	4.01 (3.03-5.10)	5.23 (3.75-6.88)	6.38 (4.38-8.58)
30-min	0.530 (0.444-0.610)	0.658 (0.550-0.766)	0.878 (0.740-1.04)	1.09 (0.920-1.29)	1.45 (1.20-1.75)	1.79 (1.44-2.19)	2.20 (1.72-2.74)	2.70 (2.04-3.44)	3.52 (2.53-4.64)	4.29 (2.95-5.78)
60-min	0.328 (0.275-0.377)	0.408 (0.341-0.475)	0.543 (0.458-0.641)	0.675 (0.569-0.801)	0.898 (0.742-1.08)	1.11 (0.893-1.36)	1.36 (1.07-1.70)	1.67 (1.26-2.13)	2.18 (1.56-2.87)	2.66 (1.83-3.58)
2-hr	0.216 (0.192-0.248)	0.268 (0.238-0.309)	0.344 (0.302-0.396)	0.410 (0.356-0.473)	0.514 (0.436-0.597)	0.609 (0.504-0.714)	0.720 (0.581-0.855)	0.866 (0.678-1.07)	1.15 (0.849-1.45)	1.40 (1.00-1.81)
3-hr	0.175 (0.158-0.198)	0.218 (0.196-0.248)	0.272 (0.244-0.309)	0.317 (0.281-0.361)	0.381 (0.334-0.436)	0.439 (0.378-0.507)	0.508 (0.429-0.594)	0.606 (0.500-0.719)	0.778 (0.623-0.975)	0.939 (0.734-1.21)
6-hr	0.129 (0.117-0.145)	0.161 (0.145-0.181)	0.198 (0.178-0.223)	0.227 (0.203-0.256)	0.265 (0.234-0.300)	0.293 (0.256-0.333)	0.322 (0.278-0.370)	0.359 (0.305-0.416)	0.433 (0.360-0.509)	0.507 (0.416-0.615)
12-hr	0.089 (0.080-0.099)	0.111 (0.100-0.124)	0.139 (0.125-0.155)	0.161 (0.144-0.180)	0.190 (0.168-0.214)	0.213 (0.186-0.241)	0.236 (0.203-0.270)	0.259 (0.220-0.300)	0.290 (0.240-0.342)	0.316 (0.257-0.379)
24-hr	0.059 (0.053-0.066)	0.074 (0.066-0.083)	0.095 (0.085-0.106)	0.111 (0.099-0.125)	0.134 (0.119-0.151)	0.153 (0.134-0.173)	0.172 (0.150-0.196)	0.193 (0.165-0.221)	0.221 (0.186-0.256)	0.243 (0.202-0.285)
2-day	0.036 (0.032-0.041)	0.046 (0.041-0.052)	0.059 (0.052-0.068)	0.071 (0.062-0.081)	0.086 (0.075-0.099)	0.099 (0.085-0.114)	0.113 (0.096-0.131)	0.128 (0.107-0.150)	0.148 (0.122-0.177)	0.165 (0.133-0.200)
3-day	0.027 (0.023-0.030)	0.034 (0.030-0.039)	0.044 (0.039-0.051)	0.053 (0.047-0.061)	0.066 (0.057-0.075)	0.076 (0.065-0.088)	0.087 (0.074-0.101)	0.099 (0.082-0.116)	0.116 (0.094-0.138)	0.130 (0.104-0.157)
4-day	0.022 (0.019-0.025)	0.028 (0.024-0.032)	0.037 (0.032-0.042)	0.044 (0.039-0.051)	0.055 (0.048-0.064)	0.064 (0.055-0.074)	0.074 (0.062-0.086)	0.084 (0.070-0.099)	0.100 (0.081-0.119)	0.112 (0.089-0.135)
7-day	0.015 (0.013-0.017)	0.019 (0.017-0.022)	0.025 (0.022-0.030)	0.031 (0.027-0.036)	0.038 (0.033-0.045)	0.045 (0.038-0.052)	0.051 (0.043-0.061)	0.058 (0.048-0.070)	0.069 (0.055-0.083)	0.077 (0.061-0.095)
10-day	0.012 (0.010-0.014)	0.015 (0.013-0.018)	0.020 (0.018-0.024)	0.025 (0.021-0.028)	0.030 (0.026-0.035)	0.035 (0.030-0.041)	0.040 (0.034-0.047)	0.046 (0.038-0.054)	0.053 (0.043-0.064)	0.059 (0.047-0.072)
20-day	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	0.010 (0.009-0.011)	0.013 (0.011-0.015)	0.016 (0.014-0.018)	0.019 (0.017-0.022)	0.022 (0.019-0.025)	0.025 (0.021-0.029)	0.028 (0.023-0.033)	0.032 (0.026-0.038)	0.035 (0.029-0.042)
30-day	0.006 (0.005-0.007)	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	0.010 (0.009-0.012)	0.012 (0.011-0.014)	0.015 (0.013-0.017)	0.017 (0.015-0.020)	0.019 (0.016-0.023)	0.022 (0.018-0.025)	0.025 (0.021-0.029)	0.027 (0.022-0.033)
45-day	0.005 (0.004-0.006)	0.006 (0.005-0.007)	0.008 (0.007-0.010)	0.010 (0.009-0.011)	0.012 (0.010-0.014)	0.014 (0.012-0.015)	0.015 (0.013-0.017)	0.017 (0.014-0.019)	0.019 (0.016-0.022)	0.021 (0.017-0.024)
60-day	0.004 (0.004-0.005)	0.005 (0.005-0.006)	0.007 (0.006-0.008)	0.009 (0.007-0.010)	0.010 (0.009-0.012)	0.011 (0.010-0.013)	0.013 (0.011-0.014)	0.014 (0.012-0.016)	0.015 (0.013-0.018)	0.016 (0.014-0.019)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS). Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.


[Back to Top](#)

PF graphical

PDS-based intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves
Latitude: 39.6446°, Longitude: -119.8458°



Average recurrence interval (years)
1
2
5
10
25
50
100
200
500
1000



Duration
5-min
10-min
15-min
30-min
60-min
2-hr
3-hr
6-hr
12-hr
24-hr
2-day
3-day
4-day
7-day
10-day
20-day
30-day
45-day
60-day


NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5

Created (GMT): Thu Jan 5 18:38:32 2023


[Back to Top](#)

Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain




Large scale terrain



Large scale map



Large scale aerial



[Back to Top](#)


[US Department of Commerce](#)
[National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](#)
[National Weather Service](#)
[National Water Center](#)
1325 East West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Questions?: HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov

[Disclaimer](#)

Hydrology Display

FLEETWOOD DRIVE

LANSING-ARCUS JS
APN:080-461-27-27




EXISTING RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS

DRAINAGE SUB-AREA (acres)	AREA (acres)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	Tc (min)	INTENSITY (in/hr)	PEAK RUNOFF (cfs)			
5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR			
1	1.86	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.59	3.67
2	0.94	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.30	1.86
3	0.75	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.24	1.48
4	0.46	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.15	0.91
5	0.61	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.19	1.33
6	0.85	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.27	1.68
7	0.79	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.25	1.56
8	1.1	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.35	2.17
9	0.81	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.26	1.60
10	0.71	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.22	1.40
11	0.35	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.11	0.69
12	0.67	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.21	1.32
13	0.18	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.06	0.36
14	1.29	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.41	2.55
15	0.32	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.10	0.63
16	0.72	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.23	1.42
17	0.63	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.20	1.24
18	0.89	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.28	1.76
19	0.54	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.17	1.07
20	0.29	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.09	0.57
21	0.51	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.16	1.01
22	0.13	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.04	0.26
TOTAL					4.87	30.42		

PROPOSED RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS

DRAINAGE SUB-AREA (acres)	AREA (acres)	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	Tc (min)	INTENSITY (in/hr)	PEAK RUNOFF (cfs)			
5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR			
1	1.86	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.76	5.73
2	0.94	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.89	2.90
3	0.75	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.71	2.31
4	0.46	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.44	1.42
5	0.61	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.67	2.19
6	0.85	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.81	2.62
7	0.79	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.75	2.43
8	1.1	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.04	3.39
9	0.81	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.77	2.50
10	0.71	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.67	2.19
11	0.35	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.33	1.08
12	0.67	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.64	2.06
13	0.18	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.17	0.55
14	1.29	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.22	3.97
15	0.32	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.30	0.99
16	0.72	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.68	2.22
17	0.63	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.60	1.94
18	0.89	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.84	2.74
19	0.54	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.51	1.66
20	0.29	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.27	0.89
21	0.51	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.48	1.57
22	0.13	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.12	0.40
TOTAL					14.60	47.45		

NOTE: OFFSITE AREA DRAINS TOWARD OUTLET.



LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY

HYDROLOGY DISPLAY

Axion
ENGINEERING
Civil Engineering • Land Development

683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

Rational Method Calculations

Weighted values of the runoff coefficient “C” may be required where land use is most accurately described as a mixture of the land uses listed above or where it is a mixture of impervious and pervious areas and not well represented by a single entry in the preceding list.

Sub-areas which include an LID feature will typically require special consideration and weighting of the runoff coefficient “C”. See Chapter X for specific guidance on post construction storm water quality design considerations.

Included below for reference is Table 202 from both the TMRDM and the Truckee Meadows Structural Controls Manual.

**TABLE 202 ADDITIONAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS
"C" FOR REFERENCE**

Runoff coefficients for the Rational Method from the Washoe County Hydrologic Criteria and Drainage Design Manual (a.k.a., the TMRDM) and the City of Sparks (1998 and 1996, respectively), and as per the Truckee Meadows Structural Controls Design Manual.

Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Aver. % Impervious Area	Runoff Coefficients	
		5-Year (C ₅)	100-Year (C ₁₀₀)
<u>Business/Commercial:</u>			
Downtown Areas	85	.82	.85
Neighborhood Areas	70	.65	.80
<u>Residential:</u> (Average Lot Size)			
1/8 Acre or Less (Multi-Unit)	65	.60	.78
1/4 Acre	38	.50	.65
1/2 Acre	30	.45	.60
1/2 Acre	25	.40	.55
1 Acre	20	.35	.50
<u>Industrial:</u>	72	.68	.82
<u>Open Space:</u> (Lawns, Parks, Golf Courses)			
	5	.05	.30
<u>Undeveloped Areas:</u>			
Range	0	.20	.50
Forest	0	.05	.30
<u>Streets/Roads:</u>			
Paved	100	.88	.93
Gravel	20	.25	.50
<u>Drives/Walks:</u>	95	.87	.90
<u>Roofs:</u>	90	.85	.87

Notes:

1. Composite runoff coefficients shown for Residential, Industrial, and Business/Commercial Areas assume irrigated grass landscaping for all previous areas. For development with landscaping other than irrigated grass, the designer must develop project specific composite runoff coefficients from the surface characteristics presented in this table.

EXISTING RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS								
DRAINAGE	AREA	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		Tc	INTENSITY (in/hr)		PEAK RUNOFF (cfs)	
SUB-AREA	(acres)	5-YR	100-YR	(min)	5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR
1	1.86	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.59	3.67
2	0.94	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.30	1.86
3	0.75	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.24	1.48
4	0.46	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.15	0.91
5	0.61	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.19	1.20
6	0.85	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.27	1.68
7	0.79	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.25	1.56
8	1.1	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.35	2.17
9	0.81	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.26	1.60
10	0.71	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.22	1.40
11	0.35	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.11	0.69
12	0.67	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.21	1.32
13	0.18	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.06	0.36
14	1.29	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.41	2.55
15	0.32	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.10	0.63
16	0.72	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.23	1.42
17	0.63	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.20	1.24
18	0.89	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.28	1.76
19	0.54	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.17	1.07
20	0.29	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.09	0.57
21	0.51	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.16	1.01
22	0.13	0.2	0.5	10	1.58	3.95	0.04	0.26
TOTAL							4.87	30.42

PROPOSED RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS								
DRAINAGE	AREA	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		Tc	INTENSITY (in/hr)		PEAK RUNOFF (cfs)	
SUB-AREA	(acres)	5-YR	100-YR	(min)	5-YR	100-YR	5-YR	100-YR
1	1.86	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.76	5.73
2	0.94	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.89	2.90
3	0.75	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.71	2.31
4	0.46	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.44	1.42
5	0.61	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.58	1.88
6	0.85	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.81	2.62
7	0.79	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.75	2.43
8	1.1	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.04	3.39
9	0.81	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.77	2.50
10	0.71	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.67	2.19
11	0.35	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.33	1.08
12	0.67	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.64	2.06
13	0.18	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.17	0.55
14	1.29	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	1.22	3.97
15	0.32	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.30	0.99
16	0.72	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.68	2.22
17	0.63	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.60	1.94
18	0.89	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.84	2.74
19	0.54	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.51	1.66
20	0.29	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.27	0.89
21	0.51	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.48	1.57
22	0.13	0.6	0.78	10	1.58	3.95	0.12	0.40
TOTAL							14.60	47.45

Retention Basin TR-55 Calculations

Worksheet 2: Runoff curve number and runoff

Project <i>Learner Lemmon existing</i>	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

1. Runoff curve number

Soil name and hydrologic group (appendix A)	Cover description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected impervious area ratio)	CN ^{1/}			Area <input type="checkbox"/> acres <input type="checkbox"/> mi ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> %	Product of CN x area
		Table 2-2	Figure 2-3	Figure 2-4		
<i>Haybarn loamy sand (A)</i>		<i>51</i>			<i>13.6</i>	<i>694</i>
<i>Orf variant gravelly sandy loam (C)</i>		<i>63</i>			<i>66.4</i>	<i>5443</i>

^{1/} Use only one CN source per line

Totals ➡

6137

CN (weighted) = $\frac{\text{total product}}{\text{total area}} = \frac{\mathbf{6137}}{\mathbf{100}} = \mathbf{61.37}$;

Use CN ➡

61

2. Runoff

	Storm #1	Storm #2	Storm #3
Frequency yr	<i>100</i>		
Rainfall, P (^{<i>10-day</i>} 24-hour) in	<i>9.66</i>		
Runoff, Q in	<i>4.75</i>		

(Use P and CN with table 2-1, figure 2-1, or equations 2-3 and 2-4)

$Q = \frac{(P - 0.25)^2}{(P + 0.85)}$

$S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10$

S = 6.40

Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (T_C) or travel time (T_t)

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

Check one: T_C T_t through subarea

Notes: Space for as many as two segments per flow type can be used for each worksheet.
Include a map, schematic, or description of flow segments.

Sheet flow (Applicable to T_C only)

	Segment ID			
1. Surface description (table 3-1)	Range			
2. Manning's roughness coefficient, n (table 3-1)	0.13			
3. Flow length, L (total L \uparrow 300 ft) ft	300			
4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P_2 in	1.77			
5. Land slope, s ft/ft	0.007			
6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$ Compute T_t hr	0.72	+		=

Shallow concentrated flow

	Segment ID			
7. Surface description (paved or unpaved)	Unpaved			
8. Flow length, L ft	129			
9. Watercourse slope, s ft/ft	0.007			
10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1) ft/s	1.4			
11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$ Compute T_t hr	0.22	+		=
Total = 0.94 hr				

Channel flow

	Segment ID			
12. Cross sectional flow area, a ft ²				
13. Wetted perimeter, p_w ft				
14. Hydraulic radius, $r = \frac{a}{p_w}$ Compute r ft				
15. Channel slope, s ft/ft				
16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n				
17. $V = \frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$ Compute V ft/s				
18. Flow length, L ft				
19. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$ Compute T_t hr		+		=
20. Watershed or subarea T_C or T_t (add T_t in steps 6, 11, and 19) Hr				

Worksheet 4: Graphical Peak Discharge method

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

1. Data

Drainage area $A_m = 0.031$ mi² (acres/640)

Runoff curve number $CN = 61$ (From worksheet 2)

Time of concentration $T_c = 0.94$ hr (From worksheet 3)

Rainfall distribution = II (I, IA, II III)

Pond and swamp areas sprea throughout watershed = _____ percent of A_m (_____ acres or mi² covered)


	Storm #1	Storm #2	Storm #3
2. Frequency yr	100		
3. Rainfall, P ^{10-day} (24-hour) in	9.66		
4. Initial abstraction, I_a in (Use CN with table 4-1)	1.279		
5. Compute I_a/P	0.13		
6. Unit peak discharge, q_u csm/in (Use T_c and I_a/P with exhibit 4- _____)	360		
7. Runoff, Q in (From worksheet 2) Figure 2-6	4.75		
8. Pond and swamp adjustment factor, F_p (Use percent pond and swamp area with table 4-2. Factor is 1.0 for zero percent pond ans swamp area.)			
9. Peak discharge, q_p ft ³ /s (Where $q_p = q_u A_m QF_p$)	53.01		

Worksheet 6a: Detention basin storage, peak outflow discharge (q_o) known

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

Elevation or stage



Detention basin storage (acre feet)

1. Data:

Drainage area $A_m = 0.031$ mi²
 Rainfall distribution type (I, IA, II, III) = _____

1st Stage	2nd Stage
-----------	-----------

2. Frequency yr 100

3. Peak inflow discharge q_i ft³/s

(from worksheet 4 or 5b)

4. Peak outflow discharge q_u ft³/s

^{1/}

5. Compute $\frac{q_o}{q_i}$

6. $\frac{V_s}{V_r}$

(Use $\frac{q_o}{q_i}$ with figure 6-1)

7. Runoff, Q in 4.75

(From worksheet 2)

8. Runoff volume V_r ac ft 7.45

($V_r = QA_m 53.33$)


9. Storage volume, V_s ac-ft

($V_s = V_r (\frac{V_s}{V_r})$)

10. Maximum storage E_{max} (from plot)

^{1/} 2nd stage q_o includes 1st stage q_o .

Exhibit 4-II Unit peak discharge (q_u) for NRCS (SCS) type II rainfall distribution



Worksheet 2: Runoff curve number and runoff

Project <u>Learner Lemmon Proposed</u>	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

1. Runoff curve number

Soil name and hydrologic group (appendix A)	Cover description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected impervious area ratio)	CN ^{1/}			Area <input type="checkbox"/> acres <input type="checkbox"/> mi ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> %	Product of CN x area
		Table 2-2	Figure 2-3	Figure 2-4		
Impervious	Pavement / Buildings	98			67	6,566
Landscaping		79			33	2,607

^{1/} Use only one CN source per line

Totals ➡

9,173

CN (weighted) = $\frac{\text{total product}}{\text{total area}} = \frac{9,173}{100} = 91.73$;

Use CN ➡

92

2. Runoff

	Storm #1	Storm #2	Storm #3
Frequency yr	100		
Rainfall, P (10-day 24-hour) in	9.66		
Runoff, Q in	8.69		

(Use P and CN with table 2-1, figure 2-1, or equations 2-3 and 2-4)

$$Q = \frac{(P-0.25)^2}{(P+0.65)}$$

$$S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10$$

$$S = 0.87$$

Worksheet 3: Time of Concentration (T_C) or travel time (T_t)

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

Check one: T_C T_t through subarea

Notes: Space for as many as two segments per flow type can be used for each worksheet.
Include a map, schematic, or description of flow segments.

Sheet flow (Applicable to T_C only)

	Segment ID			
1. Surface description (table 3-1)		Smooth		
2. Manning's roughness coefficient, n (table 3-1)		0.011		
3. Flow length, L (total L \uparrow 300 ft) ft		300		
4. Two-year 24-hour rainfall, P_2 in		1.77		
5. Land slope, s ft/ft		0.0067		
6. $T_t = \frac{0.007 (nL)^{0.8}}{P_2^{0.5} s^{0.4}}$ Compute T_t hr		0.10	+	

Shallow concentrated flow

	Segment ID			
7. Surface description (paved or unpaved)		paved		
8. Flow length, Lft		1,890		
9. Watercourse slope, s ft/ft		0.004		
10. Average velocity, V (figure 3-1) ft/s		1.42		
11. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$ Compute T_t hr		0.37	+	

Total = 0.47hr

Channel flow

	Segment ID			
12. Cross sectional flow area, a ft ²				
13. Wetted perimeter, p_w ft				
14. Hydraulic radius, $r = \frac{a}{p_w}$ Compute r ft				
15. Channel slope, s ft/ft				
16. Manning's roughness coefficient, n				
17. $V = \frac{1.49 r^{2/3} s^{1/2}}{n}$ Compute Vft/s				
18. Flow length, L ft				
19. $T_t = \frac{L}{3600 V}$ Compute T_t hr			+	
20. Watershed or subarea T_C or T_t (add T_t in steps 6, 11, and 19) Hr				

Worksheet 4: Graphical Peak Discharge method

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed

1. Data

Drainage area $A_m = 0.031$ mi² (acres/640)

Runoff curve number $CN = 92$ (From worksheet 2)

Time of concentration $T_c = 0.47$ hr (From worksheet 3)

Rainfall distribution = II (I, IA, II III)


Pond and swamp areas sprea throughout watershed = _____ percent of A_m (_____ acres or mi² covered)

	Storm #1	Storm #2	Storm #3
2. Frequency yr	100		
3. Rainfall, P (^{10-day} 24-hour) in	9.66		
4. Initial abstraction, I_a in (Use CN with table 4-1)	0.174		
5. Compute I_a/P	0.02		
6. Unit peak discharge, q_u csm/in (Use T_c and I_a/P with exhibit 4- _____)	550		
7. Runoff, Q in (From worksheet 2) Figure 2-6	8.69		
8. Pond and swamp adjustment factor, F_p (Use percent pond and swamp area with table 4-2. Factor is 1.0 for zero percent pond ans swamp area.)			
9. Peak discharge, q_p ft ³ /s (Where $q_p = q_u A_m QF_p$)	146.10		

Worksheet 6a: Detention basin storage, peak outflow discharge (q_o) known

Project	By	Date
Location	Checked	Date

Check one: Present Developed



Detention basin storage (acre feet)

1. Data:
 - Drainage area $A_m = 0.031$ mi²
 - Rainfall distribution type (I, IA, II, III) = _____
2. Frequency yr

100	
-----	--
3. Peak inflow discharge q_i ft³/s

--	--

(from worksheet 4 or 5b)
4. Peak outflow discharge q_u ft³/s

--	--

^{1/}
5. Compute $\frac{q_o}{q_i}$

--	--
6. $\frac{V_s}{V_r}$

--	--

(Use $\frac{q_o}{q_i}$ with figure 6-1)
7. Runoff, Q in

--	--

(From worksheet 2)
8. Runoff volume V_r ac ft

14.37	
-------	--

($V_r = QA_m$ 53.33)
9. Storage volume, V_s ac-ft


--	--

($V_s = V_r (\frac{V_s}{V_r})$)
10. Maximum storage E_{max} (from plot)

--	--

^{1/} 2nd stage q_o includes 1st stage q_o .

Exhibit 4-II Unit peak discharge (q_u) for NRCS (SCS) type II rainfall distribution



Percolation Testing Report



WOOD RODGERS

January 9, 2023
Project No. 4092003

LC LEARNER, LLC
c/o Jeffrey Holbrook
27132 B Paseo Espada, Suite 1226
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

RE: Percolation Testing Investigation
Learner Lemmon – Infiltration Basin
Washoe County, Nevada

REF: Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual
April 30, 2009

Washoe County Health District
Sewage, Wastewater, and Sanitation
May 23, 2013

Geotechnical Investigation
Learner Lemmon
Washoe County, Nevada
Wood Rodgers Project No. 4092001
September 2021

Infiltration Basin Limits
Axion Engineering
November 2022

Dear Jeffrey:

Wood Rodgers is pleased to present this summary letter transmitting the compilation of percolation test results for the Learner Lemmon project located in Washoe County, Nevada.

Approximate exploration locations and limits of the infiltration basin are presented on Figure 1 - Site Plan and Approximate Exploration Locations which is attached to this letter. Logs of explorations and percolation test summaries are attached to this letter.

ESTIMATED SEASONAL HIGH GROUND WATER LEVEL

Locating and designing an infiltration basin was investigated over a series of 3-exploration programs. Based on our explorations, it has been determined that the estimated seasonal high ground water level (ESHGWL) within the most recent basin layout (Axion Engineering, November 2022) is at or below elevation 4926-feet. As required in the Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual, the proposed current basin bottom elevation of 4931-feet provides a 5-foot separation to ESHGWL. The following paragraphs summarize the investigation history for the infiltration basin.

Geotechnical Investigation Report (September 2021)

Within this preliminary investigation, no specific infiltration area was identified for investigation and no specific geomorphologic markers were identified within any of the test pit profiles. Variations in soil moisture content with depth indicated the ground water wetting front could approach an elevation of

4921.5-feet (based on calculated degree of saturation and consideration of capillary rise) in the northern area of the site (TP-1 and TP-2) and elevation 4924.5 in the eastern area of the site (TP-3). Groundwater was encountered in TP-3 at a depth of 9.5 feet (elevation of 4922.5-feet). Elevations were determined based on Washoe County contour mapping. Project development was tabled until 2022.

Logs of the September 2021 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-1 thru TP-10).

Percolation Testing and ESHGWL Investigation (October 2022)

As the project was reactivated additional test pits and percolation testing were performed in the proposed infiltration area now located along the southern portion of the property. Free water was noted at elevations ranging between elevations 4920 and 4925-feet. Elevated moisture contents indicated the wetting front could approach elevation 4929 within the southwest corner of the property. Therefore, the infiltration basin was reoriented to extend along the eastern property boundary and extend approximately halfway across the development toward the north (Figure 1).

It should be noted that evidence of a confining layer was present near the southeast property corner and excavations below elevation 4923-feet (8-feet below design bottom of basin) could result in the development of an elevated free water surface.

Logs of the October 2022 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-A thru TP-F).

Verification Percolation Testing (December 2022)

Logs of the December 2022 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-G thru TP-L). Table 1 summarizes percolation test results from each investigation along with relevant elevations. Explorations indicated in gray are no longer within the infiltration basin footprint.

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
TP-1 @ 3.5	480	4928	4924.5	NE	4921.5
TP-1 @ 5.5	480	4928	4922.5	NE	4921.5
TP-2 @ 3	480	4928	4925	4916.5	4921.5

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
TP-2 @ 6	480	4928	4922	4916.5	4921.5
TP-3 @ 3.5	24	4932	3928.5	4922.5	4924.5
TP-3 @ 5	2.1	4932	4927	4922.5	4924.5
TP-A @ 4.5	Slower than 480	4936	4931.5	4923	4929
TP-A @ 8	Slower than 480	4936	4928	4923	
TP-B @ 6	240	4937	4931	4924	4925
TP-B @ 9	240	4937	4928	4924	
TP-C @ 8	480	4936	4928	4925	4927
TP-D @ 5	48	4936	4931	4923	4925
TP-D @ 8	14	4936	4928	4923	
³ TP-E @ 2	11	4933	4931	4922	4926
TP-F	---	4934	---	4920	4924
² TP-G @ 2	4	4932	4930	---	² 4922.5
² TP-H @ 3.5	37	4933	4929.5	---	² 4922.5
² TP-I @ 3.5	20	4934	4930.5	---	² 4922.5
² TP-J @ 3	21	4933	4930	---	² 4922.5

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
² TP-K @ 4	2	4933	4929	---	² 4922.5
² TP-L @ 4	3	4935	4931	---	² 4922.5

¹Elevations are based on the Washoe County 6ft DEM. (Washoe County, reference date checked)

²Test pits 3, 6, 7 and 4 from the 2021 investigation were relied upon to establish a free water surface below elevation 4926-feet for the 12/2022 investigation.

³Confining layer noted at elevation 4923-feet.

Summary

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services for the benefit of LC Learner, LLC and their duly assigned agents. Please contact our office should you have any related questions or comments.

Sincerely,

WOOD RODGERS, INCORPORATED

Justin M. McDougal, PE
 Senior Engineer
 PE Number: 24474
 Expires: 12/31/2023




Jackson Beadell
 Jackson Beadell, EI
 Technical Professional

Enclosures:

Figure 1 - Site Plan and Approximate Exploration Locations
 Logs of Explorations and Percolation Tests

LEGEND	
TP-1 thru TP-10	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (08/2021)
TP-A thru TP-F	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (10/2022)
TP-G thru TP-L	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (12/2022)



LEARNER LEMMON

SITE PLAN AND APPROXIMATE EXPLORATION LOCATIONS

FIGURE 1



Wood Rodgers Inc.
1361 Corporate Blvd
Reno NV 89521
Telephone: 775-823-4068
Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)	GB 1A									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1B					7.7	22	18	4	47.5
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 1C					9.6	31	15	16	64.9
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist, dark brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1D									
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1E									
10		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										

Bottom of Test Pit at 12.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:33
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-A Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:12	8:42	6"	6 3/16"	30	3/16"
2	8:43	9:13	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:14	9:44	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5.5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:32
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-B Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:22	8:52	6"	6"	30	0"
2	8:53	9:23	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:24	9:54	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-2

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 11.50 ft / Elev 4916.50 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)	GB 2A					1.2				
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 2B					9.2				
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 2C					22.8				
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, brown, medium plasticity, white specs	GB 2D					42.3				
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium to high plasticity, white granular pockets	GB 2E					41.6				

Bottom of Test Pit at 12.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 11:32
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole #: PH-C Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:57	10:27	6"	6 2/16"	30	2/16"
2	10:28	10:58	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	10:59	11:29	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 6'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 11:32
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole #: PH-D Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	10:12	10:42	6"	6"	30	0"
2	10:43	11:13	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	11:14	11:44	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-3

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 9.50 ft / Elev 4922.50 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 3A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 3B									
			GB 3C									
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity, white specs	GB 3D									
10												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" : 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-E Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:14	9:44	6"	7 12/16"	30	1 12/16"
2	9:46	10:16	6"	7 6/16"	30	1 6/16"
3	10:16	10:46	6"	7 7/16"	30	1 7/16"
4	10:46	11:16	6"	7 5/16"	30	1 5/16"
5	11:16	11:46	6"	7 4/16"	30	1 4/16"
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 24 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" : 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-F Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:28	9:33	6"	9 10/16"	5	3 10/16"
2	9:35	9:40	6"	9 6/16"	5	3 6/16"
3	9:43	9:47	6"	9 1/16"	5	3 1/16"
4	9:48	9:53	6"	8 9/16"	5	2 9/16"
5	9:55	10:00	6"	8 8/16"	5	2 8/16"
6	10:01	10:06	6"	8 7/16"	5	2 7/16"
7	10:06	10:11	6"	8 6/16"	5	2 6/16"

Stabilized Rate : 2.1 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4934 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM)	GB 4A									
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 4B									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 4C									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) slightly moist to moist, low plasticity										
5.0												
7.5			GB 4D									
10.0		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity	GB 4E									

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-5

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4930 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic, slightly cemented	GB 5A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, brown and white, low to medium plasticity										
2.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 5B									
		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										
5.0												
		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 5C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-6

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 6A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 6B									
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown white, medium plasticity	GB 6C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-7

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, brown, nonplastic	GB 7A					2.4				
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist to moist, brown, low plasticity, white specs	SH 7B					6.5				
7.5			GB 7C					9.1	25	17	8	48.5

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-8

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 8B									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, medium plasticity	GB 8A GB 8C									
2.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8D				91.5					
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8E									
7.5		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8F									
10.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity										

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-9

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4931 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, light brown, low plasticity	GB 9B									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) very dense, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 9A GB 9C					10.3	22	21	1	26.0
2.5												
5.0												
7.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 9D									
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-10

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown	GB 10A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense to very dense, slightly moist, brown white, low plasticity										
7.5			GB 10B									
10.0		Moist										

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS-4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-A

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4935.7 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290 **▽ AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** 15.0 ft
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **▼ AT END OF EXCAVATION** 15.0 ft
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **▼ 24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 13.00 ft / Elev 4922.70 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) loose to medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1A									
5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low plasticity	GB 2A GB 3A					6.4				28.9
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, light brown, medium plasticity	GB 4A									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 5A GB 6A									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:47 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:57 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : A1 Diameter : 9 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:36 AM	9:06 AM	6	6	30	0
2	9:06 AM	9:36 AM	6	6	30	0
3	9:36 AM	10:06 AM	6	6	30	0
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : SLOWER THAN 480 min/in Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:47 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:57 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : A2 Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:39 AM	9:09 AM	6	6	30	0
2	9:09 AM	9:39 AM	6	6	30	0
3	9:39 AM	10:09 AM	6	6	30	0
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : SLOWER THAN 480 min/in Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-B

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4937.2 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 13.50 ft / Elev 4923.70 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, slightly plastic	GB 1B GB 2B					7.0	23	18	5	27.8
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, medium brown with white, low to medium plasticity	GB 3B GB 4B									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, gray with white, medium to high plasticity	GB 5B GB 6B									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 6'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:52 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : B1 Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:48 AM	9:18 AM	6	6 3/16	30	3/16
2	9:19 AM	9:49 AM	6	6 3/16	30	3/16
3	9:50 AM	10:20 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 240.0 Min/inch
 Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 9'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:52 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : B2 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:51 AM	9:21 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
2	9:22 AM	9:52 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
3	9:52 AM	10:22 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 240.0 Min/inch
 Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-C

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>10/6/22</u> COMPLETED <u>10/6/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>Komatsu 290</u> LOGGED BY <u>Seth Barton</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4936.2 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>48 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>---</u> AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>---</u> 24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION <u>11.00 ft / Elev 4925.20 ft</u>
--	--

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) loose to medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1C									
5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low plasticity	GB 2C									
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, light brown, medium plasticity	GB 3C									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4C									
			GB 5C									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:47 PM Date : 6-Oct
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : C Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:05 AM	9:35 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
2	9:35 AM	10:05 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
3	10:05 AM	10:35 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480.0 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-D

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4936.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 13.00 ft / Elev 4923.10 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low to medium plasticity	GB 1D GB 2D					11.8	28	15	13	52.7
10		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, medium brown with white, low plasticity	GB 3D					11.3	25	17	8	44.2
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, gray with white, medium to high plasticity	GB 4D									

Bottom of Test Pit at 17.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 1:47 PM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 1:57 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : D1 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:57 AM	9:27 AM	6	6 12/16	30	12/16
2	9:27 AM	9:57 AM	6	6 11/16	30	11/16
3	9:57 AM	10:27 AM	6	6 10/16	30	10/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 48.0 Min/inch
 Tested by : S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 2:00 PM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 2:10 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : D2 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:01 AM	9:31 AM	6	8 8/16	30	2 8/16
2	9:31 AM	10:01 AM	6	8 4/16	30	2 4/16
3	10:01 AM	10:31 AM	6	8 3/16	30	2 3/16
4	10:31 AM	11:01 AM	6	8 2/16	30	2 2/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 14.1 Min/inch
 Tested by : S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\10.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-E
 PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4933.2 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
 ▽ **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** 11.0 ft
 ▼ **AT END OF EXCAVATION** 11.0 ft
 ▼ **24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 5.00 ft / Elev 4928.20 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
			GB 1E					4.7	19	17	2	28.6
		CLAYEY SAND TO SANDY LEAN CLAY, (SC-CL) very dense to very stiff, moist, medium brown, low to medium plasticity	GB 2E					10.4				
			GB 3E					14.3				
		LEAN CLAY, (CL) stiff, very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4E									
			GB 5E									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 2'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 2:15 PM Date : 6-Oct
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 2:25 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : E1 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	10:48 AM	11:18 AM	6	8 15/16	30	2 15/16
2	11:18 AM	11:48 AM	6	8 14/16	30	2 14/16
3	11:48 AM	12:18 PM	6	8 13/16	30	2 13/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 10.7 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-F

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/7/22 **COMPLETED** 10/7/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4934.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
 ▽ **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** 14.5 ft
 ▽ **AT END OF EXCAVATION** ---
 ▽ **0.5hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 14.00 ft / Elev 4920.10 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0												
0 - 4.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1F					14.7	26	21	5	48.4
4.5 - 8.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, slightly plastic	GB 2F					16.8	25	17	8	36.2
8.5 - 10.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, light brown, low plasticity	GB 3F									
10.5 - 17.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4F									

Bottom of Test Pit at 17.0 Feet.

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON\DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>12/22/22</u> COMPLETED <u>12/22/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>CAT 420F Backhoe</u> LOGGED BY <u>Jackson Beadell</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4932.2 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>24 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AFTER EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u>
---	---

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic	GB G1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 2.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 2'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:05 AM Date : 22-Dec
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:15 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec
 Hole # : G Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	10:38 AM	10:48 AM	6	8 7/16	10	2 7/16
2	10:49 AM	10:59 AM	6	8 6/16	10	2 6/16
3	10:59 AM	11:09 AM	6	8 5/16	10	2 5/16
4	11:09 AM	11:19 AM	6	8 4/16	10	2 4/16
5	11:20 AM	11:30 AM	6	8 7/16	10	2 7/16
6	11:31 AM	11:41 AM	6	8 7/16	10	2 7/16
7	11:42 AM	11:52 AM	6	8 6/16	10	2 6/16

Stabilized Rate : 4.2 Min/inch Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4933.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) dense, slightly moist, tan brown, low plasticity, 0/60/40	GB H1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:56 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec
 Hole # : H Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:01 AM	8:31 AM	6	6 14/16	30	14/16
2	8:32 AM	9:02 AM	6	6 14/16	30	14/16
3	9:03 AM	9:33 AM	6	6 13/16	30	13/16
4	9:34 AM	10:04 AM	6	6 13/16	30	13/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 36.9 Min/inch **Tested by:** J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4933.8 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
		FAT CLAY, (CH) stiff, moist, dark brown, medium to high plasticity	GB I1									
		CLAYEY SAND TO SANDY LEAN CLAY, (SC-CL) dense to very stiff, slightly moist, tan brown, low plasticity	GB I2									

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:24 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole # : I Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:19 AM	8:49 AM	6	8 1/16	30	2 1/16
2	8:50 AM	9:20 AM	6	7 10/16	30	1 10/16
3	9:21 AM	9:51 AM	6	7 9/16	30	1 9/16
4	9:52 AM	10:22 AM	6	7 8/16	30	1 8/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 20.0 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04_GINT\12_2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>12/22/22</u> COMPLETED <u>12/22/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>CAT 420F Backhoe</u> LOGGED BY <u>Jackson Beadell</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4932.9 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>24 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AFTER EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u>
---	---

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, light tan, low to medium plasticity	GB J1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:22 PM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole # : J Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	8:44 AM	9:14 AM	6	4 8/16	30	1 8/16
2	9:15 AM	9:45 AM	6	4 8/16	30	1 8/16
3	9:46 AM	10:16 AM	6	4 9/16	30	1 7/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 20.9 Min/inch

Tested by: B. LaBarr
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 - LEARNER - LEMMON\LEARNING - LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GEO4092.GINT\12.2022\LEARNER LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>12/22/22</u> COMPLETED <u>12/22/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>CAT 420F Backhoe</u> LOGGED BY <u>Jackson Beadell</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4933.2 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>24 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AFTER EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u>
---	---

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL, (SP-SM) dense, slightly moist, tan, nonplastic, lense of sandy lean clay in corner of test pit	GB K1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 4.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:30 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:40 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole # : K Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SP-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	10:43 AM	10:49 AM	6	2	6	4
2	10:49 AM	10:55 AM	6	2 7/16	6	3 9/16
3	10:56 AM	11:02 AM	6	2 8/16	6	3 8/16
4	11:04 AM	11:10 AM	6	2 10/16	6	3 6/16
5	11:12 AM	11:18 AM	6	2 12/16	6	3 4/16
6	11:19 AM	11:25 AM	6	2 12/16	6	3 4/16
7	11:26 AM	11:32 AM	6	2 13/16	6	3 3/16

Stabilized Rate : 1.9 Min/inch

Tested by: B. LaBarr
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-L

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4934.5 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) dense, moist, light brown, low plasticity										
2.5		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL, (SP-SM) dense, slightly moist, light brown, nonplastic										
			GB L1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 4.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:55 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:03 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole #: L Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SP-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	12:03 PM	12:13 PM	6	10 2/16	10	4 2/16
2	12:14 PM	12:24 PM	6	9 9/16	10	3 9/16
3	12:25 PM	12:35 PM	6	9 10/16	10	3 10/16
4	12:37 PM	12:47 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
5	12:48 PM	12:58 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
6	12:59 PM	1:09 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
7	1:10 PM	1:20 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16

Stabilized Rate : 2.9 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

 **TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY**

LEARNER-LEMMON SINGLE-FAMILY

RENO, NV

APN: 080-461-08

Prepared for:
LC Learner, LLC.
27132B Paseo Espada, Suite 1226
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

Prepared by:
Kimley»»Horn

March 2023
192349000
Copyright © Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

FOR


LEARNER-LEMMON SINGLE-FAMILY

Prepared for:

LC Learner, LLC

27132B Paseo Espada, Suite 1226

San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675



Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

7900 Rancharrah Parkway

Suite 100

Reno, Nevada 89511

(775) 787-7552

This document, together with the concepts and designs presented herein, as an instrument of service, is intended only for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization and adaptation by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. shall be without liability to Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

© March 2023
192349000

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this traffic impact study is to identify traffic generation characteristics of a proposed single-family housing development, identify potential traffic related impacts on the surrounding street network, and develop mitigation measures required for identified impacts

The proposed single-family residential development is to be generally located at the Southeast corner of Pan American Way and the future Lear Boulevard on approximately 19.93 Acres within APN 080-461-08 in Reno, Nevada. Upon completion, the buildout of the proposed development is anticipated to consist of 87 detached single-family residential buildings.

Regional access to the residential development is expected to be provided via US-395. Primary access to the project site is anticipated to be from Lemmon Drive. Direct access to the site is planned to be provided by two (2) full access drives located on Pan American Way.

The Washoe County scope of study dated January 27, 2023, identified four (4) intersections for full analysis:

- Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive (two-stage intersection)
- Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way
- Budger Way and Pan American Way
- Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard

The scope from Washoe County is included in **Appendix A**. The study area intersections and project access drives are shown in **Figure E-1**.

Full buildout of the development is anticipated to generate approximately 61 AM peak hour trips and approximately 84 PM peak hour trips to the surrounding street network.

The proposed development traffic is anticipated to generate traffic volumes resulting in the following recommendations:

- The developer is recommended to install an R1-1 “STOP” sign with appropriate pavement markings for the egressing access drives onto Pan American Drive.
- All on-site and off-site signing and striping improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to the current Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), as applicable.
- The project is not anticipated to have significant impacts to the key study intersections and the surrounding street network.

Figure E-1 – Project Access Drives and Study Area Intersections



Source: NearMap

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. EXISTING CONDITIONS	3
2.1. Study Area Intersections	3
2.2. Existing Lane Configurations and Control	3
2.3. Existing Turning Movements	3
3. FUTURE CONDITIONS	6
3.1. 2026 Background Lane Configuration and Control.....	6
3.2. 2026 Buildout Background Traffic	6
3.3. Project Trip Generation	8
3.4. Project Trip Distribution.....	8
3.5. Traffic Assignment	8
3.6. 2026 Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes	9
4. TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	14
4.1. Analysis Methodology	14
4.2. Key Intersection Operational Analysis.....	14
5. CRASH DATA SUMMARY	16
6. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E-1 – Project Access Drives and Study Area Intersections..... ii
Figure 1 – Vicinity Map2
Figure 2 – Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control.....4
Figure 3 – 2023 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes5
Figure 4 – 2026 Background Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....7
Figure 5 – 2026 Background Plus Project Lane Configuration and Traffic Control10
Figure 6 – Project Trip Distribution.....11
Figure 7 – Project Traffic Assignment12
Figure 8 – 2026 Background Plus Project Peak Hour Traffic Volumes.....13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Peak Hour Turning Movement Count Dates3
Table 2 – Trip Generation8
Table 3 – Level of Service Definitions14
Table 4 – Key Intersection Peak Hour LOS Analysis.....15
Table 5 – Crash Data Summary.....16

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Scope of Study
- Appendix B Count Data
- Appendix C Trip Generation Calculations
- Appendix D Key Intersection Peak Hour LOS Calculations
- Appendix E Site Plan


1. INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has been retained by LC Learner, LLC to prepare a traffic impact study for a single-family residential development. The purpose of this traffic impact study is to identify traffic generation characteristics of the proposed development, identify potential traffic related impacts on the local street system, and develop mitigation measures required for the identified impacts.

The proposed single-family residential development is to be generally located along Budger Way and Pan American Way on approximately 19.93 Acres within APN 080-461-08 in Reno, Nevada. Upon completion, the buildout of the proposed development is anticipated to consist of 87 detached single-family residential buildings. A site plan for the proposed development is located in **Appendix G**. The location of the project site with respect to the City of Reno is shown on **Figure 1**.

Regional access to the development is expected to be provided via US-395. Primary access to the project site is anticipated to be from Lemmon Drive. Direct access to the site is planned to be provided by two (2) full access drives located on Pan American Way.

Figure 1 – Vicinity Map



Source: Esri

2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section of the report details existing conditions near the project site.

2.1. Study Area Intersections

The Washoe County scope dated January 27, 2023, identified four (4) intersections for full analysis:

- Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive (two-stage intersection)
- Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way
- Budger Way and Pan American Way
- Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard

The location for the single-family residential project is currently undeveloped. The area surrounding the project site is composed primarily of residential and commercial uses. The location of the project site, study area intersections and existing land uses are shown on **Figure E-1**.

2.2. Existing Lane Configurations and Control

Regional access to the development is expected to be provided via US-395. Primary access to the project site is anticipated to be from Lemmon Drive. Direct access to the site is planned to be provided by two (2) full access drives located on Pan American Way. Existing speed limits, lane configuration, and traffic control at the time of this study are illustrated in **Figure 2**.

2.3. Existing Turning Movements

AM and PM peak hour turning movement data was field counted on February 2, 2023, as summarized in **Table 1**, for the study area intersections identified in **Section 2.1**. Count data sheets are provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 1 – Peak Hour Turning Movement Count Dates

Intersection	Count Date
Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive (#1, #2)	Thursday, February 2, 2023
Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way (#3)	Thursday, February 2, 2023
Budger Way and Pan American Way (#4)	Thursday, February 2, 2023
Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard (#5)	Thursday, February 2, 2023

Figure 3 illustrates the 2022 existing peak hour traffic volumes.




Study Area Intersections

1. Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive Northbound
2. Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive Southbound
3. Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way
4. Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard
5. Budger Way and Pan American Way



2023 Existing Lane Configuration and Control




Legend

- ① Study Area Key Intersection
- Existing Approach
- STOP Stop Controlled Intersection
- 25 Roadway Speed Limit
- Signal Controlled Intersection

Lemmon Learner Single Family Study Area, 2023 Existing Lane Configuration and Traffic Control

Figure 2



2023 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

①	2(0) → 33(60) → 109(445) →	②	← 33(89) 1(0) ↓ 357(219) ↓	③	4(6) ↓ 37(27) ↓ 7(7) → 3(4) → 0(6) → 20(37) →
④	1(0) ↓ 0(1) ↓ 0(2) →	⑤	1(0) ↑ 1(1) ↓ 0(3) ↓ 0(3) ↓ 5(8) ↓ 10(9) ↓		

Legend

① Study Area Key Intersection

←XX(X) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Lemmon Learner Single Family
2023 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 3



3. FUTURE CONDITIONS

This section of the report details the conditions that are expected in the future at the time the proposed project is anticipated to be completed.

3.1. 2026 Background Lane Configuration and Control

Regional access to the development is expected to be provided via US-395. Primary access to the project site is anticipated to be from Lemmon Drive. Direct access to the site is planned to be provided by two (2) full access drives located on Pan American Way. Expected speed limits, lane configuration, and traffic control in 2026 are expected remain the same as the 2023 existing speed limits, lane configuration and traffic control illustrated in **Figure 2** with the exception of the project access drives which are illustrated in **Figure 5**.

3.2. 2026 Buildout Background Traffic

To accurately determine the impact of project traffic, it is necessary to establish future baseline traffic volumes along roadways in the vicinity of the proposed development site. The closest Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) count station (0310926) has recently shown negative growth. To provide a conservative analysis, existing year (2023) peak hour traffic volumes were grown for three (3) years at a 2 percent (2%) annual growth rate to obtain future background traffic volumes in 2026 when the proposed development is anticipated to be fully completed. The 2026 background peak hour traffic volumes at the key intersections are illustrated in **Figure 4**.



**Learner Lemmon Single Family
2024 Background Peak Hours Traffic Volumes**

2026 Background Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

①	②	③
2(0) → 35(95) → 116(472) →	1(0) ↓ 37(232) ↓ 2(0) ↓ 73(53) ↓	← 35(94) 4(8) ↓ 39(29) ↓ 7(7) → 3(4) → 0(6) → 21(39) →
④	⑤	
1(0) ↓ 0(1) ↓ 0(2) ↓	1(0) ↑ 1(1) ↓ 0(3) ↓ 0(3) ↓ 5(8) ↓ 11(10) ↓	

Legend

- ① Study Area Key Intersection
- A Project Access Drive
- ←XX(XX) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 4

3.3. Project Trip Generation

For purposes of estimating the number of new trips that are anticipated to be generated by the proposed residential development, the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition (ITE Land Use Codes 210 – Single-Family Detached Housing) was used. The ITE Trip Generation Manual informational report is a standard reference used by jurisdictions throughout the country and is based on actual trip generation studies performed at numerous locations in areas of various populations.

The project is expected to consist of 87 single-family residential lots. **Table 2** summarizes the estimated project trips. The proposed development is anticipated to generate 242 AM and 256 PM peak hour trips. Calculations are provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 2 – Trip Generation

ITE Code	Description	Dwelling Units	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour			Total Daily Trips
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
210	Single-Family Detached Housing	87	15	46	61	52	30	82	820
Total			15	46	61	52	30	82	820

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition

3.4. Project Trip Distribution

The study area street network characteristics, including the existing traffic patterns, expected street network, and access to regional facilities were used to determine the distribution of site generated traffic. The directional distribution of traffic is a means to quantify the percentage of site-generated traffic that approaches the site from a given direction and departs the site in the same or different direction. **Figure 6** shows the project trip distribution at the study area intersections and the project access drive.

3.5. Traffic Assignment

Assignment of project traffic was obtained by applying the developed trip distribution in **Figure 6** to the estimated traffic generation in **Table 2**. Project lane configuration and control are illustrated in **Figure 5**. Project traffic assignment is illustrated in **Figure 7** for the study area intersections and the project access drive.

The entering and exiting trips at the project access drive are rounded to the nearest whole number when assigned. Therefore, the number of trips assigned to the project driveway may differ slightly from the total trip generation.

3.6. 2026 Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes

The project generated traffic volumes in **Figure 7** were added to the 2026 background traffic volumes in **Figure 4** to represent estimated traffic conditions for full project development in 2026. The 2026 background plus project peak hour traffic volumes for the study area intersections and the project access drive are illustrated in **Figure 8**. Assuming that traffic on Fleetwood Drive is generated exclusively by single-family residential traffic, based on peak hour turning movement counts it is estimated that with the inclusion of this project the ADT on Fleetwood Drive will not exceed 2,000 immediately south of Budger Way.




Study Area Intersections

1. Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive Northbound
2. Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive Southbound
3. Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way
4. Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard
5. Budger Way and Pan American Way



2026 Background Lane Configuration and Control



Legend

- 1 Study Area Key Intersection
- Existing Approach
- Stop Controlled Intersection
- Roadway Speed Limit

**Learner Lemmon Single Family
2026 Background Plus Project Lane Configuration and Traffic Control**

Figure 5



Project Trip Distribution

1		2	3
0%(10%) →	90%(0%) →	10%(0%) ↓	0%(15%) ↓
		0%(10%) → 0%(90%) ↓	0%(85%) → 85%(0%) ↑ 15%(0%) ↑
4		5	
0%(15%) →	15%(0%) →	0%(85%) ←	85%(0%) ←

Legend

- 1 Study Area Key Intersection
- ←XX%(XX%) In(Out) Peak Hour Trip Distribution
- ←XX%→ Global Peak Hour Trip Distribution

Learner Lemmon Single Family Project Trip Distribution



**Learner Lemmon Single Family
Project Traffic Assignment**


Project Traffic Assignment

①		②	③
2(0) →	33(90) → 109(445) →	1(0) ↓ 35(72) ←	← 33(89)
		2(0) → 69(50) ↓	4(6) ↓ 37(27) ↓
			7(7) → 3(4) →
			0(6) → 20(37) →
④		⑤	
1(0) ↓ 0(1) ↓	1(0) ↑	1(1) ↓ 0(3) ↓	0(3) → 5(8) →
0(2) →		10(9) →	

Legend


- ① Study Area Key Intersection
- A Project Access Drive
- ←XX(X) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 7



**Learner Lemmon Single Family
2026 Background Plus Project Peak Hour Volumes**

2026 Background Plus Project Peak Hour Volumes



Legend

- ① Study Area Key Intersection
- A Project Access Drive
- ←XX(X) AM(PM) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 8

4. TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Traffic analyses for 2023 existing, 2026 background, and 2026 background plus project scenarios were conducted at the identified key intersections to determine possible existing and/or future deficiencies in the street network.

4.1. Analysis Methodology

Study area intersections were analyzed based on average total delay analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections presented in the Transportation Research Board’s “Highway Capacity Manual” 6th Edition (HCM 6). Under the unsignalized analysis, the level of service (LOS) for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is not defined for the intersection as a whole. LOS for a signalized or four-way stop controlled intersection is defined for the intersection as a whole. **Table 3** shows the definition of LOS for intersections.

Table 3 – Level of Service Definitions

Level of Service	Signalized Intersection Average Total Delay (sec/veh)	Unsignalized Intersection Average Total Delay (sec/veh)
A	≤10	10
B	>10 and ≤20	>10 and ≤15
C	>20 and ≤35	>15 and ≤25
D	>35 and ≤55	>25 and ≤35
E	>55 and ≤80	>35 and ≤50
F	>80	>50

Definitions provided from the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board.

Synchro 11 was used to analyze the study area intersections and driveways for LOS. Synchro is an interactive computer program that enables planners and engineers to forecast the traffic impacts of new developments; conduct area-wide traffic forecasting studies; test different mitigation measures and compare different traffic scenarios. Synchro 11 utilizes HCM 6 methodology to analyze intersection delay and LOS.

4.2. Key Intersection Operational Analysis

Calculations for the LOS at the key intersections are provided in **Appendix E**. The 2022 existing analysis is based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in **Figure 3**. The 2025 background and 2025 background plus project analyses are based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in **Figure 5**. It should be noted that signalized intersections were analyzed using optimized cycle lengths and splits. The results of the Key Intersection LOS Analysis for existing and horizon year conditions are summarized in **Table 4**.

Table 4 – Key Intersection Peak Hour LOS Analysis

Intersection	2023 Existing		2026 Background*		2026 Background Plus Project	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
	Delay (LOS)	Delay (LOS)	Delay (LOS)	Delay (LOS)	Delay (LOS)	Delay (LOS)
Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive (#1) Two-Way Stop Control Eastbound	9.3 (A)	0.0 (A)	9.3 (A)	0.0 (A)	9.5 (A)	13.4 (B)
Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive (#2) Two-Way Stop Control Eastbound Westbound	10.0 (B) 12.2 (B)	9.3 (A) 11.6 (B)	10.1 (B) 12.5 (B)	9.3 (A) 11.8 (B)	10.7 (B) 12.5 (B)	9.6 (A) 11.9 (B)
Fleetwood Drive and Budget Way (#3) Two-Way Stop Control Eastbound	8.9 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.9 (A)	8.8 (A)	9.0 (A)	8.9 (A)
Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard (#4)* All-Way Stop Control	7.0 (A)	6.7 (A)	7.0 (A)	6.7 (A)	6.7 (A)	6.9 (A)
Budger Way and Pan American Way (#5) Two-Way Stop Control Westbound	8.6 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.6 (A)	8.8 (A)	8.8 (A)

The key intersections are expected to operate at acceptable LOS (as defined by Washoe County) under 2023 existing, 2026 background, and 2026 background plus project scenarios.

5. CRASH DATA SUMMARY

Crash data was requested for the four (4) existing study intersections from the NDOT Safety Engineering Division for the most recent four-year period (January 1, 2016 – January 1, 2020). The crash data for the study intersections is summarized in **Table 5**. The intersection crashes include those crashes on both the major and minor streets of the key intersections during the three-year analysis period.

Table 5 – Crash Data Summary

Int. Num.	Intersection Name	Total Crashes	Property Damage Only	Injury	Fatal
1 & 2	Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive	2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
3	Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
4	Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
5	Budger Way and Pan American Way	0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Total		2	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

A total of two (2) crashes were recorded at the four (4) intersections in the most recent four-year period. Those two crashes resulted in two (2) property damage only crashes (100%), zero injury crashes (0%), and zero (0) fatal crashes. Less than five (5) crashes occurred at every study intersection and no additional study is warranted.

6. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed development is anticipated to generate traffic volumes resulting in the following recommendations:

- The developer is recommended to install an R1-1 “STOP” sign with appropriate pavement markings for the egressing access drives onto Pan American Drive.
- All on-site and off-site signing and striping improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to the current Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), as applicable.
- The project is not anticipated to have significant impacts to the key study intersections and the surrounding street network.

APPENDIX A
SCOPE OF STUDY

Tang, Alex

From: Giacomini, David
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2023 2:51 PM
To: Fink, Mitchell
Subject: RE: Traffic Study Scope Request

Mitch,

I have confirmed that the project will connect Lear to Fleetwood. As such here is the final list of off-site intersections (in addition to project access drives) that we will include in analysis and collect turning movement counts at:

- Budger Way and Pan American Way
- Budger Way and Fleetwood Drive
- Lemmon Drive and Fleetwood Drive
- Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard

Thank you,

David J Giacomini, P.E., PTOE, RSP₁
Kimley-Horn | 7900 Rancharra Parkway, Suite 100, Reno, NV 89511
Direct: 775 200 1981 | Mobile: 651 497 8220

From: Fink, Mitchell <MFink@washoecounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 2:56 PM
To: Giacomini, David <david.giacomini@kimley-horn.com>
Subject: RE: Traffic Study Scope Request

Hi David,

Your proposed intersection evaluations below for the traffic study for the Learner Lemmon Project are acceptable. Please incorporate the project ingress/egress locations onto Pan American as well. I don't recall if Lear Blvd. is going to be developed to Fleetwood Dr. as part of this project. If it is please add the intersection at Lear Blvd. and Fleetwood Dr. to be evaluated.

- Budger Way and Pan American Way
- Budger Way and Fleetwood Drive
- Lemmon Drive and Fleetwood Drive

Thank you.



Mitchell Fink, P.E. | Licensed Engineer
Community Services Department | Engineering & Capital Projects Division
mfink@washoecounty.gov | Office: 775.328.2050
1001 E. 9th Street, Reno, NV 89512
For additional information, email engineering@washoecounty.gov or call 775.328.2040



**Have some kudos to share about a Community Services Department employee or experience? Email allstars@washoecounty.gov*

The content of this email is the confidential property of Washoe County and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Giacomini, David <david.giacomin@kimley-horn.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2023 2:41 PM
To: Fink, Mitchell <MFink@washoecounty.gov>
Subject: Traffic Study Scope Request

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Hey Mitch,

I have another traffic scope request for you.

We are working on a proposed residential development located north of Budger Way with access along a proposed extension of Pan American Court. The project is located within APN 080-461-08. Full buildout of the development is anticipated to consist of 87 single-family detached houses. According to the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition (ITE Land Use Code 210 – Single-Family Detached Housing) the proposed development is anticipated to generate 820 daily trips, 61 AM peak hour trips, and 82 PM peak hour trips. A preliminary subdivision map (and associated assessor map) is attached for your reference.

Per Section 110.340.50 of the Washoe County Development Code, a traffic report is required if the proposed use will generate 80 or more peak hour trips (per ITE).

Can you please confirm the following intersections to be studied (7-9AM, 4-6PM):

- Budger Way and Pan American Court
- Budger Way and Fleetwood Drive
- Lemmon Drive and Fleetwood Drive

Thank you,

David J Giacomini, P.E., PTOE, RSP₁
Kimley-Horn | 7900 Rancharrah Parkway, Suite 100, Reno, NV 89511
Direct: 775 200 1981 | Mobile: 651 497 8220
Connect with us: [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [YouTube](#)

APPENDIX B
COUNT DATA

Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Lemmon Drive Northbound				Lemmon Drive Southbound				Fleetwood Drive Eastbound				Int
	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 7:00AM	17	9	0	26	0	95	0	95	25	0	0	25	146
7:15AM	22	4	0	26	0	97	0	97	18	0	0	18	141
7:30AM	35	11	0	46	1	97	0	98	13	1	0	14	158
7:45AM	35	9	0	44	0	68	0	68	13	1	0	14	126
Hourly Total	109	33	0	142	1	357	0	358	69	2	0	71	571
8:00AM	30	7	0	37	1	56	0	57	17	1	0	18	112
8:15AM	32	6	0	38	0	70	0	70	12	0	0	12	120
8:30AM	47	7	0	54	0	60	0	60	17	1	0	18	132
8:45AM	76	19	0	95	3	61	0	64	15	1	0	16	175
Hourly Total	185	39	0	224	4	247	0	251	61	3	0	64	539
9:00AM	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:00PM	111	18	0	129	0	43	0	43	8	0	0	8	180
4:15PM	136	37	0	173	0	48	0	48	11	0	0	11	232
4:30PM	106	18	0	124	0	59	0	59	13	0	0	13	196
4:45PM	92	16	1	109	0	69	0	69	18	0	0	18	196
Hourly Total	445	89	1	535	0	219	0	219	50	0	0	50	804
5:00PM	94	18	2	114	1	43	0	44	18	0	0	18	176
5:15PM	95	15	0	110	0	53	0	53	18	2	0	20	183
5:30PM	112	26	1	139	0	55	0	55	9	0	0	9	203
5:45PM	84	24	1	109	0	51	0	51	13	0	0	13	173
Hourly Total	385	83	4	472	1	202	0	203	58	2	0	60	735
6:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1124	245	5	1374	6	1025	0	1031	238	7	0	245	2650
% Approach	81.8%	17.8%	0.4%	-	0.6%	99.4%	0%	-	97.1%	2.9%	0%	-	-
% Total	42.4%	9.2%	0.2%	51.8%	0.2%	38.7%	0%	38.9%	9.0%	0.3%	0%	9.2%	-
Lights	1107	241	5	1353	5	1006	0	1011	234	7	0	241	2605
% Lights	98.5%	98.4%	100%	98.5%	83.3%	98.1%	0%	98.1%	98.3%	100%	0%	98.4%	98.3%
Articulated Trucks	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.1%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	15	4	0	19	1	18	0	19	4	0	0	4	42
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	1.3%	1.6%	0%	1.4%	16.7%	1.8%	0%	1.8%	1.7%	0%	0%	1.6%	1.6%

*L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023


Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US



Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (7 AM - 8 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Leg Direction	Lemmon Drive Northbound				Lemmon Drive Southbound				Fleetwood Drive Eastbound				Int
	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 7:00AM	17	9	0	26	0	95	0	95	25	0	0	25	146
7:15AM	22	4	0	26	0	97	0	97	18	0	0	18	141
7:30AM	35	11	0	46	1	97	0	98	13	1	0	14	158
7:45AM	35	9	0	44	0	68	0	68	13	1	0	14	126
Total	109	33	0	142	1	357	0	358	69	2	0	71	571
% Approach	76.8%	23.2%	0%	-	0.3%	99.7%	0%	-	97.2%	2.8%	0%	-	-
% Total	19.1%	5.8%	0%	24.9%	0.2%	62.5%	0%	62.7%	12.1%	0.4%	0%	12.4%	-
PHF	0.779	0.750	-	0.772	0.250	0.920	-	0.913	0.690	0.500	-	0.710	0.903
Lights	101	31	0	132	1	354	0	355	67	2	0	69	556
% Lights	92.7%	93.9%	0%	93.0%	100%	99.2%	0%	99.2%	97.1%	100%	0%	97.2%	97.4%
Articulated Trucks	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Articulated Trucks	1.8%	0%	0%	1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.4%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	6	2	0	8	0	3	0	3	2	0	0	2	13
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	5.5%	6.1%	0%	5.6%	0%	0.8%	0%	0.8%	2.9%	0%	0%	2.8%	2.3%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023


AM Peak (7 AM - 8 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US



Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Lemmon Drive Northbound				Lemmon Drive Southbound				Fleetwood Drive Eastbound				Int
	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 4:00PM	111	18	0	129	0	43	0	43	8	0	0	8	180
4:15PM	136	37	0	173	0	48	0	48	11	0	0	11	232
4:30PM	106	18	0	124	0	59	0	59	13	0	0	13	196
4:45PM	92	16	1	109	0	69	0	69	18	0	0	18	196
Total	445	89	1	535	0	219	0	219	50	0	0	50	804
% Approach	83.2%	16.6%	0.2%	-	0%	100%	0%	-	100%	0%	0%	-	-
% Total	55.3%	11.1%	0.1%	66.5%	0%	27.2%	0%	27.2%	6.2%	0%	0%	6.2%	-
PHF	0.818	0.601	0.250	0.773	-	0.793	-	0.793	0.694	-	-	0.694	0.866
Lights	442	87	1	530	0	215	0	215	49	0	0	49	794
% Lights	99.3%	97.8%	100%	99.1%	0%	98.2%	0%	98.2%	98.0%	0%	0%	98.0%	98.8%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	3	2	0	5	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	1	10
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0.7%	2.2%	0%	0.9%	0%	1.8%	0%	1.8%	2.0%	0%	0%	2.0%	1.2%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lemmon Drive - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035399, Location: 39.639458, -119.840831

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Lemmon Drive

Total: 664
In: 219 Out: 445

219

[W] Fleetwood Drive

Total: 139
In: 50 Out: 89

50



Out: 270 In: 535
Total: 805

[S] Lemmon Drive

Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
 767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound				Fleetwood Drive Southbound				Budger Way Eastbound				Int
	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 7:00AM	6	0	0	6	0	12	0	12	1	2	0	3	21
7:15AM	5	0	0	5	3	13	0	16	2	3	0	5	26
7:30AM	4	0	0	4	1	6	0	7	0	2	0	2	13
7:45AM	5	0	0	5	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	11
Hourly Total	20	0	0	20	4	37	0	41	3	7	0	10	71
8:00AM	3	1	0	4	0	6	0	6	0	4	0	4	14
8:15AM	3	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	2	1	0	3	10
8:30AM	2	0	0	2	0	7	0	7	2	0	0	2	11
8:45AM	14	1	0	15	1	7	0	8	0	4	0	4	27
Hourly Total	22	2	0	24	2	23	0	25	4	9	0	13	62
9:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:00PM	7	1	0	8	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	13
4:15PM	14	2	0	16	1	5	0	6	4	1	0	5	27
4:30PM	5	1	0	6	1	8	0	9	0	2	0	2	17
4:45PM	8	1	0	9	5	6	0	11	1	3	0	4	24
Hourly Total	34	5	0	39	7	24	0	31	5	6	0	11	81
5:00PM	8	2	0	10	0	5	0	5	2	0	0	2	17
5:15PM	8	1	0	9	0	10	0	10	1	4	0	5	24
5:30PM	13	2	0	15	3	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	24
5:45PM	15	0	0	15	1	4	0	5	2	2	0	4	24
Hourly Total	44	5	0	49	4	25	0	29	5	6	0	11	89
6:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	120	12	0	132	17	109	0	126	17	28	0	45	303
% Approach	90.9%	9.1%	0%	-	13.5%	86.5%	0%	-	37.8%	62.2%	0%	-	-
% Total	39.6%	4.0%	0%	43.6%	5.6%	36.0%	0%	41.6%	5.6%	9.2%	0%	14.9%	-
Lights	120	11	0	131	17	106	0	123	17	27	0	44	298
% Lights	100%	91.7%	0%	99.2%	100%	97.2%	0%	97.6%	100%	96.4%	0%	97.8%	98.3%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	5
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	8.3%	0%	0.8%	0%	2.8%	0%	2.4%	0%	3.6%	0%	2.2%	1.7%

*L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023


Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US



Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (7 AM - 8 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound				Fleetwood Drive Southbound				Budger Way Eastbound				
Time	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	Int
2023-02-02 7:00AM	6	0	0	6	0	12	0	12	1	2	0	3	21
7:15AM	5	0	0	5	3	13	0	16	2	3	0	5	26
7:30AM	4	0	0	4	1	6	0	7	0	2	0	2	13
7:45AM	5	0	0	5	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	11
Total	20	0	0	20	4	37	0	41	3	7	0	10	71
% Approach	100%	0%	0%	-	9.8%	90.2%	0%	-	30.0%	70.0%	0%	-	-
% Total	28.2%	0%	0%	28.2%	5.6%	52.1%	0%	57.7%	4.2%	9.9%	0%	14.1%	-
PHF	0.833	-	-	0.833	0.333	0.712	-	0.641	0.375	0.583	-	0.500	0.683
Lights	20	0	0	20	4	36	0	40	3	7	0	10	70
% Lights	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	97.3%	0%	97.6%	100%	100%	0%	100%	98.6%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2.7%	0%	2.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1.4%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023


AM Peak (7 AM - 8 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US



Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound				Fleetwood Drive Southbound				Budger Way Eastbound				Int
	T	L	U	App	R	T	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 4:45PM	8	1	0	9	5	6	0	11	1	3	0	4	24
5:00PM	8	2	0	10	0	5	0	5	2	0	0	2	17
5:15PM	8	1	0	9	0	10	0	10	1	4	0	5	24
5:30PM	13	2	0	15	3	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	24
Total	37	6	0	43	8	27	0	35	4	7	0	11	89
% Approach	86.0%	14.0%	0%	-	22.9%	77.1%	0%	-	36.4%	63.6%	0%	-	-
% Total	41.6%	6.7%	0%	48.3%	9.0%	30.3%	0%	39.3%	4.5%	7.9%	0%	12.4%	-
PHF	0.712	0.750	-	0.717	0.400	0.675	-	0.795	0.500	0.438	-	0.550	0.927
Lights	37	6	0	43	8	27	0	35	4	7	0	11	89
% Lights	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Budger Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023


PM Peak (4:45 PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035397, Location: 39.642744, -119.843968

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US



Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (4 PM-6 PM, 7 AM-9 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Pan American Drive Northbound				Pan American Drive Southbound				Budger Way Westbound				
Time	R	T	U	App	T	L	U	App	R	L	U	App	Int
2023-02-02 7:00AM	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
7:15AM	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	7
7:30AM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Hourly Total	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	12
8:00AM	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	6
8:15AM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
8:30AM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:45AM	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	5
Hourly Total	11	0	0	11	1	0	0	1	0	4	0	4	16
9:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:00PM	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	5
4:15PM	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	6
4:30PM	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
4:45PM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	7	9
Hourly Total	9	0	0	9	1	1	2	4	3	8	0	11	24
5:00PM	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:15PM	4	1	0	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
5:30PM	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
5:45PM	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	6
Hourly Total	9	2	0	11	1	1	0	2	0	4	0	4	17
6:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	36	2	0	38	3	2	2	7	3	21	0	24	69
% Approach	94.7%	5.3%	0%	-	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	-	12.5%	87.5%	0%	-	-
% Total	52.2%	2.9%	0%	55.1%	4.3%	2.9%	2.9%	10.1%	4.3%	30.4%	0%	34.8%	-
Lights	35	2	0	37	3	2	2	7	3	20	0	23	67
% Lights	97.2%	100%	0%	97.4%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95.2%	0%	95.8%	97.1%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	2.8%	0%	0%	2.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.8%	0%	4.2%	2.9%

*L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (4 PM-6 PM, 7 AM-9 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Pan American Drive

Total: 14
In: 7 Out: 7

3 22



3
21
Out: 38 In: 24
Total: 62
[E] Budger Way

Out: 24 In: 38
Total: 62

[S] Pan American Drive

Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (7:15 AM - 8:15 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Pan American Drive Northbound				Pan American Drive Southbound				Budger Way Westbound				Int
	R	T	U	App	T	L	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 7:15AM	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	7
7:30AM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
8:00AM	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	6
Total	10	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	16
% Approach	100%	0%	0%	-	100%	0%	0%	-	0%	100%	0%	-	-
% Total	62.5%	0%	0%	62.5%	6.3%	0%	0%	6.3%	0%	31.3%	0%	31.3%	-
PHF	0.625	-	-	0.625	0.250	-	-	0.250	-	0.417	-	0.417	0.571
Lights	10	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	0	5	0	5	16
% Lights	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (7:15 AM - 8:15 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Pan American Drive

Total: 1
In: 1 Out: 0

1



5

Out: 10 In: 5
Total: 15

[E] Budger Way

10

Out: 6 In: 10
Total: 16

[S] Pan American Drive

Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Pan American Drive Northbound				Pan American Drive Southbound				Budger Way Westbound				Int
	R	T	U	App	T	L	U	App	R	L	U	App	
2023-02-02 4:00PM	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	1	0	0	1	5
4:15PM	3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	6
4:30PM	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
4:45PM	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	7	9
Total	9	0	0	9	1	1	2	4	3	8	0	11	24
% Approach	100%	0%	0%	-	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	-	27.3%	72.7%	0%	-	-
% Total	37.5%	0%	0%	37.5%	4.2%	4.2%	8.3%	16.7%	12.5%	33.3%	0%	45.8%	-
PHF	0.750	-	-	0.750	0.250	0.250	0.250	0.333	0.750	0.333	-	0.393	0.667
Lights	9	0	0	9	1	1	2	4	3	8	0	11	24
% Lights	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Budger Way and Pan American Way - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035396, Location: 39.642752, -119.846954

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Pan American Drive

Total: 9
In: 4 Out: 5



Out: 10 In: 11
Total: 21
[E] Budger Way

Out: 9 In: 9
Total: 18

[S] Pan American Drive

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound					Fleetwood Drive Southbound					Lear Boulevard Eastbound					Lear Boulevard Westbound					Int					
	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App						
2023-02-02 7:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:00AM	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
9:00AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
6:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	100%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% Total	0%	20.0%	0%	0%	20.0%	0%	40.0%	0%	0%	40.0%	40.0%	0%	0%	0%	40.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
Lights	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
% Lights	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%

*L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

Full Length (7 AM-9 AM, 4 PM-6 PM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements


ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Fleetwood Drive

Total: 3
In: 2 Out: 1

2



[W] Lear Boulevard

Total: 2
In: 2 Out: 0

2

Out: 4 In: 1
Total: 5

[S] Fleetwood Drive

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (8 AM - 9 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound					Fleetwood Drive Southbound					Lear Boulevard Eastbound					Lear Boulevard Westbound						
Time	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	Int	
2023-02-02 8:00AM	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
8:15AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:45AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	50.0%	0%	0%	50.0%	0%	50.0%	0%	0%	50.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
PHF	-	0.250	-	-	0.250	-	0.250	-	-	0.250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.250
Lights	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Lights	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	100%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

AM Peak (8 AM - 9 AM)

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Fleetwood Drive

Total: 2

In: 1 Out: 1



Out: 1 In: 1

Total: 2

[S] Fleetwood Drive

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4:15 PM - 5:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Leg Direction	Fleetwood Drive Northbound					Fleetwood Drive Southbound					Lear Boulevard Eastbound					Lear Boulevard Westbound					
Time	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	R	T	L	U	App	Int
2023-02-02 4:15PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
4:30PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4:45PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:00PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
% Approach	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	100%	0%	0%	-	100%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	-
% Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33.3%	0%	0%	33.3%	66.7%	0%	0%	0%	66.7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
PHF	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.250	-	-	0.250	0.250	-	-	-	0.250	-	-	-	-	-	0.375
Lights	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
% Lights	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	100%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Fleetwood Drive and Lear Boulevard - TMC

Thu Feb 2, 2023

PM Peak (4:15 PM - 5:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks)

All Movements

ID: 1035398, Location: 39.646782, -119.843895

Provided by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
767 Eustis Street, Suite 100, Saint Paul, MN, 55114, US

[N] Fleetwood Drive

Total: 1
In: 1 Out: 0


1



[W] Lear Boulevard

Total: 2
In: 2 Out: 0

2



Out: 3 In: 0
Total: 3

[S] Fleetwood Drive

APPENDIX C
TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS

Project: Learner Lemmon Single-Family
 Subject: NDOT Growth Rate Calculations
 Designed By: AKT

Project Number: 192349000
 Date: 2/16/2023
 Page: 1 of 1

Existing Growth Rate Calculations

Ref: Nevada Department of Transportation - Annual Traffic Report 2021

Number of Count Stations Analyzed = 3

Average Annual Growth Rate in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project = 4.79%

NDOT COUNT STATION:	0310926	
ROADWAY:	Patrician Dr	
LOCATION:	150ft W of Lemmon Dr	

Year	AADT	Annual Growth Rate
2019	690	6.35%
2021	830	
YEARS =	3	

PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
Year	AADT
2022	883
2023	939
2024	998

NDOT COUNT STATION:	0310944	
ROADWAY:	Lemmon Dr	
LOCATION:	290ft S of Hydraulic St	

Year	AADT	Annual Growth Rate
2019	9450	0.00%
2021	9450	
YEARS =	3	

PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
Year	AADT
2022	9450
2023	9450
2024	9450

NDOT COUNT STATION:	0311145	
ROADWAY:	Lemmon Dr	
LOCATION:	660ft S of Military Rd	

Year	AADT	Annual Growth Rate
2019	19600	8.01%
2021	24700	
YEARS =	3	

PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
Year	AADT
2022	26269
2023	27937
2024	29712

APPENDIX D
KEY INTERSECTION PEAK HOUR LOS CALCULATIONS

Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units

On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 192


Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 226

Directional Distribution: 25% entering, 75% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.70	0.27 - 2.27	0.24

Data Plot and Equation




Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
 Number of Studies: 208
 Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 248
 Directional Distribution: 63% entering, 37% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
0.94	0.35 - 2.98	0.31

Data Plot and Equation



Single-Family Detached Housing (210)


Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 174
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 246
Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit


Average Rate	Range of Rates	Standard Deviation
9.43	4.45 - 22.61	2.13

Data Plot and Equation



APPENDIX E
SITE PLAN

Exhibit "A"



GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

Learner Lemmon

Washoe County, Nevada

Submitted To

Mr. Ted Brown

D.R. Horton

5588 Longely Lane

Reno, NV 89511

Project No.

4092001

September 2021



Justin M. McDougal, PE
PE Number -24474 (NV)



WOOD RODGERS
BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS ONE PROJECT AT A TIME
1361 Corporate Boulevard Tel: 775.823.4068
Reno, NV 89502 Fax: 775.823.4066

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
3.0 SITE CONDITIONS	2
4.0 EXPLORATION	2
5.0 LABORATORY TESTING	3
6.0 GEOLOGIC AND GENERAL SOIL AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	4
7.0 SEISMIC HAZARDS	4
7.1 Surface Rupture	4
7.2 Liquefaction	5
7.3 Slope Instability.....	5
8.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
8.1 General Information.....	5
8.2 Soil Profile Type Amplification Factors.....	7
8.3 Site Preparation	7
8.4 Grading and Filling	8
8.5 Testing and Observation.....	9
8.6 Trenching and Excavation.....	10
8.7 Foundations	10
8.7.1 Standard Spread Foundations.....	10
8.7.2 Structural Slab-on-Grade Foundations.....	11
8.8 Retaining Walls	14
8.9 Infiltration Basin.....	14
8.10 Erosion Control	15
8.11 Site Drainage.....	15
8.12 Corrosion Potential	16
8.13 Concrete and Concrete Slabs-On Grade.....	17
8.14 Structural Pavement Sections.....	18
8.15 Asphalt Concrete Design Life	18
9.0 CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION AND TESTING SERVICES.....	19
10.0 EXPECTATION OF PERFORMANCE	19
11.0 STANDARD LIMITATION CLAUSE	20
12.0 REFERENCES	22

TABLES

- Table 1 – Summary of Physical/Mechanical Test Data
- Table 2 – Guideline Specification for Imported Structural Fill
- Table 3 – Allowable Foundation Bearing Pressures
- Table 4 – Structural Slab-on-Grade Design Recommendations
- Table 5 – Coefficient of Friction, μ , for 5-inch Slabs
- Table 6 – Lateral Earth Pressures
- Table 7 – Soil Moisture Profile (TP-2)
- Table 8 – Summary of Infiltration Basin Percolation Testing
- Table 9 – Structural Pavement Sections

FIGURES

- Figure 1 – Project Development Area
- Figure 2 – Reno NE Quadrangle Geologic Map

APPENDICES

- Appendix A – Geotechnical Plates
 - A-1a – Vicinity Map
 - A-1b – Site Map and Approximate Exploration Locations
 - A-2 – Logs of Test Pits
 - A-3 – Unified Soil Classification and Key to Soil Descriptions
 - A-4 – Laboratory Testing Results
 - A-5 – ReMi Results

- Appendix B – ASCE 7 Hazards Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The overall site, located in Washoe County, Nevada, encompasses an area of approximately 19.9 acres, and based on representative latitude and longitude, is located at 39.6451°N and -119.8459°E, respectively. The site is bordered by undeveloped land to the west and north, and residences to the east and south. Frontage roads extend along the parcel perimeter. Overall, the site slopes downward to the north at an approximate gradient of one percent. Vegetation is light to moderate and typically consists of grasses and brush. Several dirt roads cross the property.

The project consists of developing a single-family residential subdivision. Homes will be one to two-stories, wood-framed construction, supported on standard spread foundations with slab-on-grade flooring or post-tensioned slab-on-grade foundations. Foundation loads are anticipated to be light. An infiltration basin is currently planned for the development to collect and discharge precipitation runoff; preliminary infiltration sites are within the northeast corner of the project and within the east central portion of the project. Public improvements will be designed to Washoe County standards. The development will be phased for a balance of cut and fills with cuts and fills anticipated to approach maximums of 4-feet.

The soils encountered in our explorations typically consisted of silty sand and silty, clayey sand over low to medium plasticity clayey sand and sandy lean clay. Percolation rates within the underlying clay soils (TP-1 and TP -2) were significantly slower than 240 min/in; percolation tests performed within the surficial clayey sands (TP-3) presented rates ranging from 2 to 24 min/in. Within the eastern portion of the project, evidence of groundwater was encountered as shallow as 9.5 feet below the existing ground surface. Seasonal high groundwater was estimated to reach 6.5 feet below ground surface (TP-2). Excavations for utility trenches that approach free water, or that extend to within the zone of influence of free water, will have a greater tendency to slough or cave and must be adequately considered and planned for by the contractor. Wet trench conditions should be adequately planned for.

Public improvements will be designed and constructed in accordance with Washoe County Standards.

Sulfate testing on the native soils resulted in sulfate levels in both the negligible and severe ranges (< 0.01 and 1.3% by weight, respectively). Special concrete provisions are addressed in Section 8.12 of this report.

With incorporation of the site preparation and grading recommendations as presented in this report, it is our opinion the site should adequately support the planned improvements.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Presented herein are the results of Wood Rodgers' geotechnical exploration, laboratory testing, and associated geotechnical design recommendations for the proposed residential development to be in Washoe County, Nevada. The assessments and recommendations presented in this geotechnical report have been determined, in part, around the surface and subsurface conditions identified by our exploration program which was developed to be consistent with locally accepted industry practices regarding exploratory means and methods for geotechnical investigations of similar projects. The proposed structural elements, topography, grading design, soils, and geology are all unique; therefore, the engineering judgment employed by those in responsible charge of geotechnical design considerations, as defined by the State of Nevada, is considered the established and accepted standard of care for our evaluations and analyses associated with this report.

This report has been prepared in consideration of the applicable provisions set forth in the International Residential Code (IRC, 2018), ASCE 7, and the amendments and modifications adopted by Washoe County. These documents establish the minimum requirements to safeguard the public health, safety and general welfare of the occupants as well as the minimum level of structural integrity, life safety, fire safety and livability for inhabitants of new and existing structures. Geotechnical considerations for public improvements have been formulated around the requirements of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction. Performance standards around which our primary recommendations have been framed are based upon the requirements of the referenced documents. Any expectations of performance inconsistent with, outside the purview of, or exceeding the requirements of the referenced documents are subjective and therefore, a function of materials, design, workmanship, and ownership. Unless these expectations of performance are specifically stipulated or quantified herein, they are considered in excess to the scope and design standards of this report.

The objectives of this study were to:

1. Explore, test, and assess general soil, geology, and ground water conditions pertaining to design and construction considerations for the proposed development.
2. Provide recommendations associated with the design and construction of the project, as related to the identified geotechnical conditions and the stipulated design levels and performance standards established herein.

The area covered by this report is shown in Figure 1 and on Plate A-1b (Site Map and Approximate Exploration Locations) in Appendix A. Our study included field exploration, laboratory testing, and engineering analyses to identify the physical and mechanical properties of the various on-site materials. Results of our field exploration and testing programs are included in this report; in consideration of the stated design levels and performance standards, these results form the basis for our conclusions and recommendations.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project consists of developing a single-family residential subdivision. Homes will be one to two-stories, wood-framed, built on standard spread foundations with slab-on-grade flooring or post-tensioned foundations. Foundation loads are anticipated to be light.

The development will be phased for a balance of cut and fills. Approximately 8-feet of grade differential exists across the site. Therefore, cuts and fills are anticipated to be on the order of 4-feet. An infiltration basin is planned for the development to collect runoff and provide a source for limited regional groundwater recharge.

All street improvements will be designed to Washoe County standards and dedicated to the County. Underground utilities will be provided by a variety of public and private companies.

3.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The overall site, located in Washoe County, Nevada, encompasses an area of approximately 19.9 acres, and based on representative latitude and longitude, is located at 39.6451°N and -119.8459°E, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, the site is bordered by undeveloped land to the west and north, and residences to the east and south. Frontage roads extend along the parcel perimeter.

Overall, the site slopes downward to the north at an average gradient of one percent. Vegetation is light to moderate and typically consists of grasses and brush. Several dirt roads cross the property.



FIGURE 1 - PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AREA

4.0 EXPLORATION

The project was explored in August 2021 by excavating a series of 10 test pits using a Cat 420F backhoe and performing a geophysical Refraction Micro-tremor (ReMi) survey. The approximate locations of the test pits and ReMi geophysical lines are shown in Appendix A on Plate A-1b – Site Map and Approximate Exploration Locations. Maximum depth of test pit advance extended to 12 feet below the existing ground surface. Bulk samples for index testing were collected from representative depths within the soil horizon.

Wood Rodgers' personnel examined and classified soils in the field in general accordance with ASTM D2488 (Description and Identification of Soils). During exploration, representative bulk samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and subsequently returned to our Reno, Nevada laboratory for testing.

Additional soil classifications, as well as verification of the field classifications, were performed in accordance with ASTM D2487 (Unified Soil Classification System [USCS]) upon completion of laboratory testing as described below in the Laboratory Testing section. Logs of the test pits are presented as Plate A-2. A Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) explanatory chart of soil unit symbols and related descriptions has been included as Plate A-3 - Unified Soil Classification and Key to Soil Descriptions.

Shear wave velocity measurements have been relied upon for the development of geotechnical design characterization of soil stiffness. This information also aids in the determination of an appropriate Site Class (IBC, ASCE 7). A $V_{s100} = 733$ fps was measured; Plate A-5 presents the geophysical profile.

5.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Soil testing performed in the Wood Rodgers' laboratory was conducted in general accordance with the standards and methods described in Volume 4.08 (Soil and Rock; Dimension Stone; Geosynthetics) of the ASTM Standards. Samples of significant soil types were analyzed to determine in-situ moisture contents (ASTM D2216), grain size distributions (ASTM D6913), plasticity indices (ASTM D4318), and R-Value (ASTM D2844). Results of the testing is presented in Appendix A on Plates A-4a through A-4d. Table 1 also presents a summary of test data. Test results were used to classify the soils according the USCS (ASTM D2487) and to verify the field logs which were then updated.

Table 1 - Summary of Physical/Mechanical Test Data

Test Hole	Depth (Ft.)	Moisture (%)	%Gravel (+ #4)*	% Sand (#4-#200)	%Fines (-#200)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Index	R-Value	USCS
ASTM Standard		D2216	D6913			D4318		D2844	D2487
TP-1	1.5-3	7.7	0.4	52.1	47.5	22	4	---	SC-SM
TP-1	3-5	9.6	---	35.1	74.9	31	16	---	CL
TP-4	0-3	---	---	---	---	---	---	42	SM/SC
TP-7	6-7	9.1	1.1	50.5	48.5	25	8	---	SC
TP-8	0.5-3.5	---	---	---	---	---	---	14	SC
TP-9	1.5-4	10.3	3.5	70.5	26.0	22	1	---	SM

Additional testing included soil water characteristic curves for desorption (ASTM D6836) to aid in structural slab design; summary of this data is presented on Plate A-4e. As presented on Plate A-4f, chemical testing was performed to indicate the potential for corrosion to concrete and steel elements.

6.0 GEOLOGIC AND GENERAL SOIL AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

Based on the Reno NE quadrangle Geologic Map (Cordy, 1985), shown in Figure 2, the site is mapped in an area of Alluvium of Military Road (Qm). This geologic unit is described as poorly sorted sand to muddy sand derived from the alluvial fan deposits of Peavine Mountain. The soils units encountered in our explorations typically consisted of poorly sorted and interbedded layers and zones of silty sand and silty, clayey sand overlying low to medium plasticity clayey sand and sandy lean clay.

During our exploration program, free water was evident in TP-1 and TP-3 and was observed as shallow as 9.5 feet below the ground surface in TP-3. Seasonal high groundwater was estimated to reach 6.5 feet below ground surface in the northeast corner of the site.




FIGURE 2 - RENO NE QUADRANGLE GEOLOGIC MAP
(NBMG, CORDY, 1985)

7.0 SEISMIC HAZARDS

Lemmon Valley lies along the western margin of the Basin and Range physiographic province located between the Virginia Range and the Pah Rah Range to the east and the Carson Range to the west. The Basin and Range province is characterized by a series of valleys bounded by north/south trending mountain ranges, byproducts of the seismically active zones of the Wasatch Front in Utah and the Sierra Nevada Mountains along the California/Nevada border. Faulting and seismic activity are integral to the formation of this series of alternating valleys and mountain ranges. Therefore, the presence of faults, active and inactive, are common in western Nevada.

7.1 Surface Rupture

Criterion for evaluating earthquake faults have been formulated by a professional committee for the State of Nevada Earthquake Safety Council. The guidelines present recommendations that faults with evidence of movement within the past 10,000 years (Holocene time) are considered Holocene active. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) describes faults with evidence of displacement within the last 15,000 years to be considered Latest Quaternary active, faults with movement in the last 130,000 years are considered Late Quaternary active and faults with movement within the last 1.6 million years are considered Undifferentiated Quaternary active. The guidelines recommend that active Holocene faults be offset by occupied structures a minimum of 50 feet. In addition, the guidelines specify that no "critical facilities" shall be placed over a Late Quaternary active fault.

The USGS U.S. Quaternary Faults Map was accessed to review the proximity of any active faults as previously characterized. The closest mapped fault is located approximately 1-mile to the west of the site and is aged as Undifferentiated Quaternary active. The fault is part of the Fred's Mountain fault and is sufficiently distant that offsets or additional considerations have not been recommended. Surface rupture is considered unlikely.

7.2 Liquefaction

Chapter 11 of ASCE 7 presents Seismic Design Criteria for structures; Chapter C11 presents clarifications and detailed requirements for analyzing and designing structures based on the requirements and considerations of Chapter C11. Within Section C11.1.2 Scope, detached wood-frame dwellings, not exceeding two stories above grade plane, and constructed in accordance with the prescriptive provisions of the IRC, are deemed capable of resisting anticipated seismic forces. Exemption 1 further states that detached one- and two-story wood-frame dwellings have performed well even in regions of higher seismicity. Therefore, Chapter C11 stipulates that the IRC adequately provides the level of safety required for buildings. Due to the seismic performance levels reported for single family residences in Chapter C11, liquefaction assessments are not required by the IRC. However, given the geophysical profile (S-wave) measured at the site, final design grades, depth to groundwater, and anticipated general soil profile based on local and regional geology, it is our opinion liquefaction induced settlements would be limited and would occur at a depth where bearing capacity degradation would not occur.

A site-specific liquefaction assessment, including a boring to 50-feet below the existing ground surface, would be required to assess the potential for liquefaction and the resulting potential settlements.

7.3 Slope Instability

The site and surrounding low-lying topography are such that the potential for slope instability at the site due to gravitational or seismic activity is considered low.

8.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 General Information

The following definitions characterize terms utilized in this report:

- ◆ Fine-grained soil possesses more than 40 percent by weight passing the number 200 sieve and exhibits a plasticity index lower than 15.
- ◆ Clay soil possesses more than 30 percent passing the number 200 sieve and exhibits a plasticity index greater than 15.
- ◆ Granular soil does not meet the above criteria and has a maximum particle size less than 6-inches.

It should be noted these definitions have been formulated around anticipated soil behavior and may not coincide with classifications provided by the Unified Soil Classification System.

The recommendations provided herein, particularly under Site Preparation, Grading and Filling, Foundations, Site Drainage, and Construction Observations and Testing Services are intended to reduce risks of structural distress related to consolidation or expansion of native soils and/or structural fills. These recommendations, along with proper design and construction of the planned structure(s) and associated improvements, work together as a system to improve overall performance. If any aspect of this system is ignored or poorly implemented, the performance of the project will suffer. Any evaluation of the site for the presence of surface or subsurface hazardous substances is beyond the scope of this study. When suspected hazardous substances are encountered during routine geotechnical investigations, they are noted in the exploration logs and reported to the client. No such substances were identified during our exploration.

Recommendations for paved improvements in right-of-way will be consistent with Washoe County standards. Underground utilities will be provided by a variety of public and private companies; trenching and backfill recommendations addressed herein are consistent with OSHA and Washoe County requirements, respectively.

The test pits were advanced at the approximate locations shown on the site map. Each test pit was backfilled upon completion of the field portion of our study, and the backfill was compacted to the extent possible with the equipment on hand. However, the backfill was not compacted to the requirements presented herein under Grading and Filling. If structures, concrete flatwork, pavement, utilities or other improvements are to be located in the vicinity of any of the test pits, the backfill should be removed and re-compacted in accordance with the requirements contained in the soils report. Failure to properly compact backfill could result in excessive settlement of improvements located over test pits.

The site-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), as required by the State of Nevada, will be the responsibility of the general contractor and/or owner. Recommendations presented herein regarding moisture conditioning are for the benefit of creating a targeted fill behavior. Moisture conditioning recommendations are not intended to direct the contractor in their means and methods for dust and SWPPP control.

Structural areas referred to in this report include all areas of buildings, concrete slabs, asphalt pavements, as well as pads for any minor structures, fencing or retaining walls. Retained zones and slopes behind retaining structures are considered structural zones. In addition, structural zone shall be considered to extend at a 1:1 (H:V) slope out from the edge of the structural footprint. All compaction requirements presented in this report are relative to ASTM D 1557¹.

¹ • Relative compaction refers to the ratio (percentage of the in-place density of a soil divided by the same soil's maximum dry density) as determined by the ASTM D 1557 laboratory test procedure. Optimum moisture content is the corresponding moisture content of the same soil at its maximum dry density.

8.2 Soil Profile Type Amplification Factors

In accordance with ASCE 7-16 and the Northern Nevada Amendments of the 2012 IRC, Site Class D and Seismic Design Category D2 have been assigned to the project. Seismic design values were determined based on a representative latitude and longitude of 39.6451°N and -119.8459°E, respectively. Per ASCE 7-16, the site's modified Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA_M) to be used for engineering analyses is equal to 0.695g. The ASCE 7 Hazards Report is presented in Appendix B.

8.3 Site Preparation

All vegetation and topsoil are to be cleared and grubbed from structural areas. A minimum stripping depth of 0.3 to 0.5 feet is anticipated. Localized deeper areas may be required in areas where larger brush is encountered.

Vegetation and organic debris should be disposed of offsite or placed in designated non-structural areas (Section 8.1, General Information). If on-site disposal is approved, vegetation could be blended with soil (at a maximum ratio of 1:10 vegetation to soil, by mass) prior to placement in fill areas. Larger organics shall be broken up by the use of a large sheep's foot roller prior to blending with the soil mass. Vegetation shall be thoroughly blended with the soil; concentration of the vegetation must be avoided. Placing large, concentrated layers or zones of vegetation could lead to excessive settlement and subsequent surface depressions.

Based on our explorations, the soils at the site consist of 2 to 9 feet of granular and fine-grained soils overlying low-plasticity clays. These soils when adequately blended, processed, moisture conditioned and compacted will provide adequate foundation support for the proposed improvements. Therefore, no overexcavation and replacement is recommended at this time. However, because the grading plans have not yet been finalized, we request the opportunity to review the final design so that our recommendations can be modified as appropriate.

Prior to receiving structural fill or structural loading, subgrade soils should be moisture conditioned to within 3-percent of optimum moisture content and compacted to not less than 90-percent of the soil's maximum dry density (ASTM D1557) for a minimum depth of 12-inches.

The near surface fine-grained soils encountered on site may pump and or destabilize with moisture contents exceeding optimum. Due care must be exercised by the contractor to assure inclement weather and/or construction water during moisture conditioning or dust control do not result in an excessively wet subgrade. Where encountered, pumping soils may be scarified and allowed to dry or removed and replaced with a layer of compacted structural fill. Depending on extent and severity, other methods of subgrade stabilization are available. For more extensive stabilization measures, the contractor should propose a stabilization protocol that is consistent with their readily available means and methods, and this proposal presented for review, by the owner, the general contractor, and grading inspector. Subgrade

stabilization is a trial-and-error process, and it is recommended that a test section of suitable depth and length be conducted prior to deciding a stabilization course.

For the design considerations presented in this report, subgrade stabilization is considered adequate if the subgrade is firm and relatively unyielding (as approved by the engineer) when proof-rolled with a fully loaded water truck. Subgrade stabilization may not be required for walkways or private improvements subject solely to foot traffic providing the required compaction levels are achieved; however, if/where walkways or private improvements are structurally connected to the building, subgrade stabilization is required.

8.4 Grading and Filling

Granular and fine-grained soil substantially free of vegetation, organic matter and other deleterious material may be used as structural fill. Import structural fill should be substantially free of organic matter, deleterious material, and meet the requirements of Table 2 for on-site use.

Table 2 - Guideline Specification for Import Structural Fill

Sieve Size (ASTM D6913)	Percent by Weight Passing	
6 Inch	100	
4 Inch	90 - 100	
¾ Inch	70 - 100	
No. 40	15 - 70	
No. 200	5 - 30	5 - 50
Maximum Liquid Limit (ASTM D4318)	40	40
Maximum Plasticity Index	15	12
Soluble Sulfate Level (ACI 318, Table 4.3.1)	Negligible	
R-Value within 2-feet of roadbed grade (ASTM D2844)	30 Min.	

Adjustments to the recommended limits presented in Table 2 may be approved upon request on a case-by-case basis to allow the use of other granular, non-expansive material, including rock fill. Any such adjustments must be made and approved by the Geotechnical Engineer, in writing, prior to importing structural fill to the site.

Structural fill to be used in public right of way areas shall meet the requirements of the Standard Specifications for Public Works unless approved and accepted for use by Washoe County. A minimum subgrade R-value (ASTM D2844) of 30 is required for dedicated roadways. Near surface soils presented R-Values ranging from 14 to 42. Therefore, we recommend at least two verification R-Values be performed on the roadbed subgrade prior to placement of the base course.

Mass-graded fills and localized structural fills shall be moisture conditioned to near optimum moisture content, placed in 12-inch maximum loose lifts, and compacted to not less than 90-percent of the soil's maximum dry density (ASTM D1557). If fills are greater than five feet in thickness, the minimum compaction requirement shall be increased to 95 percent. Fill supporting fencing is considered structural fill and the requirements for fill quality and placement shall be observed.

Perimeter landscaping fills (and fills blended with vegetation) shall be limited to nonstructural areas, moisture conditioned, placed in 12-inch maximum loose lifts and compacted to not less than 85-percent of the soil's maximum dry density.

The exterior face of embankments should be constructed with an inclination no steeper than 2H:1V. The surface of the slope should be compacted to the same percent compaction as the body of the fill. This may be accomplished by compacting the surface of the embankment as it is constructed or by overbuilding the fill and cutting back to its compacted core. The cut away material should then be placed and compacted in designated fill areas rather than left at the base of the slope. Minor variations in slope gradient due to sculpting or landscaping of the slope face should not be considered inconsistent with the recommendations of this report or adverse to the ultimate performance of the global stability of the overall slope.

8.5 Testing and Observation

Verification of fills should be performed by a firm that is AMRL accredited in ASTM E329. Special inspection of fill soils is required during mass grading of the development; the Special Inspector should be ICC certified in soils or NAQTC certified in Sampling and Density disciplines. The special inspector shall verify and document that placement of rockfill (if any) is consistent with the grading and placement requirements indicated in the Grading and Filling section of this report.

Density testing of fills should be in accordance with ASTM D6938 (Standard Test Methods for In-Place Density and Water Content of Soil and Soil-Aggregate by Nuclear Methods) or ASTM D1556 (Standard Test Method for Density and Unit Weight of Soil in Place by Sand-Cone Method) unless rock fill is approved which will then be subject to performance based full time field observation. Subgrade, structural fill, nonstructural fill, bedding and backfill shall be density tested by the appropriate means and methods.

For soils meeting ASTM gradations that allow for density testing by nuclear methods, testing frequency shall be as prescribed herein. Subgrade should be density tested approximately every 500 square yards. Fill should be density tested once for every 1,000 square yards per lift of material placed during mass grading and one test per 300 feet of footing trenches or overexcavation of footings. Bedding and backfill should be density tested per foot of thickness, the more restrictive of one test between manholes or valves, or one test every 500 lineal feet, including laterals. One density test should be performed for each 500 square yards or per each lift for smaller, localized fill zones. Full time construction observation is required for mass graded fills and for any rock fill placement. The testing frequency should be increased

if the contractor is having difficulty achieving and maintaining the required moisture levels. Nonstructural fills should be density tested for every 2,000 yards or for every 2-feet of fill for smaller, localized fill zones.

8.6 Trenching and Excavation

Regulations amended in Part 1926, Volume 54, Number 209 of the Federal Register (Table B-1, October 31, 1989) require that the temporary sidewall slopes be limited to maintain trench stability. Minimum sidewall slopes and acceptable trench configurations are also presented in the referenced register. Based on the results of our exploration program, it is our opinion that the bulk of the native site soils appear to be predominately Type C, although variations exist. All fills should be considered Type C unless directed otherwise by the contractor's person of knowledge trained in OSHA requirements and trench safety. All trenching should be performed and stabilized in accordance with local, state, and OSHA standards. Bank stability is the responsibility of the contractor or contractor's qualified representative who is present at the site, able to observe changes in ground conditions, and has control over personnel and equipment.

Trench bedding and backfill shall be consistent with the requirements of the Standard Specifications for Public Works and the requirements of the private utilities. Based on our testing program, the on-site soils tested do not meet the requirements of Class E backfill; importing Class E material or use of an alternative material, approved by Washoe County, will be required.

Seepage was encountered in two explorations as shallow as 9.5 feet, with seasonal groundwater anticipated to encroach as shallow as 6.5 feet below ground surface. Excavations for utility trenches that approach free water, or that extend to within the zone of influence of free water, will have a greater tendency to slough or cave and must be adequately considered and planned for by the contractor. Wet trench conditions should be adequately planned for.

8.7 Foundations

8.7.1 Standard Spread Foundations

Provided the foundation soils have been prepared in accordance with the recommendations of this report, the bearing values presented in Table 3 may be used for design.

Table 3 - Allowable Foundation Bearing Pressures

Loading Condition	Maximum Net Allowable Bearing Pressure (PSF) ¹
Dead Load Plus Full Time Live Load	2,500
Dead Load Plus Live Loads, Plus Transient Wind or Seismic Loads	3,325

¹ Net allowable bearing pressure is that pressure at the base of the footing in excess of the adjacent overburden pressure.

For frost protection, footings should be founded at least two feet below adjacent outside or unheated interior finish grades. Interior footings not located within frost prone areas should be founded at least 12 inches below surrounding ground or slab level for confinement. Regardless of loading, individual pad foundations and continuous spread foundations should be at least 18 and 12 inches wide, respectively, or as required by code. The minimum footing sizes recommended are based on the ability to develop bearing capacity.

Lateral loads, such as wind or seismic, may be resisted by passive soil pressure and friction on the bottom of the footing. Coefficients of base friction of 0.40 are typical to structural fills. Design values for active and passive equivalent fluid pressures of 37 and 350 pounds per square foot per foot of depth, respectively, can be utilized. However, in designing for passive pressure, the upper one foot of the soil profile should not be included unless confined by a concrete slab or pavement. These design values are based on spread footings bearing on native granular soils, native fine-grained soils, or structural fill and backfilled with structural fill.

If loose, soft, wet, or disturbed soils are encountered at the foundation subgrade, these soils should be removed to expose suitable foundation soils, and the resulting over-excavation backfilled with compacted structural fill. The base of all excavations should be near optimum moisture and free of loose or disturbed materials at the time of concrete placement.

Total settlement for the residences is anticipated to be on the order of ¼-inch, or less. Differential settlement between foundations with similar loads and sizes is anticipated to be half of the total settlement experienced over 40-feet.

8.7.2 Structural Slab-on-Grade Foundations

The design values presented in Table 4 have been developed for use when considering design of structural foundations. The design profile relied upon to develop the values in Table 4 have been based on our August 2021 exploration and anticipated grading. Ground water was modeled at or near 6 ½ feet.

Table 4 - Structural Slab-on-Grade Design Recommendations

Design Values	Condition	Center Lift	Edge Lift
Post-Tensioning Institute (PTI) (Turn Down ≤ 2-feet)	Edge Moisture Variation - e_m (ft.)	9.0	4.9
	Differential Soil Movement - y_m (in.)	-0.65	0.80

Post-construction settlement of the slab foundation, not including the contributions due to edge and center lifts is modeled to approach ¼ to ½-inch. If significant time passes between preparing this geotechnical report and constructing foundations, or if fill is imported to the site that is not considered structural, it is important that additional analysis be performed to verify the design values.

Soil chlorides shall be mitigated per Section 4.3.2.2 – Soil Chlorides from the referenced PTI manual. Test results obtained during our investigation have been attached with this report in Appendix A.

Per the requirements of the Northern Nevada Amendments to the IRC, turn downs for structural slabs must extend to a minimum depth of 2-feet below finished adjacent exterior grade or be designed to resist the effects of frost-heave (such as insulation as presented in ASCE 32). It should be pointed out, however, that potential movement due to frost-heave would be in addition to edge-lift caused by clay activity and, therefore, the design edge-lift value should consider the cumulative effects of the two influences. In addition, the 2018 Northern Nevada Code Amendments require that deflection calculations “*would need to show that the maximum combined frost and expansive soil heaving, as localized at slab edges, with resultant non-uniformly distributed deflections, as well as whole slab deflections would not result in super structure racking or excessive truss, roof, or wall frame movement.*” Minimum slab thickness and recommended turndowns should be established by the Structural Engineer.

An allowable bearing value of 1,500 pounds per square foot may be utilized for design. This value may be increased by a factor of 1.33 when considering wind or seismic loading. An uncorrected k-value of 120 pci may be used for design.

Some floor coverings, such as tile or linoleum, are sensitive to moisture that can be transmitted through slabs. Floor coverings should be installed in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations including restrictions related to maximum vapor transmission rates. The preferred slab profile has been selected to consist of a 15-mil moisture vapor retarder such as Stego Wrap covered by a minimum two-inch Type 2 Class B aggregate base course placed near optimum moisture content and compacted by at least three complete passes with a vibroplate. A sand layer or size No. 67 concrete aggregate is not recommended for direct slab support.

Per Figure R6.2 (PTI DC10.5-12), Table 5 presents recommended coefficients of friction, μ , for first and average subsequent movements based on the design slab support profile. If location of the polyethylene sheeting significantly impacts the design or tensioning protocol, we recommend placement of the vapor retarder be indicated as a special inspection item.

Table 5 - Coefficient of Friction, μ , for 5-inch Slabs

Material	First Movement	Average Subsequent Movements
Aggregate Base	1.95	1.37
Structural Fill	1.72	0.88
Polyethylene Sheeting ¹	0.88	0.55

¹For normal construction practice, $\mu = 0.75$

Post-tensioned foundations, when compared to conventionally reinforced slabs, are expected to deform. The flexibility of the slab distributes localized soil movement to a more uniform slab shape; however, it is important that other consultants be cognizant of this behavior so that their products and design can be made compatible with a flexible foundation system. Typically, roof trusses, load concentrations, architectural features spanning between the active and non-active zones, non-flexible exterior siding, brittle floor coverings, areas that slope to drain, and utility connections warrant closer scrutiny.

Post-construction practices must be incorporated to help ensure the successful performance of the structural slabs. To help minimize movements in soils due to post-construction factors, not climate related, the following maintenance procedures are required:

- Uniform landscaping should be provided adjacent to the perimeter of the foundation, and excellent drainage provided and maintained away from the residence. It is strongly recommended that only drip irrigation, if any, be installed within five feet of foundations. Never allow water to pond adjacent to the structure.
- Recommended positive drainage is a minimum of six inches of fall in ten feet (5%), and impervious surfaces within ten feet of the building foundation should be sloped a minimum of two percent away from the foundation.
- Water should be applied in a uniform, systematic manner as equally as possible on all sides of the residence to keep the soil moist. Areas without ground cover may require more moisture due to the potential for increased evaporation.
- Soaker hoses, if used, should be placed a minimum of five feet away from foundation edges. Sprinklers should not be allowed to spray directly on building foundations.
- Trees should not be planted within 10 feet of the structure.
- Check gutters and downspouts to be sure they are clear, and water discharges a minimum of five feet from foundation.
- The foundation perimeter should be observed during extreme hot and dry periods to help ensure that adequate watering is being provided to prevent the soil from separating from the foundation.

It is strongly recommended that a yearly survey of foundations is conducted and any maintenance necessary to improve drainage and prevent ponding of water adjacent to these structures is performed. This is especially important during the first ten years after construction because that is usually when the most severe adjustment between the new foundation and supporting soil occurs. Following the above listed procedures should help limit detrimental foundation movement caused by expansive soils. These recommendations should be provided to homeowners and any landscape contractors to prevent adverse grading, watering or planting to occur. It is further recommended that Landscape contracts contain specific language regarding the necessity of maintaining code grading requirements as well as planting and watering conditions presented herein.

8.8 Retaining Walls

Recommended lateral earth pressures for consideration in the design of retaining structures, supporting level grade and less than 6-feet of granular or fine-grained insitu soils or fill are presented in Table 6. The values presented in Table 6 do not consider hydrostatic pressures or surcharge loading. Traffic loading should be modeled by increasing the wall backfill load by an additional height of two feet. Unless confined by slab or pavement, the surface foot of soil should be ignored when considering passive resistance. If retaining walls retain sloping backfill or more than six feet of soil, the values presented in Table 6 will need to be revisited.

Table 6 - Lateral Earth Pressures

Condition	Active (psf/f)	Passive (psf/f)	At Rest (psf)
Level Backfill	37	350	55

Excessive retaining wall pressures can be developed due to heavy compaction equipment proximate to the wall during backfill placement. Large vibratory compaction should be avoided for retaining wall backfill placed within ten feet of the back face of wall. Small vibratory trench compactors will be suitable for compaction directly behind the wall. Backfill behind retaining structures should be compacted to not less than 90 percent of the soils' maximum dry density. French drains, encased in a drainage gravel backfill layer wrapped in geotextile such as Mirafi 140 N, or a pre-manufactured drain system such as Tensar[®] DC1200 should be utilized if buildup of hydrostatic pressure is possible. Soil preparation for retaining wall foundations and allowable bearing capacities shall be consistent with the Site Preparation, Grading and Filling, and Standard Spread Foundations sections of this report.

8.9 Infiltration Basin

During our exploration program, estimated seasonal high groundwater elevation was investigated. No specific geomorphologic markers were identified within the soil profile; however, variations in moisture content with depth offered insights. Table 7 presents a summary of soil moisture test data determined from TP-2. Knowing that soil moisture at or below where groundwater manifested would be near saturation, the degree of saturation was calculated based on moisture contents from the soil profile. Degree of saturation was graphed vs. depth and groundwater was approximated to be 8.0-feet (based on an 80% saturation level). Height of capillary rise was calculated to be on the order of 1.5-feet, which would place the near saturated wetting front at a depth of 6.5-feet (Elevation 4921.5 feet).

Table 7 – Soil Moisture Profile (TP-2)

Sample	Depth	%m	%S
0.25 - 1	0.5	1.24	2.9
1 - 2.75	1.9	9.2	21.7
3 - 5	4	9.6	22.6
6 - 7.5	6.75	22.8	53.7
9.5 - 11.0	9.75	41.6	97.9
8 - 12	10	42.3	100.0

Two locations were identified for percolation testing: the northeast corner (TP-1 & TP-2) and eastside-central (TP-3). Percolation testing was performed to aid in the vetting of an infiltration basin location and to provide an assessment of potential infiltration rates. Table 8 presents a summary of percolation test results.

Table 8 - Summary of Infiltration Basin Percolation Testing

Location	¹ Depth to Test (Ft)	USCS	² Depth to Observed Free Water (Ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)
TP-1	3.5	CL	NE	480
TP-1	5.5	CL	NE	480
TP-2	3	CL	11.5	480
TP-2	6	CL	11.5	480
TP-3	3.5	SC	9.5	24
TP-3	5	SC	9.5	2.1

¹ Depth to Test references the bottom of the percolation hole.

² TP-2 and TP-3 remained open overnight. TP-1 was backfilled below percolation testing depths after logging.

8.10 Erosion Control

Erosion potential is dependent on numerous factors involving grain size distribution, cohesion, moisture content, slope angle and the velocity of the water or wind on the ground surface. Erosion protection should be in accordance with the City of Reno Public Works Design Manual. Revegetation of disturbed areas subject to sheet flows or concentrated flows less than five feet per second is recommended. Areas that have concentrated flows with velocities greater than five feet per second should incorporate riprap or other mechanical stabilization.

Temporary (during construction) and permanent (after construction) erosion control will be required for all disturbed areas. In compliance with all applicable city, county, state and federal regulations the contractor shall prevent dust from being generated during construction, and the contractor shall submit an acceptable dust control plan prior to starting site preparation or earthwork. The project specifications should include an indemnification of the Owner and Engineer by the Contractor for any dust generation during the construction period. The owner will be responsible for mitigation of dust after acceptance of the project.

8.11 Site Drainage

Adequate surface drainage must be constructed and maintained away from the structures. The permanent finish slopes away from structures should be constructed to allow water to drain away quickly from and prevent any ponding of water adjacent to the structure per code requirements. Runoff

should be collected within permanent drainage paths that can convey water off the property or to designated collection facilities. A system of roof gutters and downspouts are recommended to collect roof drainage and direct it away from foundations.

Foundation and stem wall backfill should be densified to at least 90 percent relative compaction in accordance with the requirements given in the Grading and Filling Section. Compacting the backfill material decreases permeability and reduces the amount of irrigation and storm water available to enter under floor areas.

8.12 Corrosion Potential

Sulfate testing on the native soils resulted in sulfate levels in both the negligible and severe ranges (< 0.01 and 1.3% by weight, respectively). Because the site will be mass graded, sulfate concentrations will be mixed and blended resulting in a buffering of sulfate concentrations. However, because sulfates are soluble, over the life of the development they can go into solution during irrigation and precipitation and concentrate and redeposit in evaporative zones. Therefore, we recommend concrete for the project (flatwork, curbs, ditches and structures) be designed to offer resistance for a severe sulfate exposure potential. For severe exposure potential the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (SSPWC, 2016) recommends concrete that meets the requirements of Section 337.10.01.03 Freeze-Thaw Cycles, Salt and Sulfates:

- Type II cement with at least 25% fly ash,
- A specified minimum 28-day compressive strength of 4,000 psi,
- Air entrainment (6%)
- A maximum water to cementitious ratio of 0.45.

It should be noted, locally, this mix of Section 337.10.01.03 is also considered adequate for mitigating the effects of concrete exposed to external sources of chlorides (Exposure Class C2).

ACI also presents recommendations for concrete in contact with sulfate laden soils. However, ACI recommends the use of Type V cement for severe exposure levels. Type V cement is not always readily available in the project area. If the design team decides to rely on ACI when specifying sulfate resistant concrete, the option to use Type II cement with at least 25% fly ash should be considered.

Chloride levels varied from < 5mg/Kg to 100 mg/Kg (EPA 9056). The requirements of ACI 318-11, Table 4.2.1 regarding corrosion potential due to the presence of chlorides are more stringent than those requirements of SSPWC. We recommend following the requirements of ACI for more critical flatwork such as post-tensioned slabs.

Test report summaries presenting chloride and sulfate concentration levels may be reviewed in Appendix A (Plate A-4f).

8.13 Concrete and Concrete Slabs-On Grade

A 4-inch minimum compacted aggregate base course (Type 2, Class B, Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction) compacted to 95-percent relative compaction is recommended beneath interior or exterior concrete slabs-on-grade subject solely to foot traffic. The recommended base course section should be increased to 6-inches where vehicle traffic is anticipated. Dedicated and public easement improvements shall be constructed in accordance with Washoe County standards and the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction.

Proper curing, finishing, control joints and reinforcing should be provided to minimize any damage resulting from shrinkage including cracks and slab curling. Western Nevada is a region with absorptive aggregates and exceptionally low relative humidity. As a consequence, concrete flatwork will shrink and curl in a manner which is not typical of many other US regions. Proper site preparation and placement of reinforcement are imperative to the performance of slab-on-grade improvements. Joint spacing, locally, is typically on 10-to-12-foot centers for large slabs and no more than five feet for sidewalks. Cracking that occurs within the slab-on-grade floors will often reflect through overlying improvements even if adequate substrate preparation has occurred. Special considerations, as specified in ACI 318, should be given to concrete placed and cured during windy, low humidity, hot or cold (including freezing) weather conditions.

Wood Rodgers does not practice in the field of moisture vapor transmission evaluation/mitigation. Therefore, if a vapor retarder system more rigorous than the requirements of the IRC is desired, we recommend that a qualified person/firm be engaged/consulted with to evaluate the general and specific moisture vapor transmission paths and any impact on the proposed construction. This person/firm should provide recommendations for mitigation of potential adverse impact of moisture vapor transmission on various components of the structure as deemed appropriate. If special conditions do not exist, Wood Rodgers typically recommends a moisture vapor retarder, consisting of Stego Wrap (15 mil), or equal, to be placed beneath the aggregate base course as part of the moisture vapor system.

Conventional concrete slab-on-grade recommendations presented herein are intended to reduce the potential for cracking of slabs as a result of differential movement and reducing slab curling. However, even with the incorporation of the recommendations presented herein, slabs-on-grade will still exhibit some cracking and curling. The occurrence of concrete shrinkage cracks is independent of the soil supporting characteristics. Their occurrence may be reduced and/or controlled by limiting the amount of water within the mix (water cement ratio of 0.45 or less), the incorporation of crack control joints and proper concrete placing and curing practices including ACI 318 provisions for areas subject to freeze thaw conditions. The use of mid-range plasticizers should be considered to reduce the need to add water by the contractor.

8.14 Structural Pavement Sections

Table 9 presents the recommended minimum structural pavement sections for the development based on planned use. Our structural pavement sections were based on a minimum R-Value of 30. If necessary, structural pavement sections may be re-evaluated by the geotechnical engineer based on final grading and measured subgrade R-Values. In no instance will the specified section be less than the County minimum. Aggregate base used to support pedestrian and flexible or concrete pavements should be compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction.

Table 9 - Structural Pavement Sections

Condition	Pavement Thickness (In.)	Pavement Type ¹	Type II Class B Base Course Thickness (In.) ²
Dedicated Local Roads	4	2" Type 3 + Lime / 2" Type 2	6

¹ Per the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction

Roadway construction shall be in accordance with the approved plans, the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction. Roadway subgrade shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of this report. The Contractor should submit a pavement mix design to the Owner or Engineer, for approval, at least five working days prior to paving. When pavement is placed directly adjacent to concrete flatwork, the finish compacted grade of the pavement should be at least ½ of an inch higher than the edge of adjacent concrete surface to allow adequate compaction of the pavement without damaging the concrete.

8.15 Asphalt Concrete Design Life

Maintenance is mandatory to ensure long-term pavement performance and to meet or exceed the assumed 20-year design life. Maintenance refers to any activity performed on the pavement that is intended to preserve its original service life or load-carrying capacity. Examples of maintenance activities include patching, crack or joint sealing, and seal coats. If these maintenance activities are ignored or deferred, premature failure of the pavement will occur.

Premature failure of asphaltic concrete frequently occurs adjacent to poorly graded ponding areas and/or landscape areas. Failures may occur due to excessive precipitation, irrigation and landscaping water infiltrating into the subgrade soils causing subgrade failure. As such, in areas where saturation of the subgrade soils beneath asphaltic pavement may occur, we strongly recommend the owner/project manager include provisions by design for a subdrain system to eliminate the potential for saturation of subgrade soils. The subdrain system should discharge into a permanent drainage area that will not impede drainage flow to cause the system to back-up and/or clog. Appropriate maintenance procedures should be implemented to ensure the subdrain system does not plug and allow for proper drainage of surface and subsurface water beneath paved areas. Subdrain location and configuration should be evaluated once final grading and landscaping plans have been prepared. If the ultimate traffic exceeds the anticipated levels, it may be necessary to reevaluate and overlay the pavement at some time in the future.

It is recommended that the use of PG 64-28 NV (polymerized asphalt oil) be considered by the owner as we have found that it substantially reduces cracking due to thermal stresses prevalent in the freeze thaw environment. The savings in long term maintenance of the pavement including crack sealing is in our opinion worth the extra expense. However, this asphalt oil recommendation should be considered optional in that it is relative to frequency of maintenance only and does not affect structural calculations.

The cost associated with proper maintenance is generally much less than the cost for reconstruction due to the premature failure of the pavement. Therefore, since pavement quality is an integral consideration in the formulation of our design recommendations, we strongly recommend the owner/project manager implement a pavement management program.

9.0 CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION AND TESTING SERVICES

The recommendations presented in this report are based on the assumption that the contractors perform their work as required by the project documents and that owner/project manager provides sufficient field-testing and construction review during each phase of construction. Prior to construction, the owner/project manager should schedule a pre-construction conference including, but not limited to representatives of the owner, architect, civil engineer, the general contractor, earthwork and materials subcontractors, building official, and geotechnical engineer. It is the owner's/project manager responsibility to set-up this meeting and contact all responsible parties. The conference will allow parties to review the project plans, specifications, scheduling and recommendations presented in this report, and discuss applicable material quality and mix design requirements. Quality control reports should be submitted to the owner/project manager for review and distributed to the appropriate parties. It is essential that any changes or revisions to project plans be provided to Wood Rodgers in a timely fashion to ensure contractor compliance and avoid construction delays or the need to remove completed work.

During construction, Wood Rodgers Incorporated should have the opportunity to provide sufficient on-site observation of site preparation and grading, over-excavation, fill placement, foundation installation, and paving. These observations would allow us to document the geotechnical conditions are in fact just as anticipated and that the contractor's work meets with the criteria in the approved plans and specifications. Verification of horizontal and vertical control must be provided by whoever was responsible for establishing those boundaries and constructing associated improvements.

10.0 EXPECTATION OF PERFORMANCE

The planned structures will incorporate a standard slab on grade foundation with perimeter footings extending to a minimum depth of 24 inches below finished exterior grade or a post-tensioned structural slab-on-grade foundation. The site will be mass graded, cut to fill, with on-site soils. Therefore, the potential exists that soils within various building pads may fall outside the specified limits of Import Structural Fill (Table 2). This deviation should not be considered a failure to adhere to construction documents but should be considered a limitation to mass-grading when a natural, virgin material is used

for a fill source. These inherent variations should not be considered to comprise a non-conformity with the project specifications unless the Weighted Plasticity (% -#200 x PI) exceeds 6.5 for 80-percent of the fill profile.

Western Nevada is a region with absorptive aggregates and exceptionally low relative humidity. As a consequence, concrete flatwork will shrink and curl in a manner which is not typical of other US regions. Proper sub-grade preparation and placement of reinforcement are imperative. Typical joint spacing, regionally, is on 10-to-12-foot centers. Cracking that occurs within the slab on grade will often reflect through overlying improvements even if adequate substrate preparation has occurred.

Single family residential construction results in a complex composite of steel, concrete, lumber, and earth. Each element responds differently to loading and as a consequence cracking and distortion occur. Occurrence of cracking or distortion is not in and of itself evidence of the structure failing to meet a reasonable standard or level of performance. Repair of unsightly, non-structural, cracks should be considered part of the homeowner maintenance program. Cracks that continue to reappear or widen or propagate may be indicative of extenuating issues that require redress. Our design protocols and recommended construction testing procedures rely upon ASTM Standards and Guidelines; therefore, any subsequent studies to evaluate completed product or construction practices shall be in accordance with ASTM E 141 AND shall employ the same testing means and methods available at the time of construction. Where access or testing limits do not allow continuity in testing methods, a correlation program must be performed that establishes that the testing and evaluation methods employed by the reviewing agency present results consistent with and comparable to the test methods prescribed by this report and employed during construction. Failure to follow these prescribed protocols would result in test data being compromised when compared to ASTM standards and requirements. In addition, failure to follow the referenced statistical and sampling ASTM assessment protocols would result in a forensic assessment program rife with inconsistencies and variations which would result in the forensic investigation failing to meet the level of precision necessary to accurately evaluate the site conditions.

11.0 STANDARD LIMITATION CLAUSE

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted local geotechnical practices. The analyses and recommendations submitted are based upon field exploration performed at the specific locations identified and the conditions encountered, as discussed in our report. No guarantee or warranty as to the continuity of soil conditions between exploration points is implied or intended. Therefore, this report does not reflect soil variations that may become evident during the construction period, at which time re-evaluation of the recommendations may be necessary. Final plans and specifications should be reviewed by the design engineer responsible for this geotechnical report to determine if they have been prepared in accordance with the recommendations contained in this report prior to submitting to the building department for review. It is the owner's/project manager responsibility to provide the plans and specifications to the engineer. We recommend our firm be retained to perform construction observation in all phases of the project related to geotechnical factors to document compliance with our

recommendations. The owner/project manager is responsible for distribution of this geotechnical report to all designers and contractors whose work is related to geotechnical factors.

It is the contractor's responsibility for the grading and construction of the designed improvements. This responsibility includes the means, methods, techniques, sequence, and procedures of construction and safety of construction at the site. All construction shall conform to the requirements of the most recently adopted version of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction and the requirements of Washoe County, Nevada. Failure to inspect the work shall not relieve the contractor from his obligation to perform sound and reliable work as described herein and as described in the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction.

This report is issued with the understanding that it is the responsibility of the owner or their representative to ensure that the information and recommendations contained herein are brought to the attention of the design team for the project and incorporated into the plans and specifications, and that the necessary steps are taken to see that the contractor and subcontractors carry out such recommendations in the field.

In the event of changes in the design, location, or ownership of the project after presentation of this report, our recommendations should be reviewed and possibly modified by the Geotechnical Engineer. If the Geotechnical Engineer is not accorded the privilege of making this recommended review, we can assume no responsibility for misinterpretation or misapplication of our recommendations or their validity in the event changes have been made in the original design concept without our prior review. The engineer makes no other warranties, either expressed or implied, as to the professional advice provided under the terms of this agreement and included in this report.

This report was prepared by Wood Rodgers, Inc. for the benefit of D.R. Horton and their duly assigned agents or other responsible parties. The material in it reflects Wood Rodgers' best judgment in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Wood Rodgers accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made by third parties or actions based on this report without consultation with Wood Rodgers and written approval for such actions.

12.0 REFERENCES

- American Concrete Institute*. "318-11: Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary." N.p., n.d. Web. 06 Apr. 2017.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2014, *Soil and Rock; Dimension Stone; Geosynthetics*, Volume 4.08.
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE)*, "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (7-16)." 2017, www.asce.org/templates/publications-book-detail.aspx?id=6725.
- Andrus, Ronald D., and Kenneth H. Stokoe II. "Liquefaction Resistance of Soils from Shear-Wave Velocity." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, vol. 126, no. 11, 2000, pp. 1015–1025., doi:10.1061/(asce)1090-0241(2000)126:11(1015).
- Bowles, J. E., 1996, *Foundation Analysis and Design*, McGraw Hill. 5th Edition
- Design of Slab-on-Ground Foundations*. Updated March 1996. Hartford, CT: Wire Reinforcement Institute, 1996. Print.
- International Residential Code 2018 (including Northern Nevada Amendments); International Conference of Building Officials
- Koerner, Robert M., 1984, *Construction and Geotechnical Methods in Foundation Engineering*, McGraw-Hill Book Company
- Martin, Geoffrey R. (Geoffrey Robert), and Marshall Lew. *Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Liquefaction Hazards in California*. Southern California Earthquake Center, University of Southern California, 1999.
- NEHRP (National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program): Recommended Seismic Provisions for New Buildings and Other Structures. Building Seismic Safety Council, 2009.
- Cordy G.E., 1985, Reno NE Quadrangle Geologic Map, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Map 4Cg, scale 1:24,000
- Sowers, George, F., 1979, *Introductory Soil Mechanics and Foundations: Geotechnical Engineering*
- Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction*, 2016 (Washoe County, Sparks-Reno, Carson City, Yerington, Nevada).

U.S. Quaternary Faults, United States Geological Survey,
maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/informationwarehouse/index.html?map=regulatorymaps.



APPENDIX A
GEOTECHNICAL PLATES




Image Reference: Google Earth, Imagery Date: 10/23/2020, Accessed 8/13/2021


WOOD RODGERS
 1361 Corporate Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502
 Phone 775.823.4068 Fax 775.823.4066

VICINITY MAP

Geotechnical Investigation
LEARNER LEMMON
D.R. HORTON
WASHOE COUNTY, NV

Project No.: 4092001
 Date: 08/12/21

PLATE
A-1a




Image Reference: Google Earth, Imagery Date: 10/23/2020, Accessed 8/12/2021


WOOD RODGERS
 1361 Corporate Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502
 Phone 775.823.4068 Fax 775.823.4066

**SITE MAP AND APPROXIMATE
EXPLORATION LOCATIONS**

Geotechnical Investigation
LEARNER LEMMON
D.R. HORTON
WASHOE COUNTY, NV

Project No.: 4092001
 Date: 08/12/21

Plate A-1b



Wood Rodgers Inc.
1361 Corporate Blvd
Reno NV 89521
Telephone: 775-823-4068
Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon

PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada

DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches

EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**

EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)	GB 1A									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1B					7.7	22	18	4	47.5
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 1C					9.6	31	15	16	64.9
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist, dark brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1D									
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1E									
10		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										

Bottom of Test Pit at 12.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

- Depth to test : 3.5'
 - Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 - Time of 2nd saturation : 10:33
 - If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 - If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-A Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:12	8:42	6"	6 3/16"	30	3/16"
2	8:43	9:13	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:14	9:44	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by : J. Beadell
Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:32
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-B Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	8:22	8:52	6"	6"	30	0"
2	8:53	9:23	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:24	9:54	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-2

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCT\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS-4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon

PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada

DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches

EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**

EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** ---

LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** ---

NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 11.50 ft / Elev 4916.50 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)	GB 2A					1.2				
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 2B					9.2				
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, brown, low plasticity										
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, brown, medium plasticity, white specs	GB 2C					22.8				
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium to high plasticity, white granular pockets	GB 2D					42.3				
			GB 2E					41.6				

Bottom of Test Pit at 12.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:22 Date : 8/4/2021
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 11:32
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-C Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:57	10:27	6"	6 2/16"	30	2/16"
2	10:28	10:58	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	10:59	11:29	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch **Tested by:** J. Beadell

Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 6'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:22 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 11:32
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-D Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	10:12	10:42	6"	6"	30	0"
2	10:43	11:13	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	11:14	11:44	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
Checked by : J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-3

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCT\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** ---
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** ---
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 9.50 ft / Elev 4922.50 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 3A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 3B									
			GB 3C									
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity, white specs	GB 3D									
10												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-E Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:14	9:44	6"	7 12/16"	30	1 12/16"
2	9:46	10:16	6"	7 6/16"	30	1 6/16"
3	10:16	10:46	6"	7 7/16"	30	1 7/16"
4	10:46	11:16	6"	7 5/16"	30	1 5/16"
5	11:16	11:46	6"	7 4/16"	30	1 4/16"
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 24 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-F Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	9:28	9:33	6"	9 10/16"	5	3 10/16"
2	9:35	9:40	6"	9 6/16"	5	3 6/16"
3	9:43	9:47	6"	9 1/16"	5	3 1/16"
4	9:48	9:53	6"	8 9/16"	5	2 9/16"
5	9:55	10:00	6"	8 8/16"	5	2 8/16"
6	10:01	10:06	6"	8 7/16"	5	2 7/16"
7	10:06	10:11	6"	8 6/16"	5	2 6/16"

Stabilized Rate : 2.1 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\H04_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4934 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM)	GB 4A									
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 4B									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 4C									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) slightly moist to moist, low plasticity										
5.0												
7.5			GB 4D									
10.0		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity	GB 4E									

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-5

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\H04_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4930 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic, slightly cemented	GB 5A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, brown and white, low to medium plasticity										
2.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 5B									
		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										
5.0												
		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 5C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-6

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 6A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 6B									
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown white, medium plasticity	GB 6C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-7

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, brown, nonplastic	GB 7A					2.4				
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist to moist, brown, low plasticity, white specs	SH 7B					6.5				
7.5			GB 7C					9.1	25	17	8	48.5

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-8

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 8B									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, medium plasticity	GB 8A GB 8C									
2.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8D				91.5					
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8E									
7.5		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8F									
10.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity										

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-9

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4931 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, light brown, low plasticity	GB 9B									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) very dense, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 9A GB 9C					10.3	22	21	1	26.0
2.5												
5.0												
7.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 9D									
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066
















TEST PIT NUMBER TP-10


GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown	GB 10A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense to very dense, slightly moist, brown white, low plasticity	GB 10B									
10.0		Moist										

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

MAJOR DIVISION					TYPICAL NAMES		
COARSED-GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN HALF IS COARSER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE	GRAVEL MORE THAN HALF COARSE FRACTION IS LARGER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN SANDS WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES		GW	WELL GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
		GRAVELS WITH OVER 12% FINES		GP	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS WITH OR WITHOUT SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
				GM	SILTY GRAVELS, SILTY GRAVELS WITH SAND		
			GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, CLAYEY GRAVELS WITH SAND			
	SAND MORE THAN HALF COARSE FRACTION IS SMALLER THAN NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN SANDS WITH LITTLE OR NO FINES		SW	WELL GRADED SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
		SANDS WITH OVER 12% FINES		SP	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
				SM	SILTY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL		
			SC	CLAYEY SANDS WITH OR WITHOUT GRAVEL			
		FINE-GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN HALF IS FINER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE	SILT AND CLAY LIQUID LIMIT 50% OR LESS			ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS
			SILT AND CLAY LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50%			CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY CLAYS WITH SANDS AND GRAVELS, LEAN CLAYS
	OL				ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY		
SILT AND CLAY LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50%				MH	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOLID, ELASTIC SILTS		
				CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OR HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS		
				OH	ORGANIC SILTS OR CLAYS MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY		
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS				Pt	PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS		



CONSISTENCY		RELATIVE DENSITY	
SILTS & CLAYS	SPT BLOW* COUNTS (N)	SANDS & GRAVELS	SPT BLOW* COUNTS (N)
VERY SOFT	0 - 2	VERY LOOSE	0 - 4
SOFT	3 - 4	LOOSE	5 - 10
MEDIUM STIFF	5 - 8	MEDIUM DENSE	11 - 30
STIFF	9 - 15	DENSE	31 - 50
VERY STIFF	16 - 30	VERY DENSE	50 +
HARD	30 +		

* The Standard Penetration Resistance (N) In blows per foot is obtained by the ASTM D1585 procedure using 2" O.D., 1 3/8" I.D. samplers.

DESCRIPTION OF ESTIMATED PERCENTAGES OF GRAVEL, SAND, AND FINES	
TRACE	Particles are present but est. < 5%
FEW	5% - 10%
LITTLE	15% - 20%
SOME	30% - 45%
MOSTLY	50% - 100%

NOTE: Percentages are presented within soil description for soil horizon with laboratory tested soil samples.

DEFINITIONS OF SOIL FRACTIONS	
SOIL COMPONENT	PARTICLE SIZE RANGE
COBBLES	ABOVE 3 INCHES
GRAVEL	3 IN. TO NO. 4 SIEVE
COARSE GRAVEL	3 IN. TO 3/4 IN.
FINE GRAVEL	3/4 IN. TO NO. 4 SIEVE
SAND	NO. 4 TO NO. 200
COARSE SAND	NO. 4 TO NO. 10
MEDIUM SAND	NO. 10 TO NO. 40
FINE SAND	NO. 40 TO NO. 200
FINES (SILT OR CLAY)	MINUS NO. 200 SIEVE



WOOD RODGERS
1361 Corporate Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502
Phone 775.823.4068 Fax 775.823.4066

**UNIFIED SOIL
CLASSIFICATION
AND
KEY TO SOIL DESCRIPTIONS**

Geotechnical Investigation
LEARNER LEMMON
D.R. HORTON
WASHOE COUNTY, NV

Project No.: 4092001
Date: 08/12/21

**PLATE
A-3**



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION


CLIENT D.R. Horton

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon

PROJECT NUMBER 4092001

PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada

GRAIN SIZE - GINT STD. US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:50 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ




COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

TEST PIT	MID-DEPTH	Classification	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
● TP-1	1.5	SILTY, CLAYEY SAND(SC-SM)	22	18	4		
▣ TP-1	3.0	SANDY LEAN CLAY(CL)	31	15	16		
▲ TP-7	6.0	CLAYEY SAND(SC)	25	17	8		
★ TP-9	1.5	SILTY SAND(SM)	22	21	1		

TEST PIT	MID-DEPTH	D100	D60	D30	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
● TP-1	1.5	12.5	0.127			0.4	52.1	47.5	
▣ TP-1	3.0	4.75	0.061	0.011			35.1	41.3	23.6
▲ TP-7	6.0	9.5	0.118			1.1	50.5	48.5	
★ TP-9	1.5	12.5	0.472	0.106		3.5	70.5	26.0	

R-Value and Expansion Pressure of Compacted Soils AASHTO T190 / ASTM D2844



Lab Log #	Sample Source	Material	Expansion Pressure (psf) @ 300 (psi)	R-Value @ 300 (psi)
6027	TP - 4 @ 0' - 3'	Silty, Clayey Sand (SC-SM)	0	42

POINT #	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	EXUDATION PRESS. (PSI)	EXPANSION PRESS. (PSF)	RESISTANCE VALUE (R)
1	15.7	115.8	172	0	21
2	14.8	116.1	213	0	31
3	14.2	116.4	387	0	53
4					
5					



WOOD RODGERS
BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS ONE PROJECT AT A TIME
1361 Corporate Boulevard
Reno, NV 89502

Tel: 775.823.4068
Fax: 775.823.4066


Learner Lemmon



AASHTO
ACCREDITED

TESTED BY	JOB NUMBER	APPROVED	DATE	REVISED	DATE
BL	4092001		8/18/2021		

R-Value and Expansion Pressure of Compacted Soils AASHTO T190 / ASTM D2844



Lab Log #	Sample Source	Material	Expansion Pressure (psf) @ 300 (psi)	R-Value @ 300 (psi)
6027	TP - 8 @ 0.5' - 3.5'	Clayey Sand (SC)	0	14

POINT #	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	EXUDATION PRESS. (PSI)	EXPANSION PRESS. (PSF)	RESISTANCE VALUE (R)
1	13.5	119.1	594	0	47
2	14.7	117.7	408	0	29
3	15.4	116.0	282	0	11
4					
5					

 WOOD RODGERS <small>BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS ONE PROJECT AT A TIME</small> <small>1361 Corporate Boulevard Reno, NV 89502</small> <small>Tel: 775.823.4068 Fax: 775.823.4066</small>	Learner Lemmon	
---	-----------------------	---

TESTED BY BL	JOB NUMBER 4092001	APPROVED	DATE 8/18/2021	REVISED	DATE
-----------------	-----------------------	----------	-------------------	---------	------



Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.

Summary of Water Potential

Sample Number	Moisture Content (%, g/g)	Water Potential (-cm water)	Water Potential (pF)
TP-1 @ 3'-5' (4.9%)	4.85	261,069	5.42
TP-1 @ 3'-5' (12.8%)	12.79	23,149	4.36
TP-1 @ 3'-5' (20.9%)	20.86	12,849	4.11



WOOD RODGERS

1361 Corporate Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502

Phone 775.823.4068 Fax 775.823.4066

**WATER
POTENTIAL
TESTING
RESULTS**

Geotechnical Investigation

LEARNER LEMMON

D.R. HORTON

WASHOE COUNTY, NV

Project No.: 4092001

Date: 08/12/21

**PLATE
A-4e**



Silver State Labs-Reno
 1135 Financial Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 (775) 857-2400 FAX: (888) 398-7002
 www.ssalabs.com

Analytical Report

Workorder#: 21080478
 Date Reported: 8/23/2021

Client: Wood Rodgers **Sampled By:** Client
Project Name: Learner Lemmon Prj# 4092001 / TP-7 @ 2-4'
PO #: LAB 3961

Laboratory Accreditation Number: NV015/CA2990

Laboratory ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Sampled	Date Received
21080478-01	TP-7 @ 2-4'	08/09/2021 12:00	8/10/2021

Parameter	Method	Result	Units	PQL	Analyst	Date/Time Analyzed	Data Flag
Chloride	EPA 9056	< 5	mg/Kg	5	CW	08/16/2021 23:54	
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	SM 2580B	472	mV		AC	08/20/2021 12:33	
pH	SW-846 9045D	7.72	pH Units		AC	08/18/2021 14:29	
pH Temperature	SW-846 9045D	21.0	°C		AC	08/18/2021 14:29	
Resistivity	AASHTO T288	2300	Ohms-cm		SR	08/17/2021 11:12	
Sodium	ASTM D2791	< 0.01	%	0.01	AC	08/20/2021 8:37	
Sodium Sulfate as Na ₂ SO ₄	Calculation	< 0.01	%	0.01	AC	08/20/2021 10:21	
Sulfate	SM4500 SO ₄ E	< 0.01	%	0.01	AC	08/23/2021 9:07	
Sulfide	AWWA C105	Negative	POS/NEG		AC	08/17/2021 16:00	

Laboratory Accreditation Number: NV015/CA2990

Laboratory ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Sampled	Date Received
21080478-02	TP-5 @ 1-2.5'	08/09/2021 12:00	8/10/2021

Parameter	Method	Result	Units	PQL	Analyst	Date/Time Analyzed	Data Flag
Chloride	EPA 9056	100	mg/Kg	50	CW	08/17/2021 0:22	
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	SM 2580B	488	mV		AC	08/20/2021 12:33	
pH	SW-846 9045D	7.37	pH Units		AC	08/18/2021 14:29	
pH Temperature	SW-846 9045D	21.0	°C		AC	08/18/2021 14:29	
Resistivity	AASHTO T288	280	Ohms-cm		SR	08/17/2021 11:12	
Sodium	ASTM D2791	< 0.01	%	0.01	AC	08/20/2021 8:37	
Sodium Sulfate as Na ₂ SO ₄	Calculation	< 0.01	%	0.01	AC	08/20/2021 10:21	
Sulfate	SM4500 SO ₄ E	1.3	%	0.01	AC	08/23/2021 9:07	
Sulfide	AWWA C105	Negative	POS/NEG		AC	08/17/2021 16:00	




CHEMICAL TESTING RESULTS

Geotechnical Investigation
LEARNER LEMMON
D.R. HORTON
WASHOE COUNTY, NV

Project No.: 4092001
 Date: 08/12/21

PLATE A-4f

Learner Lemmon, 165': Vs Model




WOOD RODGERS
 1361 Corporate Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502
 Phone 775.823.4068 Fax 775.823.4066

**L1 - S-WAVE
 ReMi
 RESULTS**

Geotechnical Investigation

**LEARNER LEMMON
 D.R. HORTON
 WASHOE COUNTY, NV**

Project No.: 4092001
 Date: 08/12/21

**PLATE
 A-5**




APPENDIX B
ASCE 7 HAZARDS REPORT

ASCE 7 Hazards Report

Address:
No Address at This
Location

Standard: ASCE/SEI 7-16
Risk Category: II
Soil Class: D - Stiff Soil

Elevation: 4928.89 ft (NAVD 88)
Latitude: 39.6451
Longitude: -119.8459



Site Soil Class: D - Stiff Soil

Results:

S_s :	1.484	S_{D1} :	N/A
S_1 :	0.503	T_L :	6
F_a :	1	PGA :	0.632
F_v :	N/A	PGA _M :	0.695
S_{MS} :	1.484	F_{PGA} :	1.1
S_{M1} :	N/A	I_e :	1
S_{DS} :	0.989	C_v :	1.397

Ground motion hazard analysis may be required. See ASCE/SEI 7-16 Section 11.4.8.

Data Accessed: Tue Aug 17 2021

Date Source: [USGS Seismic Design Maps](#)

The ASCE 7 Hazard Tool is provided for your convenience, for informational purposes only, and is provided “as is” and without warranties of any kind. The location data included herein has been obtained from information developed, produced, and maintained by third party providers; or has been extrapolated from maps incorporated in the ASCE 7 standard. While ASCE has made every effort to use data obtained from reliable sources or methodologies, ASCE does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability, currency, or quality of any data provided herein. Any third-party links provided by this Tool should not be construed as an endorsement, affiliation, relationship, or sponsorship of such third-party content by or from ASCE.

ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, the results provided by this Tool to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having knowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the contents of this Tool or the ASCE 7 standard.

In using this Tool, you expressly assume all risks associated with your use. Under no circumstances shall ASCE or its officers, directors, employees, members, affiliates, or agents be liable to you or any other person for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages arising from or related to your use of, or reliance on, the Tool or any information obtained therein. To the fullest extent permitted by law, you agree to release and hold harmless ASCE from any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from any use of data provided by the ASCE 7 Hazard Tool.



WOOD RODGERS

January 9, 2023
Project No. 4092003

LC LEARNER, LLC
c/o Jeffrey Holbrook
27132 B Paseo Espada, Suite 1226
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

RE: Percolation Testing Investigation
Learner Lemmon – Infiltration Basin
Washoe County, Nevada

REF: Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual
April 30, 2009

Washoe County Health District
Sewage, Wastewater, and Sanitation
May 23, 2013

Geotechnical Investigation
Learner Lemmon
Washoe County, Nevada
Wood Rodgers Project No. 4092001
September 2021

Infiltration Basin Limits
Axion Engineering
November 2022

Dear Jeffrey:

Wood Rodgers is pleased to present this summary letter transmitting the compilation of percolation test results for the Learner Lemmon project located in Washoe County, Nevada.

Approximate exploration locations and limits of the infiltration basin are presented on Figure 1 - Site Plan and Approximate Exploration Locations which is attached to this letter. Logs of explorations and percolation test summaries are attached to this letter.

ESTIMATED SEASONAL HIGH GROUND WATER LEVEL

Locating and designing an infiltration basin was investigated over a series of 3-exploration programs. Based on our explorations, it has been determined that the estimated seasonal high ground water level (ESHGWL) within the most recent basin layout (Axion Engineering, November 2022) is at or below elevation 4926-feet. As required in the Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual, the proposed current basin bottom elevation of 4931-feet provides a 5-foot separation to ESHGWL. The following paragraphs summarize the investigation history for the infiltration basin.

Geotechnical Investigation Report (September 2021)

Within this preliminary investigation, no specific infiltration area was identified for investigation and no specific geomorphologic markers were identified within any of the test pit profiles. Variations in soil moisture content with depth indicated the ground water wetting front could approach an elevation of

4921.5-feet (based on calculated degree of saturation and consideration of capillary rise) in the northern area of the site (TP-1 and TP-2) and elevation 4924.5 in the eastern area of the site (TP-3). Groundwater was encountered in TP-3 at a depth of 9.5 feet (elevation of 4922.5-feet). Elevations were determined based on Washoe County contour mapping. Project development was tabled until 2022.

Logs of the September 2021 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-1 thru TP-10).

Percolation Testing and ESHGWL Investigation (October 2022)

As the project was reactivated additional test pits and percolation testing were performed in the proposed infiltration area now located along the southern portion of the property. Free water was noted at elevations ranging between elevations 4920 and 4925-feet. Elevated moisture contents indicated the wetting front could approach elevation 4929 within the southwest corner of the property. Therefore, the infiltration basin was reoriented to extend along the eastern property boundary and extend approximately halfway across the development toward the north (Figure 1).

It should be noted that evidence of a confining layer was present near the southeast property corner and excavations below elevation 4923-feet (8-feet below design bottom of basin) could result in the development of an elevated free water surface.

Logs of the October 2022 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-A thru TP-F).

Verification Percolation Testing (December 2022)

Logs of the December 2022 explorations are included as part of this letter (TP-G thru TP-L). Table 1 summarizes percolation test results from each investigation along with relevant elevations. Explorations indicated in gray are no longer within the infiltration basin footprint.

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
TP-1 @ 3.5	480	4928	4924.5	NE	4921.5
TP-1 @ 5.5	480	4928	4922.5	NE	4921.5
TP-2 @ 3	480	4928	4925	4916.5	4921.5

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
TP-2 @ 6	480	4928	4922	4916.5	4921.5
TP-3 @ 3.5	24	4932	3928.5	4922.5	4924.5
TP-3 @ 5	2.1	4932	4927	4922.5	4924.5
TP-A @ 4.5	Slower than 480	4936	4931.5	4923	4929
TP-A @ 8	Slower than 480	4936	4928	4923	
TP-B @ 6	240	4937	4931	4924	4925
TP-B @ 9	240	4937	4928	4924	
TP-C @ 8	480	4936	4928	4925	4927
TP-D @ 5	48	4936	4931	4923	4925
TP-D @ 8	14	4936	4928	4923	
³ TP-E @ 2	11	4933	4931	4922	4926
TP-F	---	4934	---	4920	4924
² TP-G @ 2	4	4932	4930	---	² 4922.5
² TP-H @ 3.5	37	4933	4929.5	---	² 4922.5
² TP-I @ 3.5	20	4934	4930.5	---	² 4922.5
² TP-J @ 3	21	4933	4930	---	² 4922.5

Table 1: Summary of Percolation Testing Results

Test Pit and Depth (ft)	Percolation Rate (min/in)	Existing Ground Elevation ¹ (ft)	Percolation Test Elevation ¹ (ft)	Free Water Elevation ¹ (ft)	Elevation of Wetting Front (ESHGWL)
² TP-K @ 4	2	4933	4929	---	² 4922.5
² TP-L @ 4	3	4935	4931	---	² 4922.5

¹Elevations are based on the Washoe County 6ft DEM. (Washoe County, reference date checked)

²Test pits 3, 6, 7 and 4 from the 2021 investigation were relied upon to establish a free water surface below elevation 4926-feet for the 12/2022 investigation.

³Confining layer noted at elevation 4923-feet.

Summary

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services for the benefit of LC Learner, LLC and their duly assigned agents. Please contact our office should you have any related questions or comments.

Sincerely,

WOOD RODGERS, INCORPORATED

Justin M. McDougal, PE
 Senior Engineer
 PE Number: 24474
 Expires: 12/31/2023




A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "J. Beadell".

Jackson Beadell, EI
 Technical Professional

Enclosures:

Figure 1 - Site Plan and Approximate Exploration Locations
 Logs of Explorations and Percolation Tests

LEGEND	
TP-1 thru TP-10	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (08/2021)
TP-A thru TP-F	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (10/2022)
TP-G thru TP-L	APPROXIMATE TEST PIT LOCATION (12/2022)



LEARNER LEMMON

SITE PLAN AND APPROXIMATE EXPLORATION LOCATIONS

FIGURE 1



Wood Rodgers Inc.
1361 Corporate Blvd
Reno NV 89521
Telephone: 775-823-4068
Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-1

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)	GB 1A									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1B					7.7	22	18	4	47.5
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 1C					9.6	31	15	16	64.9
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist, dark brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1D									
		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, brown, medium plasticity, white specs/veins	GB 1E									
10		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										

Bottom of Test Pit at 12.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:33
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021
 Hole # : PH-A Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:12	8:42	6"	6 3/16"	30	3/16"
2	8:43	9:13	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:14	9:44	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:22 Date : 8/4/2021
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:32
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021
 Hole # : PH-B Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:22	8:52	6"	6"	30	0"
2	8:53	9:23	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
3	9:24	9:54	6"	6 1/16"	30	1/16"
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-3

PAGE 1 OF 1

GEO TECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/23/21 14:25 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TP04_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 9.50 ft / Elev 4922.50 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 3A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 3B									
			GB 3C									
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity, white specs	GB 3D									
10												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" : 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-E Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:14	9:44	6"	7 12/16"	30	1 12/16"
2	9:46	10:16	6"	7 6/16"	30	1 6/16"
3	10:16	10:46	6"	7 7/16"	30	1 7/16"
4	10:46	11:16	6"	7 5/16"	30	1 5/16"
5	11:16	11:46	6"	7 4/16"	30	1 4/16"
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 24 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" : 12:02 Date : 8/4/2021
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:12
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 8/5/2021

Hole # : PH-F Diameter : 8" Depth : 12" Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:28	9:33	6"	9 10/16"	5	3 10/16"
2	9:35	9:40	6"	9 6/16"	5	3 6/16"
3	9:43	9:47	6"	9 1/16"	5	3 1/16"
4	9:48	9:53	6"	8 9/16"	5	2 9/16"
5	9:55	10:00	6"	8 8/16"	5	2 8/16"
6	10:01	10:06	6"	8 7/16"	5	2 7/16"
7	10:06	10:11	6"	8 6/16"	5	2 6/16"

Stabilized Rate : 2.1 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-4

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4934 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM)	GB 4A									
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 4B									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 4C									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) slightly moist to moist, low plasticity										
5.0												
7.5			GB 4D									
10.0		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity	GB 4E									

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-5

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4930 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic, slightly cemented	GB 5A									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, brown and white, low to medium plasticity										
2.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 5B									
		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray brown, medium plasticity										
5.0												
		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 5C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-6

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\OAGTECH\GEO\TP-04_GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4932 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 6A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, moist, brown, low plasticity	GB 6B									
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray brown white, medium plasticity	GB 6C									
7.5												
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-7

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, brown, nonplastic	GB 7A					2.4				
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist to moist, brown, low plasticity, white specs	SH 7B					6.5				
7.5			GB 7C					9.1	25	17	8	48.5

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-8

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4928 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, slightly moist, brown, slightly plastic	GB 8B									
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, brown, medium plasticity	GB 8A GB 8C									
2.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8D				91.5					
5.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8E									
7.5		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8F									
10.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 8F									

Bottom of Test Pit at 11.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-9

CLIENT D.R. Horton
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4931 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM)										
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense, slightly moist, light brown, low plasticity	GB 9B									
		SILTY SAND, (SM) very dense, light brown, slightly plastic	GB 9A GB 9C					10.3	22	21	1	26.0
2.5												
5.0												
7.5		LEAN CLAY WITH SAND, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray white, medium plasticity	GB 9D									
10.0												

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.



Wood Rodgers, Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno, NV 89502
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-10

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 9/28/21 10:27 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\M092_LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEOTECH\GINT\LEARNING_LEMMON.GPJ

CLIENT D.R. Horton **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092001 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 8/4/21 **COMPLETED** 8/4/21 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County Regional Mapping System **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		TOPSOIL, (SM) SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown	GB 10A									
2.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) medium dense to very dense, slightly moist, brown white, low plasticity										
7.5			GB 10B									
10.0		Moist										

Bottom of Test Pit at 10.0 Feet.

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS-4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-A

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>10/6/22</u> COMPLETED <u>10/6/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>Komatsu 290</u> LOGGED BY <u>Seth Barton</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4935.7 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>48 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: ▽ AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>15.0 ft</u> ▼ AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>15.0 ft</u> ▼ 24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION <u>13.00 ft / Elev 4922.70 ft</u>
--	--

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) loose to medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1A									
5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low plasticity	GB 2A GB 3A					6.4				28.9
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, light brown, medium plasticity	GB 4A									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 5A GB 6A									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:47 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:57 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : A1 Diameter : 9 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:36 AM	9:06 AM	6	6	30	0
2	9:06 AM	9:36 AM	6	6	30	0
3	9:36 AM	10:06 AM	6	6	30	0
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : SLOWER THAN 480 min/in Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:47 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:57 AM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : A2 Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:39 AM	9:09 AM	6	6	30	0
2	9:09 AM	9:39 AM	6	6	30	0
3	9:39 AM	10:09 AM	6	6	30	0
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : SLOWER THAN 480 min/in Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-B

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4937.2 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 13.50 ft / Elev 4923.70 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, slightly plastic	GB 1B GB 2B					7.0	23	18	5	27.8
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, medium brown with white, low to medium plasticity	GB 3B GB 4B									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, gray with white, medium to high plasticity	GB 5B GB 6B									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 6'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:52 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : B1 Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:48 AM	9:18 AM	6	6 3/16	30	3/16
2	9:19 AM	9:49 AM	6	6 3/16	30	3/16
3	9:50 AM	10:20 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 240.0 Min/inch
 Tested by : J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 9'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:52 AM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : B2 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:51 AM	9:21 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
2	9:22 AM	9:52 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
3	9:52 AM	10:22 AM	6	6 2/16	30	2/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 240.0 Min/inch
 Tested by : J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-C

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4936.2 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290 **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** ---
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** ---
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 11.00 ft / Elev 4925.20 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) loose to medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1C									
5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low plasticity	GB 2C									
10		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, light brown, medium plasticity	GB 3C									
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4C									
			GB 5C									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:47 PM Date : 6-Oct
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : C Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:05 AM	9:35 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
2	9:35 AM	10:05 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
3	10:05 AM	10:35 AM	6	6 1/16	30	1/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 480.0 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-D

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/6/22 **COMPLETED** 10/6/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4936.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION ---
AT END OF EXCAVATION ---
24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION 13.00 ft / Elev 4923.10 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, low to medium plasticity	GB 1D GB 2D					11.8	28	15	13	52.7
10		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, medium brown with white, low plasticity	GB 3D					11.3	25	17	8	44.2
15		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist to moist, gray with white, medium to high plasticity	GB 4D									

Bottom of Test Pit at 17.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 1:47 PM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 1:57 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : D1 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:57 AM	9:27 AM	6	6 12/16	30	12/16
2	9:27 AM	9:57 AM	6	6 11/16	30	11/16
3	9:57 AM	10:27 AM	6	6 10/16	30	10/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 48.0 Min/inch
 Tested by : S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 8'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 2:00 PM Date : 6-Oct
 If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 2:10 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
 Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct
 Hole # : D2 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	9:01 AM	9:31 AM	6	8 8/16	30	2 8/16
2	9:31 AM	10:01 AM	6	8 4/16	30	2 4/16
3	10:01 AM	10:31 AM	6	8 3/16	30	2 3/16
4	10:31 AM	11:01 AM	6	8 2/16	30	2 2/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 14.1 Min/inch
 Tested by : S. Barton
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\10.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-E

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>10/6/22</u> COMPLETED <u>10/6/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>Komatsu 290</u> LOGGED BY <u>Seth Barton</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4933.2 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>48 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: ▽ AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>11.0 ft</u> ▼ AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>11.0 ft</u> ▼ 24hrs AFTER EXCAVATION <u>5.00 ft / Elev 4928.20 ft</u>
--	---

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic										
5	▽	CLAYEY SAND TO SANDY LEAN CLAY, (SC-CL) very dense to very stiff, moist, medium brown, low to medium plasticity	GB 1E					4.7	19	17	2	28.6
			GB 2E					10.4				
			GB 3E					14.3				
10	▽	LEAN CLAY, (CL) stiff, very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4E									
15			GB 5E									

Bottom of Test Pit at 15.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 2'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 2:15 PM Date : 6-Oct
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 2:25 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 7-Oct

Hole # : E1 Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	10:48 AM	11:18 AM	6	8 15/16	30	2 15/16
2	11:18 AM	11:48 AM	6	8 14/16	30	2 14/16
3	11:48 AM	12:18 PM	6	8 13/16	30	2 13/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 10.7 Min/inch

Tested by: S. Barton
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 11:16 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 LEARNER LEMMON\LEARNING LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04 GINT\10.2022\LEARNER LEMMON PERC TESTING



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-F

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 10/7/22 **COMPLETED** 10/7/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD Komatsu 290
LOGGED BY Seth Barton **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4934.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 48 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
 ▽ **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** 14.5 ft
 ▽ **AT END OF EXCAVATION** ---
 ▽ **0.5hrs AFTER EXCAVATION** 14.00 ft / Elev 4920.10 ft

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0												
0 - 4.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) medium dense, dry, light brown, nonplastic	GB 1F					14.7	26	21	5	48.4
4.5 - 8.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) very dense, dry to slightly moist, medium brown, slightly plastic	GB 2F					16.8	25	17	8	36.2
8.5 - 10.5		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) very dense, slightly moist, light brown, low plasticity	GB 3F									
10.5 - 17.0		LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, moist to very moist, gray, medium to high plasticity	GB 4F									

Bottom of Test Pit at 17.0 Feet.

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4933.1 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		SILTY, CLAYEY SAND, (SC-SM) dense, slightly moist, tan brown, low plasticity, 0/60/40	GB H1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:56 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec
 Hole # : H Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:01 AM	8:31 AM	6	6 14/16	30	14/16
2	8:32 AM	9:02 AM	6	6 14/16	30	14/16
3	9:03 AM	9:33 AM	6	6 13/16	30	13/16
4	9:34 AM	10:04 AM	6	6 13/16	30	13/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 36.9 Min/inch **Tested by:** J. Beadell
Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS\4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER_LEMMON\DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM

PROJECT NAME Learner Lemmon
PROJECT LOCATION Washoe County, Nevada
GROUND ELEVATION 4933.8 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
GROUND WATER LEVELS:
AT TIME OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AT END OF EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
AFTER EXCAVATION --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
		FAT CLAY, (CH) stiff, moist, dark brown, medium to high plasticity	GB I1									
		CLAYEY SAND TO SANDY LEAN CLAY, (SC-CL) dense to very stiff, slightly moist, tan brown, low plasticity	GB I2									
2.5												

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.5 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3.5'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:24 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec
 Hole # : I Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SC-CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	8:19 AM	8:49 AM	6	8 1/16	30	2 1/16
2	8:50 AM	9:20 AM	6	7 10/16	30	1 10/16
3	9:21 AM	9:51 AM	6	7 9/16	30	1 9/16
4	9:52 AM	10:22 AM	6	7 8/16	30	1 8/16
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 20.0 Min/inch
 Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTION\DATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04_GINT\12_2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT <u>LC Learner, LLC</u> PROJECT NUMBER <u>4092003</u> DATE STARTED <u>12/22/22</u> COMPLETED <u>12/22/22</u> EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR <u>Joy Engineering</u> EXCAVATION METHOD <u>CAT 420F Backhoe</u> LOGGED BY <u>Jackson Beadell</u> CHECKED BY <u>Justin McDougal</u> NOTES: <u>Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM</u>	PROJECT NAME <u>Learner Lemmon</u> PROJECT LOCATION <u>Washoe County, Nevada</u> GROUND ELEVATION <u>4932.9 ft</u> TEST PIT SIZE <u>24 inches</u> GROUND WATER LEVELS: AT TIME OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AT END OF EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u> AFTER EXCAVATION <u>--- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED</u>
---	---

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		SANDY LEAN CLAY, (CL) very stiff, slightly moist, light tan, low to medium plasticity	GB J1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 3.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 3'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 12:22 PM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : N/A
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole # : J Diameter : 7 Depth : 12 Soil Type : CL

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed	Water
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Time min	Fall (in)
1	8:44 AM	9:14 AM	6	4 8/16	30	1 8/16
2	9:15 AM	9:45 AM	6	4 8/16	30	1 8/16
3	9:46 AM	10:16 AM	6	4 9/16	30	1 7/16
4						
5						
6						
7						

Stabilized Rate : 20.9 Min/inch

Tested by: B. LaBarr
 Checked by: J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092 - LEARNER - LEMMON\LEARNING - LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GINT\12.2022\LEARNER LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4933.2 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
2.5		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL, (SP-SM) dense, slightly moist, tan, nonplastic, lense of sandy lean clay in corner of test pit	GB K1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 4.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4'
2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 10:30 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
3. Time of 2nd saturation : 10:40 AM
4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole # : K Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SP-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	10:43 AM	10:49 AM	6	2	6	4
2	10:49 AM	10:55 AM	6	2 7/16	6	3 9/16
3	10:56 AM	11:02 AM	6	2 8/16	6	3 8/16
4	11:04 AM	11:10 AM	6	2 10/16	6	3 6/16
5	11:12 AM	11:18 AM	6	2 12/16	6	3 4/16
6	11:19 AM	11:25 AM	6	2 12/16	6	3 4/16
7	11:26 AM	11:32 AM	6	2 13/16	6	3 3/16

Stabilized Rate : 1.9 Min/inch

Tested by: B. LaBarr
 Checked by : J. McDougal

GEOTECH BH COLUMNS PLATE - GINT STD US LAB.GDT - 1/3/23 10:29 - \\WOODRODGERS.LOC\PRODUCTIONDATA\JOBS-RENO\JOBS4092_LEARNER_LEMMON\LEARNING_LEMMON_OA\GEO\TECH\GEO\TECH\04_GINT\12_2022\LEARNER_LEMMON DECEMBER 22



Wood Rodgers Inc.
 1361 Corporate Blvd
 Reno NV 89521
 Telephone: 775-823-4068
 Fax: 775-823-4066

TEST PIT NUMBER TP-L

PAGE 1 OF 1

CLIENT LC Learner, LLC **PROJECT NAME** Learner Lemmon
PROJECT NUMBER 4092003 **PROJECT LOCATION** Washoe County, Nevada
DATE STARTED 12/22/22 **COMPLETED** 12/22/22 **GROUND ELEVATION** 4934.5 ft **TEST PIT SIZE** 24 inches
EXCAVATION CONTRACTOR Joy Engineering **GROUND WATER LEVELS:**
EXCAVATION METHOD CAT 420F Backhoe **AT TIME OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
LOGGED BY Jackson Beadell **CHECKED BY** Justin McDougal **AT END OF EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED
NOTES: Elevations: Washoe County 6ft DEM **AFTER EXCAVATION** --- NO FREE WATER ENCOUNTERED

DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY % (RQD)	BLOW COUNTS (N VALUE)	R-VALUE	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	ATTERBERG LIMITS			FINES CONTENT (%)
									LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTICITY INDEX	
0.0		SILTY SAND, (SM) medium dense, moist, dark brown, nonplastic										
		CLAYEY SAND, (SC) dense, moist, light brown, low plasticity										
2.5		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL, (SP-SM) dense, slightly moist, light brown, nonplastic										
			GB L1									

Bottom of Test Pit at 4.0 Feet.

Soil Percolation Recorded Measurements

1. Depth to test : 4'
 2. Time of 1st saturation to 12" 11:55 AM Date : 22-Dec
If 12" of water drains from hole in 10 mins or less, refill to 12".
 3. Time of 2nd saturation : 12:03 PM
 4. If 2nd filling drains in less than 10 mins, begin 1 hour test with 10 mins or less reading intervals.
 5. If either filling exceeds 10 mins to drain from hole, begin a 4-hr pre-soak.
- Return between 16 - 24 hrs to start test.

Date of percolation test : 23-Dec

Hole #: L Diameter : 8 Depth : 12 Soil Type : SP-SM

Reading	Time		Water Level		Elapsed Time min	Water Fall (in)
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish		
1	12:03 PM	12:13 PM	6	10 2/16	10	4 2/16
2	12:14 PM	12:24 PM	6	9 9/16	10	3 9/16
3	12:25 PM	12:35 PM	6	9 10/16	10	3 10/16
4	12:37 PM	12:47 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
5	12:48 PM	12:58 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
6	12:59 PM	1:09 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16
7	1:10 PM	1:20 PM	6	9 8/16	10	3 8/16

Stabilized Rate : 2.9 Min/inch

Tested by: J. Beadell
 Checked by : J. McDougal

TENTATIVE MAP APPLICATION LEARNER - LEMMON PROPERTY


WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA

OWNER/DEVELOPER

LC LEARNER, LLC
27132 B PASEO ESPADA, SUITE 1226
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO, CA 92675
ATTN: JEFF HOLBROOK

PUBLIC SERVICES

GAS & ELECTRICAL SERVICE: NV ENERGY
WATER SERVICE: TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY
SEWER SERVICE: CITY OF RENO
TELEPHONE: AT&T
CABLE TV: SPECTRUM
FIRE PROTECTION: TRUCKEE MEADOWS FIRE RESCUE
POLICE PROTECTION: WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF



VICINITY MAP

N.T.S.


ENGINEER




683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

SHEET INDEX


- C1TITLE SHEET
- C2SITE PLAN
- C3GRADING PLAN
- C4UTILITY PLAN
- C5X-SECTIONS
- C6SEWER DISPLAY
- L1LANDSCAPE PLAN




RESIDENTIAL STREET SECTION 1



PAN AMERICAN DRIVE 2




LEAR BOULEVARD 3



SITE


N.T.S.

MINIMUM SETBACKS



ENGINEERS STATEMENT

I, RYAN T. SIMS, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP HAS BEEN PREPARED BY ME, OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION AND WAS COMPLETED ON THIS 14th DAY OF APRIL, 2023.



RYAN T. SIMS

P.E. #16951

5-4-23

PANDIAN APN:080-423-01 GOMEZ APN:080-423-02 HOLLENBERGER APN:080-423-03 STANLEY APN:080-423-04 DREAPER APN:080-423-05 SHEAFFER APN:080-423-06 WITIG APN:080-423-07 WITIG APN:080-423-08 MCCLANAHAN APN:080-423-09 MEDINA APN:080-423-10 DUNKLEY APN:080-423-11 THOMPSON APN:080-423-12 MIT INVESTMENTS LLC APN:080-423-13 KING APN:080-481-01 KING APN:080-481-02 RUDDOCK APN:080-481-36 LUNDAHL APN:080-481-06

MATEUCCI APN:080-481-08

ALMANZA APN:080-441-01

DAVIS APN:080-441-02

ROBERTS APN:080-441-03

HANSON APN:080-441-04

RICKMAN APN:080-441-05

RICKMAN APN:080-441-06

NAVARRO-REYES APN:080-441-07

RG PROPERTIES APN:080-441-08



NORTH VALLEYS INVESTMENT GROUP LLC APN:568-041-05

LOT 2 APN:568-041-13

PROJECT AREA SUMMARY:

PARCEL AREA: 19.92 Ac
 LOT AREA (87 LOTS): 10,000 Ac
 RIGHT OF WAY AREA: 4.65 Ac
 OPEN SPACE/LANDSCAPE: 4.56 Ac
 PARK AREA: 0.71 Ac


PARCEL INFORMATION:

APN 080-461-08 0 PAN AMERICAN WAY
 867,976 SF, 19.926 AC
 CURRENT MASTER PLAN DESIGNATION: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL
 BASE ZONING: MEDIUM DENSITY SUBURBAN (11.36Ac, 57%), GENERAL RURAL (8.57Ac, 43%)
 GROSS DENSITY: 4.37 UNITS/ACRE
 CURRENT ZONING:
 MDS: 11.36Ac - 34 LOTS
 GR: 8.57Ac - 0.2 LOTS
 PROPOSED ZONING:
 HDS (ORANGE): 11.11 AC
 MDS (YELLOW): 3.54 AC
 OS (GREEN): 5.27 AC
 PARKING REQUIRED: 2 PER UNIT
 PARKING PROVIDED:
 EACH UNIT: 2 GARAGE + 2 DRIVEWAY 4 SPACES
 PROPOSED ACCESS AND STREET WILL BE COUNTY OWNED AND MAINTAINED
 WATER SERVICE: TMMA (PUBLIC WATER MAINS)
 SEWER SERVICE: CITY OF RENO
 FIRE: TRUCKEE MEADOWS FIRE DEPARTMENT
 POLICE: WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE
 WILDFIRE:
 PARCEL FIRE RISK RATING: MODERATE
 REQUIRED DEFENSIBLE SPACE: 30'


PROPOSED LOT STANDARDS:

MINIMUM LOT AREA: 4,000 SF
 MINIMUM LOT WIDTH: 50 FEET
 SETBACKS:
 FRONT: 15' (20' TO GARAGE)
 SIDE: 5'
 REAR: 15'
 DENSITY: 4.37 UNITS/AC GROSS
 8.7 UNITS/AC NET


NUMBER OF LOTS: 87
 MINIMUM LOT SIZE: 4,250 SF
 MAXIMUM LOT SIZE: 6,985 SF
 AVERAGE LOT SIZE: 4,990 SF
 COMMON AREAS:
 CA1: 4.35AC
 CA2: 0.21AC
 CA3(POCKET PARK): 0.71AC



TYPICAL LOT




5-4-23



Axion ENGINEERING
 Civil Engineering • Land Development
 683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY

SITE PLAN C-2






LEGEND

	GRADE BREAK
64	LOT NUMBER
	EXISTING CONTOUR LINE
70.00	FINISHED GRADE ELEVATION
72.57	TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
99.20	FLOW LINE ELEVATION
5%	SLOPE IN PERCENT
(E)	EXISTING

- GRADING NOTES:**
1. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE LATEST STANDARD DETAILS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION 2012 ADDITION (AND ANY APPURTENANT SUPPLEMENTS) SPONSORED BY RENO, SPARKS, AND WASHOE COUNTY, AND THE PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION.
 2. ADD 4900 FEET TO ALL TRUNCATED ELEVATIONS.
 3. THE NATURAL VEGETATION AND EXISTING LANDSCAPING SHALL BE PRESERVED AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL DURING SITE IMPROVEMENTS CONSTRUCTION.
 4. SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1 SHALL BE MECHANICALLY STABILIZED WITH ROCK-RIP.

FEMA FLOOD HAZARD NOTE:
 PER FEMA dFIRM PANELS 32031C2836G, EFFECTIVE 3/16/2009, THIS PROJECT LIES PARTIALLY WITHIN AN AREA DESIGNATED AS ZONE X (SHADED) AND ZONE X (UNSHADED)




5-4-23



683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421


LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY

GRADING PLAN C-3



LEGEND:

- MANHOLE (DASHED IF EXISTING)
- SD-18" STORM DRAIN MAIN (DASHED IF EXISTING)
- SS-8" SANITARY SEWER MAIN (DASHED IF EXISTING)
- 8"W WATER MAIN
- SANITARY SEWER LATERAL
- SINGLE WATER METER
- DUAL WATER METER
- FIRE HYDRANT



SEWER CONTINUES TO LIFT STATION @ LEAR BOULEVARD AND FOXHURST. REFERENCE SEWER DISPLAY


PUBLIC SEWER SYSTEM:

EACH LOT WILL BE SERVICED BY A SEWER LATERAL THAT WILL CONNECT TO A PUBLIC GRAVITY SEWER MAIN.
 SINGLE RESIDENTIAL UNIT: 270 GAL/DAY
 PEAKING FACTOR: 3
 87 RESIDENTIAL UNITS : 70,470 GAL/DAY PEAK FLOW

UTILITY OWNERSHIP:

STORM DRAIN: WASHOE COUNTY
 SANITARY SEWER: COUNTY AND CITY OWNED AND MAINTAINED
 WATER: TMWA
 GAS: NV ENERGY
 ELECTRIC: NV ENERGY MAINS IN EASEMENT
 COMMUNICATIONS: AT&T/CHARTER FACILITIES IN EASEMENT

LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY




5-4-23




683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

UTILITY PLAN C-4




SITEPLAN

SCALE: 1"=100'
 100 0 50 100 200




SECTION B-B

SCALE: 1"=50'
 50 0 25 50 100



SECTION C-C


SCALE: 1"=50'
 50 0 25 50 100



SECTION D-D

SCALE: 1"=50'
 50 0 25 50 100

LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY



5-4-23



683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421

X-SECTIONS C-5



EXISTING SANITARY SEWER LIFT STATION:

EXISTING CAPACITY = 300,300GPD
 EXISTING LOTS SERVED = 146 LOTS
 EXISTING PEAK FLOW = (270 GAL/DAY/LOT) x (146 LOTS) x (3 PEAK FACTOR) = 118,260 GAL/DAY
 PROPOSED LOTS SERVED = 87 LOTS
 PROPOSED PEAK FLOW = (270 GAL/DAY/LOT) x (87 LOTS) x (3 PEAK FACTOR) = 70,470 GAL/DAY
 EXPECTED PEAK FLOW @ LIFT STATION = (118,260 GAL/DAY) + (70,470 GAL/DAY) = 188,730 GAL/DAY


Preliminary Sanitary Sewer Pipe Calculations					
Pipe Segment	Slope (%)	Peak Flow (GPD)	Depth (Ft)	Expected Velocity (fps)	Half Full Velocity (fps)
MH #1 - MH #2	0.70	25,110	0.10	1.18	2.72
MH #2 - MH #6	0.70	31,590	0.11	1.29	2.72
MH #1 - MH #3	0.50	14,580	0.08	0.93	2.30
MH #3 - MH #6	0.50	38,880	0.13	1.24	2.30
MH #4 - MH #3	1.00	1,620	0.03	0.36	3.25
MH #5 - MH #2	1.00	4,860	0.04	0.81	3.25
MH #6 - MH #7	0.70	70,470	0.16	1.67	2.72
MH #7 - MH #8	0.30	70,470	0.20	1.23	1.78

NOTE: PAN AMERICAN WAY, LEAR BOULEVARD, AND INTERNAL STREETS TO BE OFFERED FOR DEDICATION AS PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY

LANSING-ARCUS LLC
 APN: 080-461-27



Axion ENGINEERING
 Civil Engineering • Land Development
 683 EDISON WAY - RENO, NEVADA 89502
 PH 775-771-5554 / FX 775-357-8421



5-4-23

LEARNER LEMMON PROPERTY

SEWER DISPLAY C-6





LEGEND

- REVEGETATION LANDSCAPE AREA (63,300 SF)
GRASS, SHRUB, & WILDFLOWER BLEND
- STREETSCAPE LANDSCAPE AREA (15,200 SF)
TREES REQUIRED: (1,170 LF/50 LF)= 24 TREES
TREES PROVIDED: 24
SHRUBS REQUIRED: (15,200 SF/300 SF)*6= 304 SHRUBS

- COMMON LANDSCAPE AREA (20,500 SF)
TREES REQUIRED: (20,500 SF/300 SF)= 69 TREES
TREES PROVIDED: 74 TREES
SHRUBS REQUIRED: (20,500 SF/300 SF)*6= 410 SHRUBS
- RETENTION BASIN (108,300 SF)

- ACCENT TREES (18)
- EVERGREEN TREES (20)
- DECIDUOUS TREES (13)
"CLASS 1 OR 2" SMALLER CALIPER TREES
- DECIDUOUS TREES (47)
"CLASS 3 OR 4" LARGER CALIPER TREES
- XX SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE
- 6' WIDE DG TRAIL (1,890 LF)

L1




POCKET PARK W/
CHILDREN'S PLAY AREA

FENCE

STREET LIGHT, TYP.

EVERGREEN TREE, TYP.



TURF

SHRUB, TYP.

SHADE SHELTER

BIKE PARKING

ACCENT TREE, TYP.

PLANTING AREA, TYP.

PICNIC AREA



SHADE TREE, TYP.


LEARNER LEMMON POCKET PARK PRELIMINARY PLAN

Washoe County, Nevada

CALLANDER PROJECT NUMBER: 23019 | DATE: 05.01.2023 | CALLANDER ASSOCIATES

L2







NOTES
EXISTING VEGETATION: CHAPARRAL SHRUBLAND, NO TREES.
TOPOGRAPHY: LEVEL SITE WITH 10' OF FALL ACROSS SITE DRIANING FROM SOUTH TO NORTH
SIGNIFICANT VIEWS: NONE
EASEMENT: MINIMAL/ NO SIGNIFICANT EASEMENTS
ACCESS POINT: OFF OF PAN AMERICAN DRIVE

*The project as proposed complies with all aspects of the Washoe County Master Plan, North Valleys Area Plan, and Washoe County Development Code.

LEGEND

- EXISTING LAND USE: RURAL DESIGNATION
- EXISTING LAND USE: SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL
- PREVAILING WINDS FROM WEST



LEARNER LEMMON OPPORTUNITY AND CONSTRAINTS MAP
 Washoe County, Nevada